AI-tocracy

Martin Beraja Andrew Kao David Y. Yang Noam Yuchtman^{*}

October 25, 2022

Abstract

Recent scholarship has suggested that artificial intelligence technology and autocratic regimes may be mutually reinforcing. We test for such a mutually reinforcing relationship in the context of facial recognition AI in China. To do so, we gather comprehensive data on AI firms and government procurement contracts, as well as on social unrest across China during the last decade. We first show that autocrats benefit from AI: local unrest leads to greater government procurement of facial recognition AI as a new technology of political control, and increased AI procurement indeed suppresses subsequent unrest. We then show that AI innovation benefits from autocrats' suppression of unrest: the contracted AI firms innovate more both for the government and commercial markets, and are more likely to export their products; and non-contracted AI firms do not experience detectable negative spillovers. Taken together, these results suggest the possibility of sustained AI innovation under the Chinese regime: AI innovation entrenches the regime, and the regime's investment in AI for political control stimulates further frontier innovation.

Keywords: artificial intelligence, autocracy, innovation, data, China, surveillance, political unrest **JEL Classification:** O30, P00, E00, L5, L63, O25, O40

^{*}Beraja: MIT and NBER. Email: maberaja@mit.edu. Kao: Harvard University. Email: andrewkao@fas.harvard.edu. Yang: Harvard University, NBER, and CIFAR. Email: davidyang@fas.harvard.edu. Yuchtman: LSE, CEPR, and CESifo. Email: n.yuchtman@lse.ac.uk. Many appreciated suggestions, critiques and encouragement were provided by Tim Besley, Filipe Campante, Sergei Guriev, Peter Lorentzen, Torsten Persson, Nancy Qian, Imran Rasul, Andrei Shleifer, Jon Weigel, and many seminar and conference participants. Yang acknowledges financial support from the Harvard Data Science Initiative; Yuchtman acknowledges financial support from the British Academy under the Global Professorships program.

1 Introduction

Autocratic institutions have long been viewed as fundamentally misaligned with frontier innovation: autocrats' political and economic rents are eroded by technological change and economic growth; and incentives to innovate are stifled by threats and acts of expropriation under autocracy.

Recent scholarship, however, has suggested that artificial intelligence (AI) technology — considered to be the basis for a "fourth industrial revolution" (Schwab, 2017) — may exhibit characteristics that allow an alignment between frontier innovation and autocracy. As a technology of prediction (Agrawal et al., 2019), AI may be particularly effective at enhancing autocrats' social and political control (Zuboff, 2019; Tirole, 2021; Acemoglu, 2021).¹ Furthermore, government purchases of AI may generate broad innovation spillovers, such as those observed among dual-use technologies (Moretti et al., 2019). More specific to AI, because government data is an input into developing AI prediction algorithms and can be shared across multiple purposes (Beraja et al., 2022), autocracies' collection and processing of data for purposes of political control may directly stimulate AI innovation for the commercial market, far beyond government applications.² These arguments imply the possibility of a mutually reinforcing relationship in which governments procure AI to achieve political control, and this procurement stimulates further innovation in the technology.

Empirical evidence supporting such a mutually reinforcing relationship is lacking. As a technology still in its infancy, there exists little systematic evidence on the political deployment of AI, and essentially none on its efficacy in maintaining political control. Moreover, while (Beraja et al., 2022) show that government data accessed through procurement contracts is valuable to stimulate commercial innovation among the contract-awarded firms, this does *not* imply that aggregate frontier innovation arises from contracts issued explicitly out of political control motives.³

¹Predictions are extraordinarily valuable for an autocrat trying to maintain social and political control. They can serve to enhance monitoring (e.g., using prediction algorithms to identify and track individuals), to project human behaviors (e.g., identifying individuals who are more likely to engage in political unrest), and to shape behaviors (e.g., providing targeted sticks and carrots).

²Moreover, government procurement may increase private data collection, which can then be shared across firms due to its non-rivalry (Aghion et al., 2018; Jones and Tonetti, 2020). Procurement of AI technologies could also stimulate innovation through traditional "crowding-in" channels, including the production of non-tangible assets (e.g., ideas) and technological spillovers across government and commercial applications, both within a firm and between firms. Public procurement also provides resources to firms that may allow them to cover fixed costs of innovation and overcome financial constraints.

³For example, AI firms selected to provide government services in politically sensitive environments may be differentially specialized in technology (only) for government use; firms' production and service provision for government in such a context may also require significant reallocation of resources that could

In the context of facial recognition AI in China, we present evidence that frontier innovation and an autocratic regime can indeed be mutually reinforcing. In addition to the economic importance and geopolitical stakes of this context, it is also particularly suitable for studying innovation under autocracy. Maintaining political control is a paramount objective of the ruling Chinese Communist Party (see, among others, Shirk, 2007). All citizens, even China's most successful entrepreneurs, are threatened by an unconstrained autocrat's ability to violate their property rights — and at times civil rights.⁴ Moreover, China is among the world's leading producers of commercial AI innovation, and facial recognition is one of the most important fields of AI technology.⁵

To conduct our empirical analyses, we combine several data sources: *(i)* episodes of local political unrest in China from the GDELT project; *(ii)* local public security agencies' procurement of facial recognition AI (and complementary surveillance technology) primarily from China's Ministry of Finance; and *(iii)* China's facial recognition AI firms' new software development (registered with the Ministry of Industry and Information Technology), as well as their software export deals (compiled through press releases, news reports, and other sources). Linking datasets *(i)* and *(ii)* allows us to test whether autocracies procure facial recognition AI for purposes of political control, whether facial recognition AI is effective in suppressing unrest, and whether AI procurement is associated with complementary changes in the technology of political control (such as the procurement of surveillance cameras). Then, linking these two datasets to *(iii)* enables us to test the extent to which facial recognition AI innovation benefits from politically motivated procurement — specifically, whether total software development increases, and whether software intended for commercial markets (beyond political uses) increases, and whether internationally competitive products emerge.⁶

We begin by examining the first direction in a mutually reinforcing relationship: whether AI technology can effectively enhance autocrats' political control. We first test whether autocrats respond to political unrest by procuring facial recognition AI technology. We

crowd-out their commercial and broader innovation activities; and contract-awarded firms may impose substantial negative spillovers on peer firms that have not received such contracts due to business stealing or attracting productive inputs such as human capital.

⁴For example, Jack Ma, the founder of Alibaba, was detained for months upon arousing the ire of the Chinese Communist Party. See, for example, from the *Wall Street Journal*, https://on.wsj.com/3rhtD01.

⁵For example, in 2020, computer vision was the second largest field of study in AI by publications on arXiv, accounting for 31.7% of the total publications (Zhang et al., 2021).

⁶This may be more precisely termed "product innovation at the technological frontier" than "frontier innovation," given that new software products do not necessarily imply that a firm is substantially shifting the frontier. For brevity, we refer to novel production of a frontier technology as "frontier innovation," but we acknowledge that these new products we study are generally examples of micro-inventions rather than macro-inventions, to use the terminology of Mokyr (1990).

find that indeed they do: locations experiencing episodes of political unrest increase their public security procurement of facial recognition AI. This result holds controlling for a range of time-varying local characteristics, including a local government's fiscal revenue. One might wonder whether the procurement of public security AI was already on a different trend in locations experiencing political unrest (e.g., due to anticipation of subsequent unrest, or because of different rates of economic growth). However, we find quantitatively small increases in AI procurement just prior to episodes of political unrest, and a substantially larger increase in AI procurement during the quarter immediately following episodes of unrest. One might also wonder whether time and space varying shocks are correlated with the occurrence of political unrest and with public security AI procurement. To address this concern, we implement an IV strategy exploiting variation in the occurrence of political unrest arising from local weather conditions, and we find qualitatively and quantitatively similar results. Further evidence of a broad technological upgrade in political control suggests that the increased AI procurement reflects an active choice by public security agencies in response to political unrest, rather than mere "window-dressing." In particular, we find that locations experiencing political unrest purchase more high resolution surveillance cameras, which provide the crucial data input for facial recognition technology. Moreover, public security agencies that have procured more facial recognition AI technologies not only reduce their subsequent hiring of police staff, but also shift the composition of the police force towards higher skilled desk jobs that complement the deployment of AI technology.

Local governments' purchases of AI technology for public security purposes in response to the occurrence of political unrest suggest at least a belief in the effectiveness of such technology in curbing future unrest. We next study whether the increased public security AI procurement does indeed enhance autocrats' political control. Precisely because AI is procured endogenously in locations susceptible to political unrest, rather than examining the relationship between AI procurement and subsequent local protests, we examine how past investment in public security AI mitigates the impact of exogenous shocks that tend to instigate political unrest. We find that weather conditions conducive to protests have smaller effects on contemporaneous unrest in prefectures that have accumulated a larger stock of public security AI capacity up to the previous quarter. Conducting a placebo exercise, we find that such a relationship is *not* observed in response to the accumulated non-public security AI capacity, suggesting that our results are driven by the deployment of public security AI *per se*, rather than by differing socioeconomic conditions in politically sensitive contexts. Importantly, our results are not due to the time-varying effects of past protests that are associated with public security AI investment: local experience of past protest is not associated with differential unrest arising from current weather conditions.

Having established that AI *does* strengthen autocrats' political control, we then examine the second direction in a mutually reinforcing relationship: whether politically motivated AI procurement stimulates AI innovation. We define politically motivated AI procurement as purchases by public security agencies in prefectures that experienced above median levels of political unrest in the previous quarter.⁷ We then estimate an event study specification, estimating the effects of a firm's first politically motivated contract on AI software production, controlling for firm and time period fixed effects. We find that prior to receipt of a politically motivated contract, firms do not exhibit differential software production, suggesting that selection into such contracts is largely accounted for by the firm fixed effects. Within the first year of contract receipt, firms produce significantly more AI software; by two years post contract, they produce around 10 (48.6%) more software products. Such an increase is observed not just among software intended for government uses, but importantly, also among software intended for broader commercial applications. To address the concern that political unrest is more likely to occur in economically dynamic locations where commercial AI innovation is also greater, we instead identify politically sensitive environments and classify politically motivated procurement contracts using *predicted* political unrest based on weather conditions, and the results are qualitatively unchanged. In other words, plausibly exogenous episodes of political unrest promote commercial AI innovation through increased local public security demand for AI.

An important concern is whether contracts issued in a politically sensitive environment either provide benefits to firms that could account for their increase in innovation activities (e.g., closer government-business ties) or induce differential selection of contractawarded firms (e.g., greater scrutiny of firms' capacity and potential). To address this concern, we compare the effects of public security contracts issued in this politically sensitive environment — these contracts are most plausibly politically motivated — with the effects of non-public security contracts issued in the same environment. This allows us to isolate the effects of politically motivated contracts beyond the consequences arising from generic contracts issued in a politically sensitive environment. Using a triple-differences empirical strategy, we find that receipt of a politically motivated public security contract is associated with significantly greater innovation of commercial (as well as government)

⁷Public security contracts issued following episodes of unrest are proxies for contracts with an underlying political motivation. We acknowledge that such motivation is not explicitly observed. For brevity, we refer to these contracts as politically motivated.

software, relative to the receipt of a contract with a non-public security arm of the government. We find no evidence of differential pre-contract trends in software innovation, supporting a causal interpretation of our findings. To establish the international competitiveness of the new AI software produced following politically motivated contracts, we test whether receipt of such contracts is associated with a greater likelihood that firms export their products. Indeed, we find a tripling of the likelihood that firms begin exporting, suggesting that politically motivated contracts help push the contracted firms to the technological frontier.

Finally, we investigate whether autocrats' politically motivated AI demands distort the trajectory of innovation, both at the firm level and at the aggregate level. We find that the effects of politically motivated public security contracts (namely, those preceded by local unrest occurrence) on commercial AI innovation are not smaller than other, politically neutral public security contracts. This suggests that the political motivation of the contracts does not diminish their impact on AI firms' commercial innovation. We next consider the possibility that our firm level findings may be offset in aggregate by negative spillovers to other firms, e.g., due to the allocation of resources or business stealing effects. Specifically, we examine AI innovation among firms never receiving procurement contracts that are: (i) headquartered in localities that have experienced political unrest; (ii) headquartered in localities where AI firms receiving politically motivated contracts are also headquartered; or (iii) part of a mother firm with other subsidiaries that have received politically motivated contracts. We find no evidence of negative spillovers in these cases. If anything, we observe positive spillovers to firms not receiving contracts. This suggests that our firm level effects of politically motivated contracts may increase frontier AI innovation in the aggregate, and such an aggregate effect may be larger than the total firm level effects that we identify among contracted firms.

Taken together, these results imply that China's autocratic political regime and the rapid innovation in its AI sector are not in conflict, but mutually reinforce each other.⁸ When such a mutually reinforcing relationship is sufficiently strong to overcome distortions in autocracies that discourage innovation (e.g., risk of expropriation), it could support an equilibrium — "AI-tocracy" — where an autocratic regime is entrenched, and frontier AI innovation is sustained.⁹ It does so by generating a perpetuating cycle in

⁸We do not interpret our findings as indicating that China's political stability is primarily achieved through AI technology (yet), nor that China's AI innovation is primarily rooted in political repression. Rather, our findings suggest that a component of China's coercive capacity is derived from the application of AI technology, and China's political repression in turn contributes to AI innovation and in part leads to the rise of China as a leading innovator in AI.

⁹In fact, autocrats' sustained demand for AI technology for purposes of political control may also enhance their ability to commit to protect AI innovators' property rights, thus reducing the risk of expropria-

which autocrats are strengthened by AI innovation, and their procurement of this innovation stimulates further innovation, which in turn further strengthens the autocrats.

More generally, our analysis of the forces that support a mutually reinforcing relationship between autocrats' political repression and frontier innovation in facial recognition AI sheds light on other prominent episodes of frontier innovation under non-democratic regimes. Such episodes — ranging from the development of aerospace technology in the USSR, to chemical engineering innovation in Imperial Germany — are difficult to reconcile with the large literature that highlights forces that limit innovation and growth in non-democratic contexts.¹⁰ These episodes, however, share important features that mirror China's facial recognition AI sector: first, the non-democratic regimes appear to derive political power from frontier innovation; second, recognizing the political benefits of innovation, the regimes provide financial and institutional support that may be instrumental to technological development. To the extent that these mutually reinforcing forces overcome traditional autocratic frictions, innovation can entrench autocracies and be promoted by them in a sustained manner.¹¹

Our work relates to several additional areas of very active research. We contribute to a growing literature on the socioeconomic consequences of AI technology. Over the past several years, many economists have been studying the far-reaching consequences of an emerging AI-led economy. However, much of the literature focuses on the economic consequences of AI: from its impact on the labor market (Acemoglu and Restrepo, 2018, 2019) and how governments should respond to it (Beraja and Zorzi, 2021), to how it reshapes market power and competition (Jones and Tonetti, 2020; Eeckhout and Veldkamp, 2022), to how it changes global trade (Goldfarb and Trefler, 2018), to how it affects socioeconomic inequality (Korinek and Stiglitz, 2017) and economic growth (Aghion et al., 2018; Farboodi and Veldkamp, 2022). Some recent research has considered the social consequences of AI, in particular, discrimination arising from the potential biases in its algorithms (Kleinberg et al., 2018; Cowgill and Tucker, 2020). Our paper provides the

tion.

¹⁰The effects of political institutions on economic growth and frontier innovation have been studied by, among others, North and Weingast (1989), Acemoglu and Robinson (2006), Aghion et al. (2007), North et al. (2009), and Acemoglu and Robinson (2012). Autocracies may also exhibit reduced innovation due to corruption and the misallocation of talent (Murphy et al., 1989; Shleifer and Vishny, 2002). The effects of economic growth on political institutions have been studied by Lipset (1959), Barro (1996), and Glaeser et al. (2007) (see Treisman, 2020 for a recent review).

¹¹One also observes examples of mutually reinforcing relationships between democratic regimes and frontier innovation. One prominent case is the military innovation developed by DARPA in the US, and its well-known commercial innovation consequences (e.g., the internet). We do not argue that innovation *only* supports autocratic regimes; but rather, that such a regime-enhancing effect of technology may be particularly relevant in non-democracies due to their otherwise unfavorable environment for innovation.

first direct evidence on the political consequences of AI technology: it can produce more effective political control, potentially entrenching autocratic government.

We also contribute to the literature on the role of state capacity in economic development (e.g., Besley and Persson, 2009). The mutually reinforcing relationship we observe between a regime and frontier innovation can also be observed in settings beyond autocracies where the state exercises its fiscal capacity to support frontier technology (e.g., DARPA in the US). We highlight the possibility of sustained innovation arising from an autocrat's exertion of state capacity for political control. Thus, we contribute to a recent literature allowing for the possibility of growth under extractive institutions (e.g., Acemoglu and Robinson, 2020, Dell and Olken, 2020).¹² Beraja et al. (2022) find that Chinese government contracts stimulate AI innovation, but do not determine whether such contracts strengthen the autocrats, and whether politically motivated contracts in particular can foster commercial innovation. In this paper, we demonstrate that frontier innovation *can* be sustained in autocracy as a result of their mutually reinforcing relationship. In fact, this implies a political economy trajectory that defies conventional wisdom: the Chinese case suggests a stable equilibrium exhibiting sustained frontier innovation and further entrenched autocracy.¹³

We thus add to a large literature on the relationship between technology and political stability. Recent papers find that advances in information and communication technologies, and the diffusion of social media, have supported protest movements and populist parties in a broad range of settings (Campante et al., 2018; Enikolopov et al., 2020; Qin et al., 2020; Guriev et al., 2021). We, on the other hand, contribute to a literature that documents how technological change can *repress* political unrest, thus strengthening autocracies and incumbents more generally. This literature describes the evolution of repressive technology: from Autocracy 1.0 — the state as a monopolist of violence using the threat of brute force to produce compliance out of fear (Olson Jr., 1993); to Autocracy 2.0 — the state as manipulator of information using propaganda and censorship to produce compliance out of persuasion (Cantoni et al., 2017; Roberts, 2018; Chen and Yang, 2019; Guriev and Treisman, 2019); and finally, to Autocracy 3.0 — the state (and its AI) as monitor, predictor, and manipulator of behaviors to to produce compliance using targeted behavioral incentives (Tirole, 2021). To this literature, we provide the first empirical evidence on

¹²In addition to works cited above, a large empirical literature identifies negative effects of extractive institutions on long-run development (e.g., Acemoglu et al., 2002, Nunn, 2008, Dell, 2010, Lowes and Montero, 2021). There has been, however, a small strand of the literature that documents the positive economic consequences of colonial investments, particularly in transportation infrastructure and human capital (e.g., Huillery, 2009, Cagé and Rueda, 2016, Donaldson, 2018, Valencia Caicedo, 2019).

¹³It is important to note this political economy equilibrium is not inevitable, because the mutually reinforcing relationship may be offset by autocratic distortions (e.g., risks of expropriation).

the systematic deployment of AI as a part of the state's political control apparatus, documenting its procurement alongside complementary technological inputs and its effects on maintaining political stability.¹⁴

Finally, we contribute to the literature on the political economy of growth in China. While much work emphasizes factors that promote China's growth *despite* its autocratic politics (Lau et al., 2000; Brandt and Rawski, 2008; Song et al., 2011), we join an emerging strand of the literature that highlights China's autocratic institutional features that facilitate growth (Bai et al., 2020). Importantly, we demonstrate that China's stimulus of facial recognition AI innovation is not due to marginal improvements in institutional dimensions such as protection of property rights and rule of law, nor to the enhancement of infrastructure or state capacity more generally; but rather, AI innovation is spurred directly by the application of political repression itself.

In what follows, in Section 2, we describe the empirical context and the data sources we use. In Section 3, we present evidence of the effects of AI technology on autocratic political control. In Section 4, we present the evidence on the effects of politically motivated procurement of AI on innovation. Finally, in Section 5, we conclude by discussing the implications of our findings.

2 Empirical context and data

AI technologies have been argued to possess characteristics that could generate a mutually reinforcing relationship between innovation and autocracy.¹⁵ We test for the two directions of a mutually reinforcing relationship between autocracy and frontier innovation in the context of facial recognition AI technology in China.

To test whether frontier AI innovation enhances autocratic political control (the first direction of the mutually reinforcing relationship), we examine: (*i*) whether AI procurement is motivated by the regime's desire for political control, and (*ii*) whether procurement of AI technology out of political motivation indeed enhances the regime's political control by reducing unrest.

The Chinese regime is particularly concerned with protests and unrest (Shirk, 2007; King et al., 2013). Thus, consider local government officials' response to an episode of lo-

¹⁴Our findings of AI technology being deployed in response to political unrest also contribute to a growing literature that studies authoritarian responsiveness to citizens' political grievances (e.g., Tsai, 2007, Chen et al., 2016, Campante et al., 2021).

¹⁵While we focus on the AI sector in this paper, mutually reinforcing relationships between autocracy and frontier innovation appear to have been present in other prominent historical episodes. In Appendix A, we describe several such episodes, including the success of scientific innovation in the Soviet Union and the emergence of the Second German Empire as a powerhouse of science, industry, and innovation.

cal political unrest. Anticipating that such unrest may persist into subsequent periods — either due to socioeconomic shocks that are serially correlated or because protest participation itself is path dependent (Madestam et al., 2013; Bursztyn et al., 2021) — the local officials may procure facial recognition AI technology and upgrade their political control technology. Such technology could allow the government to preemptively identify, crack down on, and deter the participants in future unrest, thus mitigating the effect of future shocks on the occurrence of local unrest.

To test the second direction of the mutually reinforcing relationship, we examine whether politically motivated procurement of AI technology stimulates frontier AI innovation by the firms awarded contracts. Government procurement could provide these firms with valuable inputs such as access to rich public security data and revenue streams, which may allow the AI firms to develop more and newer AI products. To the extent that these inputs may be shared across multiple purposes, the AI firms could increase their innovation activities in the commercial sector above and beyond products developed for government purposes. We close by considering the effects of politically motivated contracts on firms not awarded these contracts, thus gauging the potential aggregate innovation consequences.

To conduct our empirical analyses, we combine data on: (*i*) episodes of local political unrest in China; (*ii*) local governments' procurement of facial recognition AI technology and complementary technology for political control; and (*iii*) facial recognition AI firms' software innovation and product export activities. We describe, in addition, auxiliary data sources used for various empirical exercises in Appendix B.

2.1 Political unrest

We collect data on political unrest from the Global Database of Events, Language, and Tone (GDELT) Project. The GDELT project records instances of events based on articles from a comprehensive, global set of news feeds.¹⁶ We restrict our analysis to events taking place in China between 2014 and 2020.¹⁷ In sum, we find 9,267 events indicating polit-

¹⁶Text analysis and machine learning methods are applied to the contents of these articles to identify salient characteristics, such as event location (which we geocode at the prefecture level), date of the event, and the nature of these events. See https://www.gdeltproject.org for a detailed description of the GDELT Project and its methodology.

¹⁷The GDELT Project greatly expanded their scope of sources and text analysis capabilities in 2014, making coverage before 2014 less complete and reliable. From 2014 to 2020, there are over one hundred news sources that provide coverage on China. When multiple news sources cover the same event, GDELT records only one event.

ical unrest, corresponding to three broad categories: protests, demands, and threats.¹⁸ Figure 1, Panel A, presents the spatial distribution of the political unrest that occurred during the period of 2014 to 2020 in prefectures with AI contracts that we study; and Table 1, Panel A, presents basic summary statistics of these political unrest events.

Given the state control of Chinese media sources, it is important to consider the possible impact of censorship on the quality of the GDELT data. We believe that the GDELT data is well-suited for our purposes for several reasons. First, the local unrest that we focus on has generally *not* been targeted for censorship by the Chinese authorities (Qin et al., 2017); some have even argued that media reporting on local unrest is particularly helpful to resolve the information asymmetry between the central and local government (Lorentzen, 2013). Moreover, the GDELT data includes a range of unrest events that differ in their political sensitivity, allowing us to examine whether the patterns we observe vary by political sensitivity.

Local weather conditions used to construct instruments for political unrest We use historical weather data originally collected by the World Meteorological Organization (WMO) and hosted by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA). Data is reported at the weather station-day level. These weather stations provide a wide variety of data at the daily level, including mean temperature, amount of precipitation, presence of fog, rain, hail or thunder, maximum windspeed recorded, and visibility.¹⁹ Importantly, we use all 18 weather variables that are consistently available throughout the globe during our sampling period. We assign data to prefectures using the closest weather station to the given prefecture. For the 344 prefectures in our dataset, this results in 260 unique weather stations whose data we use.

¹⁸Each event is classified under the Conflict and Mediation Events Observations (CAMEO) event and actor codebook, in which protests (e.g., demonstrations, hunger strikes for leadership change), demands (e.g. demands for material aid, leadership change, or policy change), and threats (e.g., threats to boycott, political dissent) are three of twenty top-level "verbs" that an event can be classified under, with the latter being relatively less politically threatening. We exclude a small number of events that occur at a national or international level. We are able to cross-check the protest data against similar event counts from alternative sources, such as Radio Free Asia (Qin et al., 2020), and find very similar levels.

¹⁹Other weather variables are: mean dew point, mean sea level (air) pressure, mean station pressure, mean wind speed, maximum wind gust, maximum temperature, minimum temperature, snow depth, and presence of tornadoes or funnel clouds. This weather data ranges from 2012 to 2020. There are a small number of observations for which weather data is missing (less than 1% of the total). For these, we impute data from the geographically nearest weather station, or in the one instance when all stations are missing data on a given day, we take data from the following day and the same station instead.

2.2 Procurement of AI and the technology of political control

In order to observe the Chinese government's demand for AI technology, we extract information on 2,997,105 procurement contracts issued by all levels of the Chinese government between 2013 and 2019 from the Chinese Government Procurement Database, maintained by China's Ministry of Finance.²⁰ The contract database contains information on the good or service procured, the date of the contract, the monetary size of the contract, the winning bid, as well as, for a subset of the contracts, information on bids that did not win the contract.

To narrow our focus on the subset of contracts that procure facial recognition AI technology such as data processing services or platform solutions, we match the contracts with a list of facial recognition AI firms. We identify (close to) all active firms based in China producing facial recognition AI using information from *Tianyancha*, a comprehensive database on Chinese firms licensed by China's central bank.²¹ We extract firms that are categorized as facial recognition AI producers by the database, and we validate the categorization by manually coding firms based on their descriptions and product lists. We collect an array of firm level characteristics such as founding year, capitalization, major external financing sources, as well as subsidiary and mother firm information. Overall, we identify 7,837 Chinese facial recognition AI firms.²²

Our empirical exercises in particular concern the AI procurement contracts awarded by public security agencies of the Chinese government.²³ As an example from our dataset, consider a contract signed between an AI firm and a municipal police department in Heilongjiang Province to "increase the capacity of its identity information collection system" on August 29th, 2018. The contract specifies that the AI firm shall provide a facial recognition system that should cover at least *30 million* individuals, suggesting the large scale of data collection and processing that are required. In total, we identify 28,023 public security related procurement contracts issued to AI firms.²⁴ They include the following four

²⁰See Appendix Figure A.1 for an example contract.

²¹A primary source of firms' information compiled by Tianyancha is the National Enterprise Credit Information Publicity System, maintained by China's State Administration for Industry and Commerce. See Appendix Figure A.2 for an example entry. We complement the *Tianyancha* database with information from *Pitchbook*, a database owned by Morningstar on firms and private capital markets around the world. See Appendix Figure A.3 for an example entry.

²²These firms fall into 3 categories: (*i*) firms specialized in facial recognition AI (e.g., Yitu); (*ii*) hardware firms that devote substantial resources to develop AI software (e.g., Hik-Vision); and (*iii*) a small number of distinct AI units within large tech conglomerates (e.g., Baidu AI).

²³Parts of our empirical strategy compare public security procurement contracts of AI to those awarded by non-public security units in the public sector, such as (public) banks, hospitals, and schools. There are a total of 6,557 non-public security related procurement contracts awarded to AI firms.

²⁴We present the cumulative number of AI procurement contracts in Appendix Figure A.4 (top panel), as

types of public security contracts from the Chinese Government Procurement Database: (*i*) all contracts for China's flagship surveillance/monitoring projects — *Skynet Project*, *Peaceful City Project*, and *Bright Transparency Project*; (*ii*) all contracts with local police departments; (*iii*) all contracts with the border control and national security units; and, (*iv*) all contracts with the administrative units for domestic security and stability maintenance, the government's political and legal affairs commission, and various "smart city" and digital urban management units of the government. Importantly, each of these contracts is linked to a specific prefectural government buyer, and for the baseline analysis, we exclude those signed with the central or provincial government. Many firms receive multiple public security contracts; overall, 1,095 (14%) facial recognition AI firms in our dataset receive at least one contract.²⁵ Figure 1, Panel B, presents the spatial distribution of the facial recognition AI contracts issued by public security units of the prefectural government.²⁶

In addition to the public security agencies' procurement of AI technology, we also collect information on a key complementary technology for political control: high resolution surveillance cameras procured by the same agencies. These cameras, once deployed in the public space, could provide richer data that would make the facial recognition AI platform more effective, and may also deter civilian unrest. Table 1, Panel B, presents basic summary statistics of the facial recognition AI procurement contracts issued by public security and non-public security agencies, as well as the procurement of surveillance cameras.

2.3 AI firms' innovation

Product innovation: AI software development We collect all software registration records for our facial recognition AI firms from China's Ministry of Industry and Information Technology, with which Chinese firms are required to register new software releases and major upgrades. We are able to validate our measure of software releases (using a single large firm), by cross-checking our data against the IPO Prospectus of MegVii, the world's first facial recognition AI company to file for an IPO.²⁷ We find that our records' coverage

well as the flow of new contracts signed in each month (bottom panel). Both public security and non-public security AI contracts have steadily increased since 2013.

²⁵This means that conditional on receiving a contract, on average a firm receives 25.6 contracts over our sampling period.

²⁶Some public security AI contracts are issued at the provincial level: for example, almost 40% of the public security AI contracts in Xinjiang are issued by the provincial government. Appendix Figure A.5 plots the spatial distribution of public security AI contracts issued by either provincial or prefectural governments.

²⁷Source: Hong Kong Stock Exchange, https://go.aws/37GbAZG.

is comprehensive (at least in the case of MegVii): MegVii's IPO Prospectus contains 103 software releases, all of which are included in our dataset.

The count of new software releases (and major upgrades) represents *product innovation.*²⁸ Reflecting the economic value of such innovation, we observe that facial recognition AI firms that develop more software have significantly and substantially higher market capitalization (see Appendix Figure A.6).

We use a Recurrent Neural Network (RNN) model with tensorflow — a frontier method for analyzing text using machine learning — to categorize software products according to their intended customers and (independently) by their function. Our categorization by customer distinguishes between software products developed for the government (e.g., "smart city — real time monitoring system on main traffic routes") and software products developed for commercial applications (e.g., "visual recognition system for smart retail"). We allow for a residual category of general application software whose description does not clearly specify the intended user (e.g., "a synchronization method for multi-view cameras based on FPGA chips"). By coding as "commercial" only those products that are specifically linked to commercial applications, and excluding products with ambiguous use, we aim to be conservative in our measure of commercial software products.

Our categorization by function first identifies software products that are directly related to AI (e.g., "a method for pedestrian counting at crossroads based on multi-view cameras system in complicated situations"). Within the category of AI software, we also separately identify a subcategory of software that involve components related to surveillance (e.g., "tool that allows parents to locate and track lost children").

To implement the two dimensions of categorization using the RNN model, we manually label 13,000 software products to produce a training corpus. We then use wordembedding to convert sentences in the software descriptions into vectors based on word frequencies, where we use words from the full dataset as the dictionary. We use a Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) algorithm, configured with 2 layers of 32 nodes. We use 90% of the data for algorithm training, while 10% is retained for validation. We run 10,000 training cycles for gradient descent on the accuracy loss function. The categorizations perform well in general: we are able to achieve 72% median accuracy in categorizing software customer and 98% median accuracy in categorizing software function. Appendix Figure A.7 shows the summary statistics of the categorization output by customers and by function; and, Appendix Figure A.8 presents the confusion matrix (Type-I

²⁸The National Science Foundation defines product innovation as "the market introduction of a new or significantly improved good or service with respect to its capabilities, user-friendliness, components, or subsystems" in its Business Enterprise Research and Development Survey (see https://www.nsf.gov/st atistics/srvyberd). See also Bloom et al. (2020).

and Type-II errors) of the predictions relative to categorization done by humans.²⁹ Table 1, Panel C.1, presents basic summary statistics of the software innovation of all AI firms (regardless of whether they have received procurement contracts), and Panel C.2 presents the cumulative AI software production prior to firms' receipt of their first public security procurement contracts.

Frontier technology: firms' AI software exports We construct a database of global AI trade deals using the bibliography of the Carnegie Endowment for International Peace's report *The Global Expansion of AI Surveillance* (Feldstein, 2019). This bibliography focuses on international procurement of AI surveillance technology by governments, containing 1,300 citations spanning 75 countries.³⁰ Examples of such deals include: "Safe City Service Brings the Future to Laos: Huawei case studies" (China exporting to Laos in 2015), "Bosch equips Hong Kong-Zhuhai-Macao Bridge with customized security solutions" (Germany exporting to China in 2018), and "Digital Intelligence is Helping Brazil's Federal Police Seize Millions in Assets to Bring Down Drug-Smuggling Kingpins" (Israel exporting to Brazil in 2020).

We then match each trade deal to the Chinese AI firms in our data, allowing us to identify the date from which the firms begin to export their AI software products. For each Chinese AI firm, we additionally search through their press releases and news reports covering them to expand our database of AI trade deals. Among the 7,837 Chinese facial recognition AI firms we study, we identify a total of 176 export deals.

3 The role of AI in autocrats' political control

3.1 The effect of political unrest on AI procurement and the technology of political control

Our empirical analyses begin by examining whether AI technology can effectively entrench autocrats. Specifically, we first test whether local public security agencies (e.g., police forces) respond to episodes of local political unrest by procuring more facial recog-

²⁹Appendix Table A.1 presents the top words (in terms of frequency) used for the categorization. Appendix Figure A.9 presents the density plots of the algorithm's category predictions. The algorithm is very accurate in categorizing software for government purposes. The algorithm is relatively conservative in categorizing software products for commercial customers, and relatively aggressive in categorizing them as general purpose. In setting our categorization threshold for commercial software we again aim to be conservative in our measure of commercial software products.

³⁰The original bibliography is accessible at https://www.zotero.org/groups/2347403/global_ai_sur veillance/library.

nition AI in the following quarter. The time lag reflects the administrative procedure and time needed to initiate and issue a contract in response to an event. We estimate panel models that control for locality and time period fixed effects, both using OLS and implementing an IV specification that exploits differences in unrest occurrence due to local weather conditions.

We first describe the panel OLS strategy. We estimate the following model:

$$AI_{i,t+1} = \beta Unrest_{it} + \alpha_t + \gamma_i + \delta_t X_i + \epsilon_{it}, \tag{1}$$

where the explanatory variable of interest is $Unrest_{i,t}$, the local political unrest in prefecture *i* in quarter *t*, and $AI_{i,t+1}$ is the public security facial recognition AI procurement per capita of prefecture *i* in the subsequent quarter. We control for time period and prefecture fixed effects, as well as different combinations of time-varying effects of prefecture socioeconomic characteristics. Standard errors are clustered at the prefecture level.

We present the results in Table 2, Panel A. To account for changing local economic and political conditions that may be related to both unrest occurrence and facial recognition AI procurement, we control for the prefecture GDP (measured yearly) interacted with a full set of (quarterly) time fixed effects (column 1), the prefecture's log population interacted with a full set of time fixed effects (column 2), the prefectural government's annual fiscal revenue interacted with a full set of time fixed effects (column 3), past stock of public security AI procurement (column 4), or all of these controls (column 5).³¹ One can see that across specifications, political unrest in a prefecture in one quarter is followed by a significantly greater amount of AI procurement in the following quarter.³² The results remain qualitatively and quantitatively very similar throughout. The coefficients imply that a one standard deviation increase in local unrest is associated with around 0.20 standard deviation increase in AI procurement. Appendix Table A.2 shows effects of political unrest by the separate subcategories of protests, public demands, and threats, with results remaining qualitatively the same. To the extent that reporting of these event types is subject to different degrees of censorship (e.g., due to differences in political sensitivity), these qualitatively similar patterns suggest that differential censorship of local unrest is unlikely to explain the baseline result.

³¹As we show below, the lagged stock of AI procurement affects the occurrence of political unrest, mitigating the impact of weather variation on the occurrence of unrest; the lagged AI stock may also be correlated with subsequent AI procurement flows. It is thus important to control for the lagged AI stock as a potentially relevant omitted variable.

³²Our interpretation of AI procurement as a government response to political unrest suggests that firms receiving public security contracts issued following periods of political unrest should produce AI software for the government oriented towards surveillance. Indeed, we find a significant increase in the production of AI software intended for the government with surveillance functions (see Appendix Figure A.11 for details).

We next examine whether AI procurement may already have been increasing in locations with political unrest *prior* to the unrest itself. We thus estimate a modified version of the baseline model, but additionally estimating the effects of unrest on AI procurement in periods from t - 2 to t + 3. Figure 2 plots the estimated coefficient on unrest for each lead and lagged period. As one can see, upcoming political unrest is associated with only slightly higher levels of AI procurement. The association between unrest and procurement is substantially larger one quarter after the unrest occurrence, and such association fades in subsequent quarters. This pattern suggests that AI procurement primarily was in response to, and not in anticipation of, unrest.

As an alternative empirical strategy, we estimate the same panel model with locality and time fixed effects, but now exploiting variation in unrest occurrence arising from daily weather conditions. Government officials may respond to occurrences of unrest even when they arise out of idiosyncratic weather shocks. This may be because officials are unable to distinguish between root causes of unrest, or because it is important to respond to any occurrence given the possible path dependence of unrest.

To implement an empirical strategy that instruments unrest occurrence using local weather conditions in our setting requires overcoming three challenges. The first challenge is high-dimensionality: in a country as vast as China, one must consider a wide range of potentially relevant and interacting weather conditions. Moreover, in the Chinese context, weather conditions may affect unrest occurrence through multiple channels: most fundamentally, extreme weather conditions may stimulate protests due to socioeconomic hardship and potential unsatisfactory government responses; weather conditions may affect the cost of political participation as well as the cost of police mobilization. This makes identifying a strong instrument more difficult, and also increases the researchers' degree of freedom and risk of finding false positives. The second challenge is the need to consider both the extensive and intensive margins of political unrest. Over a relatively long period of time, there are many days in which no unrest takes place (presumably because of the absence of mobilized political demands on those days), implying no elasticity between weather conditions and unrest occurrence. On certain days, unrest occurs across multiple prefectures, and local weather conditions plausibly would influence the likelihood of unrest occurrence in a specific location. A final challenge is the need to aggregate unrest occurrence to match the time frame over which AI procurement decisions are made (several months, which we operationalize as quarterly observations).

To address these challenges, we begin with the complete set of 18 weather variables consistently collected across weather stations in China. Reflecting the importance of weather interactions, we allow each variable to interact with each of the others. Reflecting the daily variation in the potential for (any) local unrest, we allow the full set of weather variables to have heterogeneous effects on a prefecture's unrest occurrence depending on whether unrest occurs in at least one other prefecture on a given day. To identify a first stage while reducing the role of researcher discretion, we implement a LASSO regression to select predictors of unrest events among these weather variables, an indicator of unrest occurrence across China, and their interactions. Finally, we aggregate our first stage to the quarterly level and calculate the standard errors using the cross-fit partialing-out LASSO IV algorithm (Chernozhukov et al., 2018).³³

In Table 2, Panel B, we present the estimated effect of unrest on AI procurement, now instrumenting for unrest using the LASSO IV.³⁴ We find that political unrest arising from local weather variation leads to significantly greater public security AI procurement in the subsequent quarter. This effect is robust to controlling for a variety of time-varying effects of local socioeconomic conditions. It is particularly noteworthy that controlling for the time varying effects of local income and local government revenues — both of which might endogenously respond to variation in local weather (Dell et al., 2014) — does not affect our results. Nor does controlling for the lagged stock of public security AI that a locality has procured.³⁵ The IV analysis corroborates the OLS finding to provide further evidence that the relationship between unrest and subsequent AI procurement is casual. We find that IV estimates are consistently larger than those of the OLS, potentially reflecting attenuation bias in the OLS estimates or the specific local average treatment effect estimated in the IV analysis.³⁶

Finally, as shown in Figure 3, we estimate very similar effects of unrest on AI procurement if we instead: (*i*) use a parsimonious set of weather conditions as first stage predictors (rain, thunder, and wind speed); (*ii*) conduct our LASSO IV analysis as above, but

³³Aggregating unrest events to the quarterly level matches the timing of procurement, and also addresses concerns about intertemporal substitution of unrest events within a narrow window of time.

³⁴In Appendix Table A.3, we present the weights assigned by LASSO to each of the selected weather predictors. The top 3 variables that LASSO selects are: Thunder X unrest elsewhere, Thunder X visibility, and Precipitation X pressure X unrest elsewhere.

³⁵As we show below, a greater lagged stock of AI weakens the relationship between contemporaneous weather and unrest, thus our first stage is identified primarily from locations with lower AI stocks. We also find a weak effect of the lagged AI stock on subsequent unrest occurrence, independent of weather. We thus control for the lagged stock of AI in our IV specification as well (as shown in column 4) and we find qualitatively and quantitatively similar effects.

³⁶The IV estimates may differ from the OLS estimates due to the particular characteristics of the compliers. We show below that prefectures with low AI stock exhibit a weaker (subsequent) relationship between local weather and unrest. Such prefectures may be more likely to procure more AI in response to occurrence of local unrest, generating larger treatment effects. Of course one may have *ex ante* expected a relatively small estimate among the IV compliers, if one believed that weather-induced protests reflect local political grievances that are marginal in nature, and hence would invite weaker responses by local governments in terms of subsequent investment in political control technology.

measuring the potential for local unrest at a given time using the occurrence of political unrest in China within a week, rather than on the same day, in order to reduce measurement error in the first stage; and *(iii)* implement alternative estimation procedures using Limited-Information Maximum Likelihood (LIML) and Jackknife IV Estimators (JIVE). All estimates are positive and statistically significant at the 5% level, with magnitudes between the OLS estimate of around 0.20 and the baseline LASSO IV estimate just below 0.40.³⁷

Upgraded technology of political control Our evidence above indicates a strong effect of political unrest on public security AI procurement. We next provide further evidence that such procurement reflects an active decision by public security agencies to upgrade their technology of political control. Specifically, we examine whether these agencies make costly investments that could complement and enhance the efficacy of AI technology.

First, one would expect that the local government should invest in key hardware that complements facial recognition AI: high resolution surveillance cameras, which provide the fundamental video data processed by the AI algorithm. In Table 3, Panel A, we replicate the exercise in Table 2, but examine the local public security procurement of surveillance cameras. We find that following the occurrence of political unrest, the local public security units also increase their procurement of high resolution surveillance cameras. The timing of surveillance cameras' procurement matches that of the AI procurement, with a substantial increase in the quarter following the unrest occurrence (see Appendix Figure A.12). Examining the locality's decision to jointly procure AI technologies and surveillance cameras, measured as the product of the two, we find a similar (but larger in magnitude) effect, reflecting public security agencies' decision to invest in both following unrest occurrence (see Table 3, Panel B, and Appendix Figure A.13).

Second, one may expect changes in the local public security agencies' personnel arrangements as they increasingly deploy AI technologies (Acemoglu et al., 2022). In particular, it has been argued by Acemoglu and Restrepo (2019) and Agrawal et al. (2019) that AI technology is one that displaces some types of workers but complements others. In the context of public security agencies, AI technology may substitute for patrol officers while still necessitating desk officers to analyze the AI output. Consistent with these predictions, we find that local police hiring is significantly lower one year after the corre-

³⁷One may also be concerned about the robustness of the cross-fit partial-out LASSO algorithm, given the randomness in the process of drawing folds for cross-fitting. Across all specifications, we set the seed to 1 (only positive integers are available). Results using the first 100 seeds are also shown under Appendix Figure A.10. The 50th percentile coefficient estimate is 0.241.

sponding police department procures AI technology, and the share of desk (as opposed to patrol) police significantly increases among the new hires (see Appendix Table A.4 for details). This suggests that the local public security agencies adjust their personnel composition alongside the deployment of facial recognition AI.

Taken together, these results suggest that the autocrat and its public security arms view AI technology as potentially useful and actively procure AI as an advanced method of political control. Moreover, the increased procurement of AI represents a component of a coherent technological bundle along with high resolution surveillance cameras and relatively skilled labor in the police force which could complement AI and help the autocrat to maintain political control.

3.2 The effect of AI procurement on the occurrence of unrest

We next examine whether greater AI procurement by the local governments' public security agencies effectively suppresses political unrest. Anecdotally, local governments appear to deploy facial recognition AI to reduce unrest through means such as identifying new faces in a protest, tracking suspicious persons in their daily life, or deterring potential unrest participants.³⁸

Importantly, having just demonstrated that AI procurement is endogenous to political unrest, we *cannot* directly estimate the impact of such endogenous AI procurement on subsequent political unrest. Estimating such a relationship is further challenged by the potential for strong autocorrelation over time in local political unrest.³⁹

To evaluate the impact of public security AI procurement on autocrats' political control, we thus examine how past public security AI procurement shapes the effects of external shocks on local political unrest. Consider a context in which multiple locations share a common elevated potential for political unrest, but experience different idiosyncratic weather conditions that shape the occurrence of unrest (as we have demonstrated in the LASSO IV first stage in the analysis above). In such a context, the pre-existing stock of AI technology procured by the public security agencies may mitigate the effect of weather conditions that are generally conducive to the occurrence of political unrest.

To test this hypothesis, we estimate the effects of contemporaneous weather shocks in prefecture *i* at time *t* on local political unrest, allowing this effect to vary depending on the *lagged stock* of local public security procurement of AI up to period t - 1, controlling for

³⁸For example, see "The Panopticon is Already Here" from the *Atlantic*, source: https://bit.ly/3aWC1 gB.

³⁹Unrest occurrence in a given quarter is strongly positively associated with unrest in the subsequent quarter, controlling for prefecture and quarter fixed effects (p-value < 0.001).

prefecture and time period (quarter) fixed effects. Specifically, we estimate the following model:

$$Unrest_{it} = \beta_1 AI_stock_{i,t-1} + \beta_2 ConduciveWeather_{it} + \beta_3 ConduciveWeather_{it} \times AI_stock_{i,t-1}$$
(2)

$$+ \alpha_t + \gamma_i + \delta_t X_i + \epsilon_{it}$$

Table 4, Panel A, column 1, presents the baseline result. As we saw above, weather conducive to political unrest is positively and significantly associated with the occurrence of unrest (as expected from our LASSO regression results above). However, the estimated effect of conducive weather interacted with the stock public security AI procurement is negative: past accumulation of AI capacity significantly weakens the positive relationship between conducive weather and unrest occurrence, suggesting a role of AI in maintaining political control. In other words, when weather conditions are favorable and localities exhibit some potential for unrest, such unrest is more likely to occur. However, this unrest is significantly less likely to take place in localities with greater stocks of public security AI procurement. Figure 4 presents the results visually, where we plot the relationship between local weather conditions on contemporaneous unrest occurrence, across localities with a high level of lagged stock of public security AI procurement (2 standard deviations above the mean) and a low level (2 standard deviations below the mean). One observes that while the past stock of AI does not substantially change unrest occurrence in belowaverage weather conditions, the responsiveness of unrest occurrence to above-average conditions diverges.⁴⁰ We continue to find qualitatively and quantitatively similar results as we gradually add time-varying controls to account for changes in local socioeconomic conditions (shown in Table 4, Panel A, columns 2-4). A one standard deviation increase in the stock of past public security AI procurement cuts by one quarter the effect of weather conditions conducive to political unrest.⁴¹

This empirical strategy relies on plausibly exogenous variation in weather conditions but endogenous variation in the lagged stock of AI procurement. We examine two sets of potential confounding variables related to the stock of AI — one regarding local governance and capacity more broadly and the other concerning past protests and changing

⁴⁰It is important to note that in our model with linear interactions, the lagged AI stock is predicted to increase unrest when the weather is *not* conducive to unrest. But as can be seen in Figure 4, this estimated differential positive effect of AI stocks is not statistically significant.

⁴¹We again find qualitatively similar results for each sub-category of the unrest events (protests, public demands, and threats); see Appendix Table A.14. To the extent that these distinct event types are subject to different degrees of censorship in reporting of local unrest, this suggests that the results we find are unlikely to be explained by confounding factors that are correlated with both local governments' procurement of facial recognition AI technology and its use of censorship.

local political dynamics. First, one might worry that past AI procurement for any purpose reflects local governments embracing new technology and more broadly the quality of local governance, which may in turn dampen political unrest. To address this concern, we conduct a placebo test: does local government's AI procurement outside the public security agencies in the past shape the relationship between local weather conditions and unrest occurrence? Crucially, the effect of past AI procurement only appears for the contracts issued by public security agencies. Local AI procurement by non-public security agencies does not mitigate the effects of conducive weather on political unrest, as shown in Table 4, Panel B.⁴² Second, since the cross-prefecture variation in previous AI procurement is partially shaped by past political unrest (as shown above), if the past unrest is associated with heterogeneity in the responsiveness of unrest to subsequent weather shocks, this could confound the interpretation that results capture the effects of public security AI procurement.⁴³ To assess this possibility, we examine whether exogenous weather shocks have heterogeneous effects on contemporaneous unrest occurrence depending on past unrest in the locality. Specifically, we estimate specifications analogous to those described above, replacing $AI_stock_{i,t-1}$ with $unrest_{i,t-1}$. As shown in Table 4, Panel C, we do not find a noticeable pattern of heterogeneous effects of conducive weather depending on past unrest in the locality, suggesting that the pattern of heterogeneity we observe is likely due to public security AI procurement, rather than other mechanisms arising from past unrest per se.

Finally, we examine whether complementary technological investment increases the effectiveness of facial recognition AI in suppressing unrest. We estimate the baseline specification, replacing $AI_stock_{i,t-1}$ with either the lagged stock of procurement of surveillance cameras $camera_stock_{i,t-1}$ (Table 4, Panel D) or the interaction between the stock of AI and the stock of cameras $AI_stock_{i,t-1} \times cameras_stock_{i,t-1}$ (Panel E). We observe that while the stock of high resolution surveillance cameras alone does not effectively sup-

⁴²Relatedly, one may also be concerned that deployment of facial recognition AI in response to unrest captures local politicians' strong career incentives, which could be associated with a range of other policies also aimed at suppressing subsequent unrest. To assess this possibility, we examine whether exogenous weather shocks have heterogeneous effects on contemporaneous unrest occurrence depending on local politicians' career incentives. We follow Wang et al. (2020) and estimate an index capturing each prefectural city leader's *ex ante* likelihood of promotion in each year, as a flexible function of their age (relative to retirement), tenure and official rank in the bureaucratic system (capturing the potential for upward mobility). As shown in Appendix Table A.5, we do not find a noticeable pattern of heterogeneous effects of conducive weather depending on local politicians' career incentives.

⁴³For example, past unrest may make subsequent unrest more likely (e.g., due to path-dependence), which could potentially increase the elasticity of unrest occurrence with respect to contemporaneous weather conditions. Alternatively, past unrest may reduce the likelihood of subsequent unrest (e.g., due to increased overall government repression independent of AI), thus reducing the elasticity of unrest occurrence with respect to weather conditions.

press subsequent unrest occurrence, when cameras are procured alongside facial recognition AI, the effectiveness of AI becomes amplified. A one-standard deviation increase in the joint procurement stock of AI and surveillance cameras cuts the effect of conducive weather in half, doubling the magnitude of the effects we observe with the lagged stock of AI alone.

Taken together, these results suggest that the politically motivated procurement of AI technology is indeed useful in enhancing the state's political control capacity.

4 The role of autocratic political control in AI innovation

We now turn to the question of whether politically motivated procurement of AI stimulates AI innovation. Specifically, we focus on AI procurement contracts issued by public security agencies in prefectures that experienced above median levels of political unrest in the quarter prior to the contracts' issuance. As shown in the previous section, these contracts are plausibly issued for purposes of political control.

We use a staggered event study design to identify the overall effects of procurement contracts issued for purposes of political control on the subsequent product development and innovation among the facial recognition AI firms that are awarded the contracts. The empirical strategy exploits variation across time and across firms in the receipt of a government contract.

As in an event study design, we compare firms' outcomes — their software releases — before and after they receive their first politically motivated public security contracts, controlling for firm and time period fixed effects.⁴⁴ Specifically, we estimate the effect of firms receiving their first government contracts when these are public security contracts issued in a prefecture that recently experienced political unrest. We estimate the following specification:

$$y_{it} = \sum_{T} \beta_{1T} T_{it} + \alpha_t + \gamma_i + \delta_t X_i + \epsilon_{it},$$
(3)

where T_{it} equals 1 if, at time *t*, *T* quarters have passed before/since firm *i* received its first politically motivated public security contract; α_t are a full set of quarter fixed effects; and γ_i are a full set of firm fixed effects. The coefficients β_{1T} describe software production of a firm around the time when it receives its first politically motivated public security procurement contract.

In Figure 5, we plot the series of β_{1T} coefficients, considering the cumulative, total soft-

⁴⁴We only examine firms' first contracts because subsequent contracts could be endogenous to firms' performance in the initial contracts.

ware output as well as output for government and commercial applications, respectively. In Panel A, one can see that firms receiving a public security contract issued following episodes of political unrest develop approximately 10 additional software products over the subsequent 2 years, representing an increase in software of around 30% of one standard deviation. One naturally wonders whether firms receiving public security contracts were already following a different trend of software production before the receipt of the contracts. However, conditional on firm fixed effects, we do not observe differential precontract software production levels or trends among firms that would go on to receive a public security procurement contract. We present event study coefficients from cumulative software production 8 quarters after contract in Table 5, column 1; we present the coefficients from a specification where we control for time-varying effects of an index that captures firms' underlying potential to benefit from a contract, composed of firms' precontract size and contract value, in column 2; and we present coefficients from a weighted event study specification, following Borusyak et al. (2017), in column 3.⁴⁵ The full set of event study coefficients are presented in Appendix Table A.6.

In Figure 5, Panels B and C, we separately present results for software products intended for government and for commercial purposes, respectively. One observes that firms receiving public security procurement contracts following episodes of political unrest not only differentially produce more software for the government, but also increase their *commercial* software development. The differential increase in commercial software development totals around 5 additional software products over 2 years after the contract receipt, representing an increase of around 30% of a standard deviation.⁴⁶ Again we observe no differential software production level or trend for either government or commercial categories prior to the receipt of the public security contracts, suggesting a causal interpretation. Our findings indicate a role for politically motivated government procurement in stimulating frontier innovation for both government and commercial applications.⁴⁷

⁴⁵The inclusion of the financial value of the contract as a control variable shuts down one mechanism through which politically motivated contracts shape innovation, and is thus arguably over-controlling for unobserved drivers of firms' selection into contracts.

⁴⁶We present the full set of event study coefficients for commercial and government software in Appendix Tables A.7 and A.8.

⁴⁷As an auxiliary test of the role of access to large quantities of government data collected out of political motivation, we examine whether firms receiving public security contracts in a politically sensitive environment develop data-complementary tools (e.g., software supporting data storage) to manage the large quantities of data that they receive access to. Importantly, these data-complementary software products are distinct from the AI software studied above. In Appendix Figure A.14, we present estimates from the same specification as in Figure 5, but now considering the outcome of data-complementary software products. One can see that data-complementary software production differentially increases after the receipt of a public security contract in a politically sensitive environment, relative to the receipt of a non-public

One concern with this analysis is that our definition of politically motivated contracts relies on the endogenous occurrence of political unrest. Factors that shape political unrest may be associated with production of AI software specifically among firms that select into public security contracts (though recall that they are not generally local firms, so time and location-varying shocks do not directly drive these results). To address this concern, we alternatively define a politically motivated contract as a public security contract issued just after a quarter with above median *predicted* political unrest, using our weather-based LASSO instruments to predict unrest, as described in Section 3. The estimated coefficients from this alternative definition of politically motivated contracts are plotted in darker-shaded dots in Figure 5, and presented in Table 5, columns 4-6 (see Appendix Table A.6, columns 4-6, for the full set of event study coefficients). One can see the effects of public security contracts on software innovation are very similar following episodes of plausibly exogenous political unrest.

Another concern is that the baseline specification may be capturing the effects of mechanisms other than the politically motivated pubic security contract *per se*. For example, firms receiving contracts in politically sensitive contexts (i.e., just following episodes of local unrest) may be specially selected in a way that may also be related to subsequent performance. These firms may also develop political connections (needed to receive a contract at a politically sensitive moment), which might affect subsequent performance. To address this concern, we compare the effects of public security contracts issued in a politically sensitive environment (defined as municipalities with above median political unrest in the previous quarter) with those of non-public security contracts issued in the same environment.⁴⁸ Specifically, among firms receiving their first government contracts in a prefecture that recently experienced political unrest, we estimate the following specification:

$$y_{it} = \sum_{T} \beta_{1T} T_{it} + \sum_{T} \beta_{2T} T_{it} \times PublicSecurity_i + \alpha_t + \gamma_i + \delta_t X_i + \epsilon_{it},$$
(4)

where *PublicSecurity*_i is an indicator that the firm's first government contract is issued by a public security agency. The coefficients β_{1T} describe software production of a firm around the time when it receives its first government contract when this contract is issued by a non-public security agency (in a politically sensitive context); the sums of coefficients $\beta_{1T} + \beta_{2T}$ describe software production around the time when a firm receives its first government contract when this contract is issued by a public security agency; and the

security contract.

⁴⁸The classification of a public security contract is only dependent on the agency issuing the contract; thus, public security contracts can be categorized as non-politically motivated if they were issued in a prefecture that had not experienced above-median unrest in the previous quarter.

sequence of coefficients β_{2T} thus captures the differential software production before and after a firm receives a public security contract in a politically sensitive environment.

In Figure 6, we plot the series of β_{2T} coefficients; and in Table 6, we present the regression estimates.⁴⁹ Compared to firms receiving a non-public security contract issued in the same local political environment, we continue to observe a positive and significant effect of politically motivated public security contracts on firms' total software production over the subsequent 2 years, as well as software intended for government and commercial purposes. We do not observe differential pre-contract software production levels or trends among firms that would go on to receive a public security procurement contract in a politically sensitive environment. Defining politically sensitive environments using the *predicted* level of political unrest based on our weather-based LASSO instruments (rather than observed unrest) yields very similar results.

4.1 Robustness and ruling out alternative hypotheses

The results presented thus far do not appear to be the result of differential selection by firms into politically motivated public security procurement contracts. While we cannot absolutely rule out some role for differential selection of firms into contracts, we find no evidence of pre-contract differences in software production levels or trends, which one would expect if firms selected into these contracts as a function of their underlying productivity. As an additional check, we flexibly control for the time-varying effects of firms' age and pre-contract software production, in order to address concerns about firms selecting into contracts as a function of their potential production growth (see Appendix Tables A.12 - A.13, Panels A.2 and A.3). The results are qualitatively and quantitatively similar across these alternative specifications.

We next assess the robustness of our results to variation in specifying our outcome of interest — measures of software innovation. We restrict attention only to firms' new software releases (i.e., version 1.0) and major upgrades with a change in the first digit of the release number (i.e., versions 2.0, 3.0, etc.). Our baseline estimates remain largely unchanged, indicating that our results are not driven by minor software updates (see Panel B). Moreover, we consider software in a field of AI that is considered most difficult and frontier in its application, video-based facial recognition, which (as opposed to static images) requires N-to-1 or even N-to-N matching algorithms, and we find qualitatively similar results (see Panel C).

Given the complex process of constructing our dataset, it is important to note that our

⁴⁹In Appendix Tables A.9 - A.11, we present the full set of event study coefficients.

findings are robust to varying several salient dimensions of our analysis (see Appendix Tables A.12 - A.13, Panels D - F). First, our results are robust to adjusting our classification of public security contracts to exclude any government contracts ambiguously related to public security (e.g., contracts with the government headquarters and smart city management and administrative bureaux could be meant to provide security services just for the government office building; see Panel D). Second, the results are robust to adjustments of the parameters of the machine learning algorithm used to classify software — timestep, embedding, and nodes of the RNN LSTM model (see Panel E). Third, our results are robust to considering a balanced panel of firms within a narrow window, and to expanding the window of time around the receipt of the first contract that we study (see Panel F).

Our results are also maintained under specifications that help us address a range of alternative hypotheses. One concern is that contracts with the public security agencies within the powerful, high-surveillance local governments of Beijing or Shanghai may not generalize to the broader range of politically-motivated contracts. To rule out the possibility that our findings are distorted by contracts with these two local governments, we estimate our baseline specification, but add fixed effects for contracts from Beijing and Shanghai governments interacted with a full set of quarter to/from contract fixed effects (see Panel G.1). Results are also robust to dropping contracts from the surveillance-intensive province of Xinjiang (see Panel G.2). We additionally account for a firm's home prefecture/province government potentially giving the firm a commercial advantage beyond the procurement contracts themselves by estimating the baseline model excluding contracts signed between firms and any government in their home prefecture/province (see Panels G.3 and G.4). Finally, to address a broader set of concerns about time and space varying shocks that may drive firms' commercial activities, we control for province by quarter fixed effects and show that results are qualitatively similar (see Panel H).

4.2 Software export activities

Firms' export activities are often considered a signal of production at the technological frontier (Vernon, 1966; Melitz, 2003; Filatotchev et al., 2009). We thus link firm-level data on export deals to the procurement contracts awarded to these firms, and we test whether receipt of a politically-motivated public security contract is associated with a change in a firm's status as an AI exporter. We compare the change in exporter status for firms receiving politically motivated public security contracts with firms receiving non-public security contracts in a politically sensitive environment to account for firm selection and the role of firms' political connections. Specifically, we examine the cross sectional relation-

ship between a change in exporter status around contract receipt, finding a significantly larger change among firms receiving a politically motivated public security contract. This is seen in the raw data (Table 7, column 1), as well as accounting for contract quarter fixed effects, contract prefecture fixed effects, firms' pre-contract software production, as well as firms' age (columns 2-4). We observe a robust pattern that firms receiving politically motivated contracts are more likely (by 3.2 to 3.9 percentage points) to become exporters following receipt of these contracts. This effect is large: among AI firms who won at least one politically motivated public security contract, only 1% of them have exported their products during the period between 2014 and 2021.

4.3 Firm-level distortions due to politically motivated contracts

To the extent that politically motivated public security contracts may be accompanied by additional, non-commercial demands from the local government, or may be associated with greater specialization, such contracts could differentially crowd out firms' commercial activities relative to the public security contracts that are not politically motivated, but which provide access to similar resources (e.g., data, capital, and political connections).⁵⁰ As discussed in Beraja et al. (2022), the greater the effects of politically motivated contracts on software production for the more general commercial market, the greater the impact these contracts would have on the trajectory of innovation in the AI sector.

To evaluate whether politically motivated contracts are associated with differential crowding out of commercial innovation, we compare the effects of politically motivated public security contracts to the effects of non-politically motivated public security contracts. We define politically motivated contracts as those issued following a quarter with above median political unrest (as we did above), and politically neutral contracts as those issued following a quarter with below median political unrest. We now limit our analysis only to public security contracts, and compare effects on software output of those plausibly granted out of political motivation with those that are more politically neutral.

Appendix Figure A.15 presents the coefficients indicating the differential effect of politically motivated public security contracts for the AI firms' commercial software production. We do not observe noticeable crowd-out of commercial software production from politically-motivated contract. In fact, if anything, one sees that politically motivated contracts tend to induce firms to produce more commercial software especially towards the later periods of the sampling frame.

⁵⁰This could arise from differential costs associated with developing products specifically for politically sensitive and demanding environments.

4.4 Cross-firm spillovers and aggregate effects

We next consider the aggregate effects of politically motivated contracts. These may differ from the firm-level effects that we identify above due to either positive or negative spillovers to other firms not receiving contracts. Positive spillovers across firms might arise due to knowledge spillovers from contracted firms to others (or across subsidiaries within the same mother firm). These spillovers may occur primarily in the locations where unrest occurs, or where contracted firms are headquartered and innovative activities may be concentrated. On the contrary, negative spillovers may arise if critical resources such as investments and human capital are disproportionately allocated to firms that have been awarded procurement contracts, or due to business stealing effects among firms in the industry or across subsidiaries.⁵¹

Gauging such spillovers along three margins, we examine AI innovation among firms *never* receiving procurement contracts that are: *(i)* headquartered in localities that have experienced political unrest; *(ii)* headquartered in localities where AI firms receiving politically motivated contracts are also headquartered; or *(iii)* part of a mother firm with other subsidiaries that have received politically motivated contracts.⁵²

Specifically, we estimate event study models in which the innovation of firms that never receive contracts is examined around: (*i*) a quarter when local prefecture experiences political unrest; (*ii*) a quarter when a politically motivated public security contract is issued to other firms headquartered in the same prefecture; and (*iii*) a quarter when a politically motivated public security contract is issued to another subsidiary of the same mother firm. We present the cumulative spillover effects two years after the relevant events in Table 8, Panels A to C, respectively. We plot the full event study estimates from two years leading up to the event to two years after in Appendix Figure A.16. We find no evidence of negative spillovers in any of these cases. In fact, we find suggestive evidence of positive spillovers to the amount of commercial software produced by non-contracted firms.

While these tests are not absolutely conclusive, the absence of evidence of significant distortions — both at the firm level and across firms — as a result of autocrats' politically motivated procurement of AI technology suggests a positive aggregate effect on frontier AI innovation.⁵³

⁵¹In addition, firms not receiving contracts may be either positively or negatively affected by broader local policy changes enacted in response to local unrest.

⁵²These three margins are not intended to be an exhaustive catalog of spillovers, but rather the important ones that we have the capacity to evaluate.

⁵³It is however important to note that by examining only firms in facial recognition AI, we are unable to investigate whether the increased frontier innovation in facial recognition AI imposes costs on AI innova-

5 Concluding thoughts: the implications of AI-tocracy

We document a mutually reinforcing relationship between facial recognition AI innovation and China's autocratic regime. This relationship has direct implications for China's economic and political trajectories. First, China's autocratic politics may not hamper its ability to continue to push out the technological frontier in AI: rather, AI innovation may be fostered precisely because of China's autocratic politics. Second, continued innovation and economic development in China may not be associated with more inclusive political institutions: rather, such innovation may further entrench the autocratic regime.

It is important to consider the extent to which our results generalize. While many technologies would not exhibit forces that generate mutually reinforcing relationships between autocracy and frontier innovation, the key forces that we highlight could shed light on prominent historical episodes of frontier innovation in, for example, the USSR and Imperial Germany. More generally, the evidence also speaks to how state-sponsored innovation is supported in democracies, including innovation supported by DARPA in the US, the high-tech sector supported by the military in Israel, and nuclear engineering programs led by the French state.

Looking ahead, a mutually reinforcing relationship between AI and autocracy may become relevant in other contexts. Russia, in particular, has already deployed facial recognition AI for purposes of political control, and (not coincidentally) alongside China is among the world's leading producers of frontier facial recognition AI technology.⁵⁴ Moreover, autocrats in other countries well inside the technological frontier may import Chinese AI technology for purposes of political control. Indeed, anecdotal evidence suggests that China's surveillance AI technology has already been exported to other autocracies.⁵⁵ One thus naturally worries that autocrat-supporting AI may beget more autocracies. The implications of China's AI innovation for the global political and economic landscape are worthy of further, rigorous investigation.

tion in directions other than facial recognition, or other fields beyond AI as a whole.

⁵⁴Appendix Figure A.17 presents the global ranking of the companies who have the top 10 facial recognition algorithms in terms of prediction accuracy, as ranked by the Face Recognition Vendor Test (FRVT), organized by the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST, an agency of the US Department of Commerce) and considered as one of the most authoritative AI industry competitions. Chinese firms occupy all of the top 4 positions; 5 out of the top 10 positions are occupied by Chinese and Russian firms. Regarding Russia's use of facial recognition for political control, see, for example, "In Moscow, Big Brother Is Watching and Recognizing Protesters" by Bloomberg, source: https://bloom.bg/3tmtsSG.

⁵⁵For example, according to an Atlantic article, "Xi Jinping is using artificial intelligence to enhance his government's totalitarian control — and he's exporting this technology to regimes around the globe [...] China is already developing powerful new surveillance tools, and exporting them to dozens of the world's actual and would-be autocracies." Source: https://bit.ly/3ujqj7g.

References

Acemoglu, Daron, "Harms of AI," Working Paper, Aug 2021.

- _ and James A Robinson, "Economic Backwardness in Political Perspective," American Political Science Review, February 2006, 100 (1), 1–17.
- _ and _ , Why Nations Fail The Origins of Power, Prosperity, and Poverty, New York: Crown Business, August 2012.
- _ and _ , *The Narrow Corridor* States, Societies, and the Fate of Liberty, Penguin Books, September 2020.
- and Pascual Restrepo, "Artificial Intelligence, Automation, and Work," in "The Economics of Artificial Intelligence: An Agenda," University of Chicago Press, 2018, pp. 197–236.
- _ and _ , "The wrong kind of AI? Artificial intelligence and the future of labour demand," *Cambridge Journal of Regions, Economy and Society*, December 2019, 13 (1), 25–35.
- _ , David Autor, Jonathon Hazell, and Pascual Restrepo, "Artificial Intelligence and Jobs: Evidence from Online Vacancies," *Journal of Labor Economics*, 2022, 40 (S1), S293– S340.
- _, Simon Johnson, and James A Robinson, "Reversal of fortune: Geography and institutions in the making of the modern world income distribution," *The Quarterly Journal* of Economics, 2002, 117 (4), 1231–1294.
- Aghion, Philippe, Alberto Alesina, and Francesco Trebbi, "Democracy, Technology, and Growth," Working Paper 13180, National Bureau of Economic Research June 2007.
- _, Benjamin F Jones, and Charles I Jones, "Artificial Intelligence and Economic Growth," in "The Economics of Artificial Intelligence: An Agenda," University of Chicago Press, 2018, pp. 237–282.
- Agrawal, Ajay, Joshua Gans, and Avi Goldfarb, "Artificial Intelligence: The Ambiguous Labor Market Impact of Automating Prediction," *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, April 2019, 33 (2), 1–22.
- **Anderson, Michael L**, "Multiple Inference and Gender Differences in the Effects of Early Intervention: A Reevaluation of the Abecedarian, Perry Preschool, and Early Training Projects," *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, December 2008, 103 (484), 1481– 1495.
- Bai, Chong-En, Chang-Tai Hsieh, and Zheng Song, "Special Deals with Chinese Characteristics," *NBER Macroeconomics Annual*, January 2020, 34, 341–379.

Barro, Robert J, "Democracy and Growth," Journal of Economic Growth, 1996, 1 (1).

Beraja, Martin and Nathan Zorzi, "Inefficient Automation," 2021.

- _ , David Y Yang, and Noam Yuchtman, "Data-intensive Innovation and the State: Evidence from AI firms in China," *The Review of Economic Studies*, 2022, *Forthcoming*.
- **Besley, Timothy and Torsten Persson**, "The Origins of State Capacity: Property Rights, Taxation, and Politics," *American Economic Review*, August 2009, 99 (4), 1218–1244.
- Bloom, Nicholas, Charles I Jones, John Van Reenen, and Michael Webb, "Are Ideas Getting Harder to Find?," *American Economic Review*, April 2020, *110* (4), 1104–1144.
- **Borusyak, Kirill, Xavier Jaravel, and Jann Spiess**, "Revisiting Event Study Designs: Robust and Efficient Estimation," *Working Paper*, 2017, pp. 1–35.
- Brandt, Loren and Thomas G Rawski, *China's Great Economic Transformation*, Cambridge University Press, April 2008.
- Bursztyn, Leonardo, Davide Cantoni, David Y. Yang, Noam Yuchtman, and Y. Jane Zhang, "Persistent Political Engagement: Social Interactions and the Dynamics of Protest Movements," *American Economic Review: Insights*, June 2021, *3* (2), 233–50.
- Cagé, Julia and Valeria Rueda, "The Long-Term Effects of the Printing Press in Sub-Saharan Africa," *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics*, June 2016, 8 (3), 1–32.
- **Caicedo, Felipe Valencia**, "The Mission: Human Capital Transmission, Economic Persistence, and Culture in South America," *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, January 2019, 134 (1), 1–50.
- **Campante, Filipe, Davin Chor, and Bingjing Li**, "The Political Economy Consequences of China's Export Slowdown," *NBER Working Paper*, May 2021, pp. 1–79.
- **Campante, Filipe R, Ruben Durante, and Francesco Sobbrio**, "Politics 2.0: the Multifaceted Effect of Broadband Internet on Political Participation," *Journal of the European Economic Association*, 2018, 16 (4), 1–59.
- Cantoni, Davide, Yuyu Chen, David Y. Yang, Noam Yuchtman, and Y Jane Zhang, "Curriculum and Ideology," *Journal of Political Economy*, 2017, 125 (2).
- **Chen, Jidong, Jennifer Pan, and Yiqing Xu**, "Sources of Authoritarian Responsiveness: A Field Experiment in China," *American Journal of Political Science*, 2016, *60* (2), 383–400.
- Chen, Yuyu and David Y. Yang, "Media Censorship in China: 1984 or Brave New World?," *American Economic Review*, 2019, 109 (6), 2294–2332.
- Chernozhukov, Victor, Denis Chetverikov, Mert Demirer, Esther Duflo, Christian Hansen, Whitney Newey, and James Robins, "Double/debiased machine learning for treatment and structural parameters," *The Econometrics Journal*, 01 2018, 21 (1), C1–C68.
- **Cowgill, Bo and Catherine Tucker**, "Algorithmic Fairness and Economics," *Working Paper*, September 2020, pp. 1–31.

- **Dell, Melissa**, "The Persistent Effects of Peru's Mining Mita," *Econometrica*, 2010, 78 (6), 1863–1903.
- and Benjamin A Olken, "The Development Effects of the Extractive Colonial Economy: The Dutch Cultivation System in Java," *The Review of Economic Studies*, 2020, 87, 1–40.
- _ , Benjamin F Jones, and Benjamin A Olken, "What Do We Learn from the Weather? The New Climate-Economy Literature," *Journal of Economic Literature*, 2014, 52 (3), 740– 98.
- **Donaldson, Dave**, "Railroads of the Raj: Estimating the Impact of Transportation Infrastructure," *American Economic Review*, March 2018, *108* (4), 1–36.
- Eeckhout, Jan and Laura Veldkamp, "Data and market power," Working Paper, 2022.
- Enikolopov, Ruben, Alexey Makarin, and Maria Petrova, "Social Media and Protest Participation: Evidence From Russia," *Econometrica*, 2020, *88* (4), 1479–1514.
- **Farboodi, Maryam and Laura Veldkamp**, "A Model of the Data Economy," *Working Paper*, 2022.
- Feldstein, Steven, *The Global Expansion of AI Surveillance*, Vol. 17, Carnegie Endowment for International Peace Washington, DC, 2019.
- **Filatotchev, Igor, Xiaohui Liu, Trevor Buck, and Mike Wright**, "The export orientation and export performance of high-technology SMEs in emerging markets: The effects of knowledge transfer by returnee entrepreneurs," *Journal of International Business Studies*, 2009, 40 (6), 1005–1021.
- Geloso, Vincent, Gilbert Berdine, and Benjamin Powell, "Making sense of dictatorships and health outcomes," *BMJ Global Health*, May 2020, 5 (5), e002542.
- Glaeser, Edward L, Giacomo A M Ponzetto, and Andrei Shleifer, "Why does democracy need education?," *Journal of Economic Growth*, May 2007, 12 (2), 77–99.
- Goldfarb, Avi and Daniel Trefler, "AI and international trade," Working Paper, 2018.
- Graham, Loren, "Russian & Soviet Science and Technology," History of Science Society Newsletter, 1989, 18 (4).
- _ , Lonely Ideas: Can Russia Compete?, MIT Press, 2013.
- Guriev, Sergei and Daniel Treisman, "Informational Autocrats," *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, November 2019, 33 (4), 100–127.
- , Nikita Melnikov, and Ekaterina Zhuravskaya, "3G Internet and Confidence in Government," *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 2021, 136 (4), 2533–2613.

- **Huillery, Elise**, "History Matters: The Long-Term Impact of Colonial Public Investments in French West Africa," *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics*, March 2009, 1 (2), 1–45.
- **Ings, Simon**, *Stalin and the scientists: a history of triumph and tragedy 1905-1953*, paperback edition ed., London: Faber & Faber, 2017.
- Jones, Charles I and Christopher Tonetti, "Nonrivalry and the Economics of Data," *American Economic Review*, 2020, 110 (9), 2819–58.
- Jr., Mancur Olson, "Dictatorship, Democracy, and Development," American Political Science Review, 1993, 87 (3).
- King, Gary, Jennifer Pan, and Margaret E Roberts, "How Censorship in China Allows Government Criticism but Silences Collective Expression," *American Political Science Review*, May 2013, 107 (02), 326–343.
- Kleinberg, Jon, Himabindu Lakkaraju, Jure Leskovec, Jens Ludwig, and Sendhil Mullainathan, "Human Decisions and Machine Predictions," *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 2018, 133 (1), 1–57.
- Korinek, Anton and Joseph E Stiglitz, "Artificial Intelligence and Its Implications for Income Distribution and Unemployment," *NBER Working Paper*, December 2017, pp. 1–45.
- Lau, Lawrence J, Yingyi Qian, and Gerard Roland, "Reform without Losers: An Interpretation of China's Dual-Track Approach to Transition," *Journal of Political Economy*, February 2000, *108* (1), 120–143.
- Lipset, Seymour Martin, "Some Social Requisites of Democracy: Economic Development and Political Legitimacy," *American Political Science Review*, 1959, 53 (1), 69–105.
- Lorentzen, Peter, "China's Strategic Censorship," American Journal of Political Science, October 2013, 58 (2), 402–414.
- Lowes, Sara and Eduardo Montero, "Concessions, Violence, and Indirect Rule: Evidence from the Congo Free State," *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 2021, *136* (4), 2047–2091.
- Madestam, Andreas, Daniel Shoag, Stan Veuger, and David Yanagizawa-Drott, "Do Political Protests Matter? Evidence from the Tea Party Movement," *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, October 2013, *128* (4), 1633–1685.
- Melitz, Marc J, "The Impact of Trade on Intra-Industry Reallocations and Aggregate Industry Productivity," *Econometrica*, 2003, 71 (6), 1695–1725.
- Mokyr, Joel, "Punctuated equilibria and technological progress," *The American Economic Review*, 1990, *80* (2), 350–354.

- Moretti, Enrico, Claudia Steinwender, and John Van Reenen, "The Intellectual Spoils of War? Defense R&D, Productivity and International Spillovers," *NBER Working Paper*, November 2019, pp. 1–76.
- **Morris-Suzuki, Tessa**, *The technological transformation of Japan: From the seventeenth to the twenty-first century*, Cambridge University Press, 1994.
- Murphy, Kevin M, Andrei Shleifer, and Robert W Vishny, "Industrialization and the Big Push," *Journal of Political Economy*, October 1989, 97 (5), 1–25.
- **North, Douglass C and Barry R Weingast**, "Constitutions and commitment: the evolution of institutions governing public choice in seventeenth-century England," *Journal of Economic History*, 1989.
- _ , John Joseph Wallis, and Barry R Weingast, Violence and Social Orders A Conceptual Framework for Interpreting Recorded Human History, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, February 2009.
- Nunn, Nathan, "The Long-Term Effects of Africa's Slave Trades," *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, January 2008, 123 (1), 1–38.
- **Pfetsch, Frank**, "Scientific Organisation and Science Policy in Imperial Germany, 1871-1914: The Foundation of the Imperial Institute of Physics and Technology," *Minerva*, 1970, *8* (4), 557–580.
- **Pravda**, "Pravda Newspaper Article, 'Announcement of the First Satellite'," *History and Public Policy Program Digital Archive*, October 1957, pp. 311–312.
- **Qin, Bei, David Strömberg, and Yanhui Wu**, "Why Does China Allow Freer Social Media? Protests versus Surveillance and Propaganda," *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, February 2017, *31* (1), 117–140.
- _, _, and _, "Social Media and Protests in China," Working Paper, March 2020, pp. 1–53.
- **Roberts, Margaret E**, *Censored* Distraction and Diversion Inside China's Great Firewall, Princeton University Press, April 2018.
- Schwab, Klaus, The Fourth Industrial Revolution, Currency, 2017.
- Shirk, Susan L, China: The Fragile Superpower, Oxford University Press, April 2007.
- Shleifer, Andrei and Robert W Vishny, *The Grabbing Hand* Government Pathologies and Their Cures, Harvard University Press, 2002.
- **Slezkine, Yuri**, *The House of Government: a saga of the Russian Revolution*, Princeton ; Oxford: Princeton University Press, 2017.
- Song, Zheng, Kjetil Storesletten, and Fabrizio Zilibotti, "Growing Like China," American Economic Review, February 2011, 101 (1), 196–233.

Tirole, Jean, "Digital Dystopia," American Economic Review, 2021, 111 (6), 2007–48.

- Treisman, Daniel, "Economic Development and Democracy: Predispositions and Triggers," Annual Review of Political Science, 2020, 23, 1–19.
- **Tsai, Lily L.**, *Accountability without Democracy: Solidary Groups and Public Goods Provision in Rural China* Cambridge Studies in Comparative Politics, Cambridge University Press, 2007.
- Vernon, Raymond, "International Investment and International Trade in the Product Cycle," *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 1966, 80 (2), 190–207.
- Wang, Zhi, Qinghua Zhang, and Li-An Zhou, "Career incentives of city leaders and urban spatial expansion in China," *Review of Economics and Statistics*, 2020, 102 (5), 897–911.
- Yue, Chia Siow, "Singapore Model of Industrial Policy-Past and Present," in "Second LAEBA Annual Meeting, Buenos Aires, Argentina" 2005.
- Zhang, Daniel, Saurabh Mishra, Erik Brynjolfsson, John Etchemendy, Deep Ganguli, Barbara Grosz, Terah Lyons, James Manyika, Juan Carlos Niebles, Michael Sellitto, Yoav Shoham, Jack Clark, and Raymond Perrault, "The AI Index 2021 Annual Report," Technical Report, AI Index Steering Committee, Human-Centered AI Institute, Stanford University March 2021.
- **Zuboff, Shoshana**, *The Age of Surveillance Capitalism* The Fight for a Human Future at the New Frontier of Power, PublicAffairs, January 2019.


Figure 1: Each circle represents a prefecture in our dataset that has at least one public security AI contract that is an AI firm's first government contract. In Panel A, circle size indicates the number of unrest events in a prefecture, while in Panel B, circle size indicates the number of public security AI contracts awarded in the prefecture (larger circles indicate more, log scale). Circle shading in Panel B indicates the fraction of first AI contracts that were procured during high or low unrest periods, where the within-prefecture variation comes from changes in the number of unrest events in a prefecture over time (a larger fraction of dark shading indicates a larger fraction of prefecture contracts procured during high unrest periods).



Figure 2: Public security AI procurement relative to the quarter of political unrest. This figure plots the estimated effects of leads and lags of prefecture political unrest on prefecture public security AI procurement from a regression that also includes quarter and prefecture fixed effects. The outcome (AI procurement) and explanatory variables of interest (unrest events) are standardized to mean = 0, variance = 1.



Figure 3: Estimated effects of political unrest on public security AI procurement in the subsequent quarter (with 90% confidence intervals). The OLS bar replicates our baseline estimates (see Table 2, Panel A, column 1). The LASSO IV instruments for unrest using a cross-fit partialing-out LASSO IV algorithm on weather variables interacted with themselves and an indicator for whether unrest occurred elsewhere in China on the day (as in Table 2, Panel B, column 1). The parsimonious IV replicates this specification using a more parsimonious set of weather variables (interacting rain, thunder, and wind with unrest elsewhere in China on the day). The LASSO IV, 7 day window, expands the first stage window for unrest elsewhere in China to one week instead of limiting it to the same day. LIML and JIVE replicate the same specification using these alternate estimators, including the same instruments used by LASSO. All specifications include prefecture and quarter fixed effects. The outcome and independent variables are standardized to mean = 0, variance = 1.



Figure 4: Effect of weather conducive to political unrest varying according to the lagged stock of prefecture public security AI. This figure displays the predicted effect of conducive weather (from the LASSO specification) on the number of political unrest events in the prefecture, at two levels of the stock of AI in the quarter before unrest: at two S.D. above the mean (in blue) and at two S.D. below the mean (in red). All specifications include prefecture and quarter fixed effects. The outcome and independent variables are standardized to mean = 0, variance = 1. Along the x-axis, conducive weather ranges from the 5th percentile to the 95th percentile of the data.



Figure 5: Effects of politically motivated contracts. The figure shows effects of first contracts for facial recognition AI firms that earn contracts from public security arms of local governments when there is an above median amount of unrest in the quarter prior to the contract. The figure shows the total software production (Panel A), software developed for government applications (Panel B), and software developed for commercial applications (Panel C), relative to the time when receiving the initial contract. All estimates control for firm and time period fixed effects. Grey lines/markers show the baseline estimated effect over time for firms. Blue lines/markers add controls for the time-varying effects of an index that captures firms' underlying potential to benefit from a contract, composed of firms' pre-contract size and contract value. Dark lines/markers use LASSO selected weather variables to instrument for unrest.



Figure 6: Differential effects of politically motivated contracts on total software development (Panel A), software developed for government applications (Panel B), and software developed for commercial applications (Panel C). All panels restrict firms to those that receive their first contracts in prefectures experiencing above-median political unrest (or predicted unrest) in the previous quarter, and control for firm and time period fixed effects. Panels compare firms receiving public security contracts to those that receive contracts from other agencies. Grey lines/markers show the baseline estimated effect over time for firms. Blue lines/markers add controls for the time-varying effects of an index that captures firms' underlying potential to benefit from a contract, composed of firms' pre-contract size and contract value. Dark lines/markers use LASSO selected weather variables to instrument for unrest.

	Mean	S.D.
	(1)	(2)
Panel A: Political unrest		
All events (per prefecture-quarter)	2.419	18.490
Protests	0.607	4.603
Demands	0.720	5.009
Threats	1.092	9.479
Panel B: Procurement of AI and the technology	y of politi	cal control
All AI contracts (per prefecture-quarter)	3.976	7.818
Non-public security contracts	2.285	5.118
Public security contracts	1.691	3.476
First public security contracts	0.082	0.327
Surveillance cameras (per prefecture-quarter)	2,118	12,684
Police hires (per prefecture-year)	59.278	84.991
Panel C.1: Innovation of AI firms (flow)		
All software (per firm-quarter)	5.756	7.124
Government software	1.724	3.337
Commercial software	2.353	3.675
Panel C.2: Innovation of AI firms (cumulative,	pre-cont	ract)
All software (per firm)	22.105	33.004
Government software	6.266	11.738
Commercial software	9.333	15.936

Table 1: Summary statistics

Notes: This table presents summary statistics at the prefecturequarter level (firm-quarter and firm level for Panels C.1 and C.2) for variables of interest. Column 1 shows the sample mean and column 2 the standard deviation. Panel A presents counts of unrest events, Panel B presents counts of local government-procured facial recognition AI contracts and other technologies of political control, Panel C.1 presents counts of software produced by facial recognition AI firms per quarter (a flow variable), and Panel C.2 presents counts of cumulative software produced by facial recognition AI firms up to the quarter before earning a contract. All software is equal to government software + commercial software + general AI software. For Panels A and B, N = 8,167 (Panel B police hires, N = 2,672). For Panel C.1, N = 23,697. For Panel C.2, N = 5,462.

	Public security AI procurement					
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	
Panel A: OLS						
Unrest events $t-1$	0.199***	0.196***	0.199***	0.204***	0.205***	
	(0.043)	(0.046)	(0.044)	(0.046)	(0.044)	
Panel B: Lasso IV						
Unrest events $_{t-1}$	0.377***	0.377***	0.377***	0.349***	0.348***	
	(0.084)	(0.084)	(0.084)	(0.080)	(0.080)	
$GDP \times quarter$	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	
Log population \times quarter	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	
Gov. revenue \times quarter	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	
AI stock $_{t-2}$	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	

Table 2: Effect of unrest events on facial recognition AI procurement

Notes: This table presents regressions at the prefecture-quarter level. The outcome is the number of public security facial recognition AI contracts procured by the local government, standardized to mean = 0 and variance = 1. The explanatory variable of interest is the occurrence of unrest events in the corresponding prefecture during the preceding quarter, also standardized. Column 1 controls for prefecture GDP x quarter effects, column 2 controls for log prefecture population x quarter effects, column 3 controls for prefectural government tax revenue x quarter effects, column 4 controls for the prefecture's AI stock one quarter prior to unrest events (and two quarters prior to the AI procurement outcome), and column 5 includes all controls. Panel A presents OLS regression estimates. Panel B presents a cross-fit partialing-out LASSO IV specification: we instrument for unrest events using weather variables interacted with themselves and an indicator for whether an unrest event occurred elsewhere in China on the day (variables are selected by LASSO), aggregated to the quarter level. All specifications include prefecture and quarter fixed effects. Standard errors are clustered by prefecture. * significant at 10% ** significant at 5% *** significant at 1%.

	Pu	Public security AI/camera procurement					
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		
Panel A.1: OLS, cameras							
Unrest events $t-1$	0.436***	0.420***	0.436***	0.425***	0.425***		
	(0.084)	(0.083)	(0.085)	(0.080)	(0.080)		
Panel A.2: Lasso IV, camer	as						
Unrest events $_{t-1}$	0.593***	0.599***	0.593***	0.559***	0.559***		
	(0.175)	(0.174)	(0.175)	(0.167)	(0.167)		
Panel B.1: OLS, AI X surve	illance can	neras					
Unrest events $t-1$	0.681***	0.669***	0.680***	0.671***	0.671***		
	(0.154)	(0.157)	(0.155)	(0.148)	(0.148)		
Panel B.2: Lasso IV, AI X su	urveillance	e cameras					
Unrest events $_{t-1}$	1.054***	1.070***	1.054***	0.967***	0.966***		
	(0.374)	(0.376)	(0.374)	(0.334)	(0.334)		
$GDP \times quarter$	Yes	No	No	No	Yes		
Log population \times quarter	No	Yes	No	No	Yes		
Gov. revenue \times quarter	No	No	Yes	No	Yes		
AI stock $_{t-2}$	No	No	No	Yes	Yes		

Table 3: Effect of unrest events on surveillance camera and facial recognition AI procurement

Notes: This table presents regressions at the prefecture-quarter level. The outcome in Panel A is the number of surveillance cameras procured by the local government. The outcome in Panel B is the product of the number of public security facial recognition AI contracts procured by the local government and the number of surveillance cameras procured by the corresponding government. Outcome variables in Panels A and B are standardized to mean = 0 and variance = 1. The explanatory variable of interest is the occurrence of unrest events in the corresponding prefecture during the preceding quarter, also standardized. Column 1 controls for prefecture GDP x quarter effects, column 2 controls for log prefecture population x quarter effects, column 3 controls for prefectural government tax revenue x quarter effects, column 4 controls for the prefecture's AI stock one quarter prior to unrest events (and two quarters prior to the AI procurement outcome), and column 5 includes all controls. Panels A.1 and B.1 present OLS regression estimates. Panels A.2 and B.2 present a cross-fit partialing-out LASSO IV specification: we instrument for unrest events using weather variables interacted with themselves and an indicator for whether an unrest event occurred elsewhere in China on the day (variables are selected by LASSO), aggregated to the quarter level. All specifications include prefecture and quarter fixed effects. Standard errors are clustered by prefecture. * significant at 10% ** significant at 5% *** significant at 1%.

	Standardized number of unrest events			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Panel A: Effect of stock of public security AI				
Conducive weather	0.9176***	0.9523***	0.9183***	0.9511***
	(0.1609)	(0.1597)	(0.1613)	(0.1543)
Public security procurement stock AI_{t-1}	-0.0080**	-0.0032	-0.0079**	-0.0020
	(0.0039)	(0.0050)	(0.0038)	(0.0050)
Conducive weather \times public security AI _{t-1}	-0.2265*	-0.2729**	-0.2260*	-0.2662**
	(0.1153)	(0.1306)	(0.1156)	(0.1250)
Panel B: Effect of stock of non-public security AI				
Conducive weather	0.9378***	0.9768***	0.9385***	0.9747***
	(0.1678)	(0.1666)	(0.1682)	(0.1608)
Non-public security procurement stock AI_{t-1}	-0.0021*	-0.0022	-0.0021*	-0.0019
	(0.0012)	(0.0014)	(0.0012)	(0.0012)
Conducive weather \times non-public security AI _{t-1}	-0.0441	-0.0513	-0.0444	-0.0473
	(0.0299)	(0.0338)	(0.0305)	(0.0301)
Panel C: Effect of past protests				
Conducive weather	0.9770***	0.9813***	0.9777***	0.9798***
	(0.1660)	(0.1711)	(0.1664)	(0.1656)
$Unrest_{t-1}$	0.0002	-0.0006	0.0038	0.0013
¢ 1	(0.0672)	(0.0706)	(0.0684)	(0.0664)
Conducive weather \times unrest _{t-1}	-0.0110	-0.0114	-0.0120	-0.0114
	(0.0187)	(0.0195)	(0.0189)	(0.0186)
Panel D: Effect of stock of surveillance cameras				
Conducive weather	0.9175***	0.9563***	0.9183***	0.9560***
	(0.1540)	(0.1548)	(0.1544)	(0.1501)
Surveillance camera procurement stock cam_{t-1}	-0.0090	-0.0068	-0.0091	-0.0066
1 , 1	(0.0186)	(0.0171)	(0.0186)	(0.0166)
Conducive weather \times surveillance cam _{t-1}	0.0574	0.0497	0.0573	0.0485
F 1	(0.0790)	(0.0724)	(0.0790)	(0.0706)
Panel E: Effect of stock of cameras X public security Al	[
Conducive weather	0.9113***	0.9446***	0.9118***	0.9449***
	(0.1585)	(0.1560)	(0.1587)	(0.1517)
Public security procurement stock cam and AL	0.2462**	0.2734***	0.2455**	0.2638***
Tuble security procurement stock can: and m_{t-1}	(0.1074)	(0.0997)	(0.1073)	(0.0945)
Conducive weather × public security cam and AL	-0.5688**	-0.6598***	-0.5735**	-0.6403***
Conductive weather \wedge public security can and m_{t-1}	(0.2281)	(0.2401)	(0.2304)	(0.2229)
CDB × guartar	Vac	No	No	Vac
GDr \times quarter	ies No	INU Voc	INO No	ies Voc
Cox royonuo × quarter	INO No	No	INU Voc	Voc
Gov. revenue × quarter	INO	INO	ies	ies

Table 4: Effect of AI procurement on the occurrence of unrest

Notes: This table presents regressions at the prefecture-quarter level. The outcome of interest is the number of political unrest events in the prefecture in a given quarter, standardized to mean = 0 and variance = 1. Conducive weather is the standardized number of predicted unrest events (aggregated to the quarter level) from the LASSO specification discussed in the text. The stock of public security AI, non-public security AI, surveillance camera procurement, and local unrest prior to the unrest event outcome are also standardized to mean = 0 and variance = 1. Column 1 controls for prefecture GDP × quarter fixed effects, column 2 controls for log prefecture population × quarter fixed effects, column 3 controls for prefectural government tax revenue × quarter fixed effects, and column 4 includes all prior controls. All specifications include prefecture and quarter fixed effects. Standard errors are clustered by prefecture. * significant at 10% ** significant at 5% *** significant at 1%.

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Panel A: Total software						
8 quarters after contract	10.746*** (3.917)	11.245*** (3.313)	13.149*** (3.059)	9.258*** (2.072)	9.673*** (2.071)	11.114*** (1.926)
Panel B: Government soft	ware					
8 quarters after contract	3.441** (1.497)	3.538** (1.361)	4.191*** (1.363)	3.115*** (0.900)	3.171*** (0.898)	3.755*** (0.943)
Panel C: Commercial soft	ware					
8 quarters after contract	5.090** (2.293)	5.318*** (1.906)	5.976*** (1.794)	3.728*** (1.161)	3.903*** (1.175)	4.374*** (0.958)
Unrest events	All	All	All	Instrumented	Instrumented	Instrumented
Firm characteristics	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No
Event-study weighting	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes

Table 5: Total effect of politically-motivated public security contracts on software production

Notes: The table presents regression coefficients for facial recognition AI firms that earn contracts from local governments when there is an above median amount of unrest in the quarter prior to the contract. The table shows the difference in total software production between firms that earn politically motivated (public security) contracts versus firms that do not earn a contract. Panel A uses total software production as the outcome, Panel B uses government software, and Panel C uses commercial software. Columns 1-3 measure unrest using all observed events. Columns 4-6 measure unrest using the predicted unrest events based on the LASSO IV specification discussed in the text. All columns control for time period fixed effects and firm fixed effects. Columns 2 and 5 include control for the time-varying effects of the contract and company size (an inverse covariance weighted z-score for contract size and company size interacted with year indicators, following Anderson (2008)). Columns 3 and 6 weight the control group by 1000 times more than the treatment, following Borusyak et al. (2017). The full set of coefficients can be found in Appendix Tables A.6 - A.8. Standard errors are clustered at the contract location (prefecture) level. * significant at 10% ** significant at 5% *** significant at 1%.

		-				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Panel A: Total software						
8 quarters before contract	4.898	3.578	0.344	1.210	0.077	-1.309
1	(3.216)	(2.346)	(1.144)	(1.305)	(1.168)	(0.824)
8 quarters after contract	0.977	4.683**	6.396***	1.864*	4.039***	5.450***
1	(1.521)	(1.948)	(1.747)	(1.024)	(1.303)	(1.283)
8 quarters before contract \times public security	-1.690	-1.796	-1.709	0.574	0.976	0.274
1 1 7	(1.220)	(1.527)	(1.124)	(1.136)	(1.347)	(1.201)
8 quarters after contract $ imes$ public security	9.746***	3.908	7.816***	7.512***	4.110**	6.396***
	(3.246)	(3.891)	(2.390)	(1.869)	(1.623)	(1.562)
Panel B: Government software						
8 quarters before contract	1.686	0.957	0.064	0.354	-0.036	-0.598
1	(1.056)	(0.701)	(0.511)	(0.557)	(0.728)	(0.521)
8 guarters after contract	1.294	3.196***	3.225***	0.700	1.495**	2.037***
1	(0.767)	(1.054)	(0.880)	(0.491)	(0.712)	(0.642)
8 quarters before contract \times public security	-0.207	0.108	-0.238	0.322	0.685	0.152
1 1 7	(0.486)	(0.609)	(0.465)	(0.513)	(0.688)	(0.499)
8 quarters after contract \times public security	2.123*	-0.174	1.320	2.403***	1.416	1.955**
	(1.249)	(1.465)	(1.063)	(0.767)	(0.917)	(0.755)
Panel C: Commercial software						
8 quarters before contract	2.120	1.463	0.158	0.618	0.157	-0.337
1	(1.397)	(0.866)	(0.387)	(0.684)	(0.452)	(0.227)
8 guarters after contract	-0.432	0.755	1.857***	0.500	1.210***	1.823***
1	(0.828)	(0.638)	(0.658)	(0.539)	(0.418)	(0.459)
8 quarters before contract \times public security	-0.556	-0.731	-0.681	-0.115	-0.052	-0.216
1 1 7	(0.378)	(0.480)	(0.458)	(0.319)	(0.349)	(0.363)
8 quarters after contract $ imes$ public security	5.516**	2.001	4.510***	3.193***	1.511**	2.779***
	(2.186)	(1.634)	(1.529)	(1.028)	(0.655)	(0.801)
Unrest events	All	All	All	Instrumented	Instrumented	Instrumented
Firm characteristics	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No
Event-study weighting	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes

Table 6: Differential effect of politically-motivated public security contracts on total software production

Notes: The table presents regression coefficients for facial recognition AI firms that earn contracts from local governments when there is an above median amount of unrest in the quarter prior to the contract. The table shows the difference in software production between firms that earn politically motivated (public security) contracts versus non-politically motivated (non-public security) contracts. Panel A uses total software production as the outcome, Panel B uses government software, and Panel C uses commercial software. Columns 1-3 measure unrest using all observed events. Columns 4-6 measure unrest using the predicted unrest events based on the LASSO IV specification discussed in the text. All columns control for time period fixed effects and firm fixed effects. Columns 2 and 5 include control for the time-varying effects of the contract and company size (an inverse covariance weighted z-score for contract size and company size interacted with year indicators, following Anderson (2008)). Columns 3 and 6 weight the control group by 1000 times more than the treatment, following Borusyak et al. (2017). The full set of coefficients can be found in Appendix Tables A.9 - A.11 Standard errors are clustered at the contract location (prefecture) level. * significant at 10% ** significant at 5% *** significant at 1%.

	Newly exporting firm				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
Public security	0.032* (0.018)	0.036** (0.017)	0.039** (0.019)	0.036** (0.018)	
Contract quarter FE	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Contract prefecture FE	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Pre-contract software	No	No	Yes	Yes	
Firm age	No	No	No	Yes	

 Table 7: Effect of public security AI contract on AI exports

Notes: This table presents cross-sectional regressions at the firm level. The dependent variable is an indicator of whether the firm begins to export its AI products after receiving its first contract (i.e., the first difference in firm exporting status around the time of receiving a first government contract). The explanatory variable of interest is an indicator of whether the first contract was a (politically-motivated) public security contract. The sample includes firms receiving their first contracts in prefectures that experienced above median political unrest in the preceding quarter. Column 1 presents a simple regression; column 2 adds contract quarter and contract prefecture FEs; column 3 adds a control for firms' pre-contract software output; and column 4 adds a control for firms' age. Firms are weighted by their number of subsidiary firms. Robust standard errors are reported in parentheses. * significant at 10% ** significant at 5% *** significant at 1%.

	_		
		Software produce	ed
	Total software	Government	Commercial
	(1)	(2)	(3)
Panel A: Firms headquart	ered in localities	s that experience	ed political unrest
8 quarters after unrest	23.968**	1.372	9.812**
	(10.122)	(1.102)	(4.709)
Panel B: Firms headquart politically motivated cont	ered in localities tracts are also he	where AI firms adquartered	receiving
8 quarters after contract	0.055	0.029	0.030
	(0.077)	(0.031)	(0.035)
Panel C: Firms that are pa that have received politic	urt of a mother fi ally motivated c	rm with other sı ontracts	ıbsidiaries
8 quarters after contract	0.371	-0.042	0.548**
	(0.306)	(0.177)	(0.223)

 Table 8: Spillover effects of politically-motivated public security contracts on software production

Notes: The table presents regression coefficients from an event study analysis of the software output of facial recognition AI firms that do *not* receive politically motivated contracts. Panel A studies the effect of political unrest in the location where firms are headquartered. Panel B studies the effect of the receipt of a politically motivated contract by other firms on the firms *not* receiving contracts, but headquartered in the same prefecture. Panel C studies the effect of the receipt of a politically motivated contract by other firms on the firms *not* receiving contracts, but belonging to the same mother firm. All columns control for time period fixed effects and firm fixed effects. Panels B and C additionally control for contract fixed effects. Column 1 considers total software production as the outcome, column 2 considers government software, and column 3 considers commercial software. Standard errors are clustered at the prefecture level. * significant at 10% ** significant at 5% ***

Appendix A Historical episodes of frontier innovation in non-democratic regimes

We first consider the success of scientific innovation in the Soviet Union, which was world leading in areas such as physics, mathematics, and aerospace and nuclear engineering. A striking feature of Soviet politics is the role of scientific advancement in legitimizing the Communist regime.¹ Science served as an effective propaganda tool, both internally and externally, to enhance the prestige and legitimacy of the regime. For example, following the launch of Sputnik (the first satellite), *Pravda* celebrated "how the freed and conscientious labor of the people of the new socialist society makes the most daring dreams of mankind a reality" (Pravda, 1957). Scientific advancement also generated military technology that strengthened the regime against both internal and external threats: from nuclear warheads to Intercontinental Ballistic Missiles to fighter jets. The Soviet state's financial and institutional support of science produced the world's largest community of scientists and engineers (Graham, 1989, 2013).² It also produced remarkable technological achievements, most famously in the space program, which launched the first satellite, sent the first human into space, constructed the first space station, and captured the first image of the far side of the moon, among other accomplishments.

A second case of frontier innovation under a non-democratic regime is the Second German Empire, which emerged as a powerhouse of science, industrialization, and innovation in the late 19th century.³ Scientific and engineering innovation in many sectors were considered critical to ensure that Germany had a leading position among the imperial powers of Europe, not least because such innovation directly strengthened German military and naval capacity. For example, when describing the aim of the soon-to-beestablished Imperial Institute of Physics, an imperial official stated that "there can be no doubt that our navy, telegraph system, survey organization, army and even the railways will [...] to a considerable degree be dependent on the results of the research for which this Imperial Institute of Physics is intended." Such imperial research institutes combined the expertise of German scientists with large amounts of state funding, producing not only military technology, but also general (even Nobel Prize-winning) scientific and industrial innovations. The eminent industrialist Von Siemens credited these institutes with Germany's industrial development, writing, "we have only the high quality of scientific education in Germany to thank for the fact that German industry, despite unfavorable circumstances, has somehow managed to retain its prominent position."

Apart from these two prominent episodes, one observes other instances of frontier innovation taking place in non-democratic regimes. In some cases, frontier technology

¹The importance of science to Communist ideology is seen in the Soviet government's "official view that science and Soviet socialism are mutually supportive" (Graham, 1989; see also Ings, 2017 and Slezkine, 2017).

²We do not claim that the Soviet's support of science and innovation was without distortion. Graham (2013) and Ings (2017) describe costly political distortions to science under Stalin.

³We rely on Pfetsch (1970) throughout this case study.

enhances the legitimacy of the state, as in the Soviet example described above. For example, in Socialist Cuba, the remarkable success of the health care sector (e.g., developing vaccines and cancer treatments) served to bolster the regime's claim of political legitimacy (Geloso et al., 2020). In other cases, frontier innovation strengthens the regime through stimulating the economy and developing military technologies, as in the German example described above. Much like Germany, Imperial Japan post-Meiji Restoration heavily invested in frontier innovation in order to industrialize and strengthen its military capacity (Morris-Suzuki, 1994). Singapore has since its independence actively supported export-oriented industrial innovation, the success of which fueled its growth miracle and helped entrench its one-party rule (Yue, 2005).

The two directions of the mutually reinforcing relationship between frontier innovation and autocracy appear to be shared across these episodes. First, the non-democratic regimes appear to derive political power from frontier innovation. Second, recognizing the political benefits of innovation, the regimes provide financial and institutional support that may be instrumental to technical development.

Appendix B Auxiliary data sources

In addition to the primary data sources described in Section 2, we also use a number of auxiliary data sources for the empirical analysis.

Local governments' procurement of surveillance cameras In addition to the public security procurement of AI technology, we also observe local governments' investments in two complementary technologies for public security purposes. First, we identify local public security units' procurement of high-resolution surveillance cameras, which are capable of collecting data for any AI control systems that may be in place. We construct a panel of the number of surveillance cameras in a given prefecture at the monthly level; when the number of cameras purchased in a given contract is not disclosed, we use the monetary value of the contract to impute the number of cameras purchased. In total, we identify 17,306 public security procurement contracts for surveillance cameras; during the period between 2013 and 2019, the average prefecture purchased 60,437 surveillance cameras (median = 20,439 and standard deviation = 117,672).

Local governments' police hiring Second, we collect data on personnel hiring by local police departments. From the website of OffCN Education Technology, we collect comprehensive listings of the number of police officers' job openings posted and filled by each department in a given year.⁴

Using job-specific details, we are able to observe changes in police department hiring composition over time, by classifying new police hires into "field jobs" (e.g., police on the street) that require lower human capital, and "office jobs" (e.g., police working in the office) that require higher human capital. There are approximately 15,500 unique job positions to classify. We manually classify the 2,000 most common jobs as either field or office based on the job's title, description and requirements, and use keyword matching to classify the remainder. During the period of 2013 to 2019, the average local police department makes 32 hires in a year, of which 14 hires are for desk jobs.

⁴OffCN Education Technology is a private firm providing labor market services specializing in the public sector; see http://sd.offcn.com/ for details.

Appendix C Additional figures and tables



Figure A.1: Example of a procurement contract record; source: Chinese Government Procurement Database.

	🏏 依 图	1798 印刷版过 化图科技	FREE STATES AND			💭 16小时42分钟前頭
		电话: 021-5255**** 登录查	音	邮箱:	皆看更多	认证成功后即可编辑信息, <mark>去认认</mark>
	国。获取认证证书	网址: ♥		地址:		<i>附近</i> 公司
	浏览量:11万+		A.11.01456	ə D.1+		
		₩日前時:1枚图和技	▶ ★ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■	1 後日 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000		如安益股东 [11]] 数据挖掘最终受益股东
	2点新闻: 旷视科技	专闯关港股,何以领先"AI四小龙";	6天前		▶ 发票抬头	😑 递名片 💟 我要投诉 🚹 数据纠错 🏠 :
	「眼別睑	自身风险 14 周边风险 15	页警提醒 171	查看详情 情报之	12019-12-25 对外抽	殳资新增一家公司贵阳市信捷··· 更多表
	公司背景 182	司法风险 5 经营风险	210 公司发展 19	经营状况 999+ 失]识产权 418 历史信息 8	人心のないな
NATION)크랸모					企业头名 认址
	10112					✓展示认证标识
法法代表人 企业時期間 自然時期間 「「」」」」」「」」」」」」」」」」」」」」」」」」」」」」」」」」」」」	商信息 工商	決照			② 天眼查	 → 提升企业伴分 √ 编辑联系方式
	ž	法定代表人	企业架构图		股权结构图	√订阅招标信息
		_	股东	对外投资		立即认证 最高级1000元代表发
Lip (共2) 方法 (共2) 方法 (共2) 方法 (共2) 方法 (共2) 方法 (大2) 方法 (大2)	他有	5 家公司,分布如下		分支机构	· 42.76% 21 mb cm · 10140 T / FT	
「方、供用》 「」」」 「」」」 「」」」」 「」」」」 「」」」」 「」」」」 「」」」」 「」」」」 「」」」」 「」」」」 「」」」」 「」」」」 「」」」」 「」」」」 「」」」」 「」」」 「」」」 「」」」」 「」」」 「」」」 「」」」 「」」」	上海 (共 <mark>2</mark> 家) 📕	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	The line is a second se	Rel Rel	43.7 0.8 (Add/ ± 60) 1914-973 (200)	
# 16 (42.2) ● <t< td=""><td>广东 (共1家)</td><td></td><td>0.0 p. (0.0)</td><td>DE LA LE LA LA</td><td>; 24.8% 认缴金额: 1085.1万人民币</td><td>间大家</td></t<>	广东 (共1家)		0.0 p. (0.0)	DE LA LE LA	; 24.8% 认缴金额: 1085.1万人民币	间大家
曲频44 475.8331万人甩商 東敷64 55.667万人甩面 雨 7 3 雨 7 3 雨 7 3 雨 7 3 雨 7 3 雨 7 3 雨 7 3 雨 7 3 雨 7 3 雨 7 3 1 0 1 1 1 0 0 2 9 7 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 0 2 9 7 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 0 2 9 7 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 0 2 9 7 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 0 2 9 7 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 0 2 9 7 1 1 0 1 1 0 0 2 9 7 1 1 0 1 1 0 0 2 9 7 1 1 0 1 1 0 0 2 9 7 1 1 0 1 1 0 0 2 9 7 1 1 0 1 1 0 0 2 9 7 1 1 0 1 1 0 0 2 9 7 1 1 0 1 1 0 0 2 9 7 1 1 0 1 1 0 0 2 9 7 1 1 0 1 1 0 0 2 9 7 1 1 0 1 1 0 0 2 9 7 1 1 0 1 1 0 0 2 9 7 1 1 0 1 1 0 0 2 9 7 1 1 0 1 1 0 0 2 9 7 1 1 0 1 1 0 0 2 9 7 1 1 0 1 1 0 0 2 9 7 1 1 0 1 1 0 0 2 9 7 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 0 2 9 7 1 0 1 1	其他(共2家)	럥	查看评情		登录查看	
غxz目朝 2012-09-29 经 世状态 所要 10017002967741 1001700296774 1001700296774 1001700296774 1001700296774 1001700296774 1001700296774 1001700296774 1001700296774 1001700296774 1001700296774 1001700296774 1001700296774 1001700296774 1001700296774 10017002972032-09-28 1001700297 10017002972032-09-28 1001700297 10017002972032-09-28 1001700297 10017002972032-09-28 1001702992032-09-28 1001702992032-09-28 1001702992032-09-28 1001702992032-09-28 1001702992032-09-28 1001702992032-09-28 1001702992032-09-28 1001702992032-09-28 1001702992032-09-28 1001702992032-09-28 1001702992032-09-28 101702992032-09-28 1001702992032-09-28 <td>主册资本</td> <td>4375.8331万人民币</td> <td>实缴资本</td> <td>853.667万人</td> <td>民币 评分 97 3</td> <td>当前有《【余 18人在讨论</td>	主册资本	4375.8331万人民币	实缴资本	853.667万人	民币 评分 97 3	当前有《【余 18人在讨论
編一社会領目代码 工商注册号 310117002967741 ●●●●●●● ●●●●●●●● ●●●●●●●● ●●●●●●●●● ●●●●●●●●●● ●●●●●●●●●●●●●●●●●●●●●●●●●●●●●●●●●●●●	成立日期	2012-09-29	经营状态	存续		我要提问
 ● 時限 通 ● 時限 通 ● 時限 通 ● 時限 通 ● 市場 電 ● 市場 (日) ● 市場	流—社会信用代码		工商注册	号 310117002	967741 0 1 3 12 50 25 97 99 100	
公司型型 有限责任公司信然人投资或处到 行业 繁件和信息技术服务中 月 成出目用 2012-09-29 登记用关 物证人资数 命运在场监督管理局 1 1 50 2012 1 50 2012 1 50 2012 1 1 1 1 1 50 2012 1 1 1 1 1 1 50 2012 1 1 1 1 50 2012 1 1 1 1 1 1 50 2012 1	呐税人识别号		组织机构	代码 05506145X		
 ☆祖日朝 ①12-09-29 ①12-09-29至2032-09-28 《規入 《規入	公司类型	有限责任公司(自然人投资或控	股) 行业	软件和信息	支术服务业	你的提问将会发送给平均回复时间
書出期限 212-09-292032-09-28 納稅.公預 - - ●保人数 699 ●	亥隹日期	2012-09-29	登记机关	徐汇区市场》	监督管理局	1000+ 位业内人士 58 分钟
AAAA與違 500-999人 會保人数 699 ●	雪业期限	2012-09-29至2032-09-28	纳税人资	.质 -		
and . xxxx . mage . mage . mage . mage	人员规模	500-999人	参保人数	699		《【彖》 看他们怎么讨论本企业
	曾用名	-	英文名称	-		如何看待基因编辑婴儿?
2書范围 网络科技领域内的技术开发、技术服务、技术咨询、技术终让; 计算机软硬件 (除计算机信息系统安全专用产品) 的设计、 开发、销售; 网络工程, 计算机系统集成, 电子设备, 新型电子元器件、图形图像边图如边理系统的设计, 机械设备, 电子产品, 五金交电的销售, 企业管理咨询,从事该物及技术的进出口业务, 电信业务、【依法须经批馆的项目, 经 D不是感题大家弯 逾超年吗? 这个就出 世报 電子产品, 五金交电的销售, 企业管理咨询,从事该物及技术的进出口业务, 电信业务、【依法须经批馆的项目, 经 電看 2 个回答 電子作品, 五金交电的销售, 企业管理咨询,从事该物及技术的进出口业务, 电信业务、【依法须经批馆的项目, 经 電看 2 个回答 電子的工作 (1) 更多 自身风险 14条 读公司 的部分股权处于 状态 (9) 更多 其他 (5) 更多 (1) 更多 電子的 預整理 171 条 读公司 发生了 变更 (3) 更多 预整理 171 条 读公司 发生了 变更 (3) 更多	主册地址		防压公司			🔊 肖毅
正大時月、馬坊三日市が1977年金生 (本日が) 送合へ気見在充今上焼手鍋的吗? 課用「於、43 位用户正在通过天眼风险发展企业危机 ご会司 的部分設長双上手 状态 (9) 更多 自身风险、14条 该公司 的部分設長双上手 状态 (9) 更多 周边风险、15条 该公司 没会的 进行了 (1) 更多 周边风险、15条 该公司 没会的 进行了 (1) 更多 預整提醒、171条 该公司发生了 変更 (3) 更多 重 頂響: 1111条 運 近面金飾了 个同等	经营范围	网络科技领域内的技术开发、打 计、开发、销售;网络工程, 备、电子产品、五金交电的销行 相关部门批准后方可开展经营	支术服务、技术咨询、技术转 †算机系统集成,电子设备、 售,企业管理咨询,从事货物 手动】	:让;计算机软硬件(除计算 新型电子元器件、图形图像)及技术的进出口业务,电信	机信息系统安全专用产品)的设 识别和处理系统的设计,机械设 业务。【依法须经批准的项目,经	D不是鼓励大家弯道超车吗?这个就是 世报 查看2个回答
課用所、43 位用户正在通过天服风险发强企业危机 C天眠會 正 KUITY 化 Rull 自身风险 14条 该公司的部分缺权处于 状态 (9) 更多 其他 (5) 更多 其他 (5) 更多 丁 周边风险 15条 该公司投资的 进行了 (1) 更多 政密提醒 171条 该公司发生了 交更 (3) 更多 重 预警提醒 171条 資金 重 重						这个公司是在京东上做手刷的吗?
自身风险 14条 该公司的部分缺权处于 状态 (9) 更多 其他 (5) 更多 周边风险 15条 该公司 投资的 进行了 (1) 更多 <	眼風院 43 位用	印户正在通过天眼风险发掘企业危机			管調天③	● Activity 2000 是的,超级坑,被骗了36000元 查看1个回答
周边风险 15条 读公司投资的 进行了 (1) 更多 登録着 ご供知田年时 孟州 社員 月他 (14) 更多 重 ご会在科创版了 三 预答提醒 171条 读公司发生了 变更(3) 更多 重 其他 (168) 更多 重 ご	自身风险 14 9		状态	(9) 更多		明年2020年何时上科创板?
预警提醒 171条 读公司发生了 变更 (3) 更多 <u>黄</u> 蛋白 变更 (3) 更多 <u>黄</u> 蛋白 变更 (3) 更多 <u>黄</u> 蛋白 变更 (3) 更多	周边风险 15 :		7	(1) 更多	登录查看	→ 財助住本好孟奸禮里A・上灣 已经在科创板了 查看1个回答
	预警提醒 171		· 变更 (3) 更多	5		
						💧 热门问答

Figure A.2: Example of AI firm record from *Tianyancha* (excerpt).

Highlights



ıh. Round & Amount \sim \$321M 1к \$107M 334 . \bigcirc 50 Π 2016 2017 2018 20.1 2019 2020 2018 2011 2012 2014 2018 Financing Round 💿 Captured Employee Count 📁 Estimated Employee Growth 😑 Date Founded

General Information

Description

Provider and developer of artificial intelligence technology used in the fields of smart cities, smart medical, and smart commerce. The company is engaged in the research of computer vision, image and video intelligent understanding, distributed system and big data application, it offers traffic management software, medical diagnostic technology and intelligent hardware, enabling companies to apply AI technology in their products.

Most Recent Financing Status (as of 13-Feb-2020) The company raised an undisclosed amount of venture funding from Previously, the company raised \$300 million of Series C+ venture funding fro Website Private Company Financing Status Venture Capital-Backed Entity Types Year Founded 2012 Acquirer Legal Name Universe Venture Capital Business Status Employees 1,000 Generating Revenue Ownership Status Privately Held (backing) View Employee History Industries & Verticals Primary Industry Verticals What PitchBook Analysts Say View More Analyst Insights Business/Productivity Software Artificial Intelligence & Machi... "Both incumbents and startups are developing new hardware. While Big Data Google is putting their custom tensor processing units (TPUs) to use for many recent breakthroughs, independent leaders such as Digital Health TMT Cerebras and Graphcore have raised significant capital and developed other novel designs to cater to Al & ML applications." | 10-Dec-2019 | Cameron Stanfill | Artificial Intelligence & Machine Learning +3 **Contact Information** Primary Contact Primary Office Alternate Offices (4) v Beijing der & Chief Executive Officer Co-Fo Phone: **≜**≣

Figure A.3: Example of AI firm record from *Pitchbook* (excerpt).

China Phone:

China Phone:



Figure A.4: Cumulative number of public security and non-public security contracts (top panel), and the flow of new contracts signed in each month (bottom panel).



Figure A.5: Each circle represents a province in our dataset that has at least one public security AI contract that is some AI firm's first government contract. Circle size indicates the number of public security AI contracts awarded to a prefecture in the province (larger circles indicate more contracts, log scale), where prefecture-level contracts are weighted by the number of prefectures in the province. Circle shading indicates the fraction of first AI contracts that were procured during high or low unrest periods, where the within-prefecture variation comes from changes in the number of unrest events in a prefecture over time (a larger fraction of dark shading indicates a larger fraction of prefecture contracts procured during high unrest periods).



Figure A.6: Binscatter plot at the firm level of log(firm capitalization) and amount of software produced.



Figure A.7: Summary statistics of categorization outcomes for software categorizations based on Recurrent Neural Network with Long Short-Term Memory algorithm. Left panel shows categorization by customers; right panel shows categorization by function.



Figure A.8: Confusion matrix of categorization outcomes for software categorizations. True labels are based on training set constructed by human categozations (performed by two individuals). Predicted labels are outputs based on Recurrent Neural Network with Long Short-Term Memory algorithm. Left panel shows categorization by customers; right panel shows categorization by function.



(b) Function

Figure A.9: Probability density plots of software categorizations based on Recurrent Neural Network with Long Short-Term Memory algorithm. Top panel shows categorization by customers; bottom panel shows categorization by function.



Figure A.10: Effect of unrest events on facial recognition AI procurement, varying LASSO seed, for the first 100 seeds. Effect sizes are plotted by magnitude, with 5th, 50th, and 95th percentile effect sizes indicated with lines. The baseline specification used seed 1, which produces an estimated effect at the 87th percentile of effect sizes.



Government surveillance

Figure A.11: Effects of politically motivated contracts on software developed for government surveillance applications. The figure shows effects of first contracts for facial recognition AI firms that earn contracts from public security arms of local governments when there is an above median amount of unrest in the quarter prior to the contract. The figure shows the differential surveillance software production relative to the time when receiving the initial contract. All estimates control for firm and time period fixed effects. Grey lines/markers show the baseline estimated effect over time for firms. Blue lines/markers add controls for the time-varying effects of an index that captures firms' underlying potential to benefit from a contract, composed of firms' pre-contract size and contract value. Dark lines/markers use LASSO selected weather variables to instrument for unrest.



Figure A.12: Surveillance cameras per capita relative to the quarter of political unrest. This figure plots the estimated effects of leads and lags of prefecture political unrest on prefecture surveillance camera procurement per capita from a regression that also includes quarter and prefecture fixed effects. The outcome (camera procurement) and explanatory variables of interest (unrest events) are standardized to mean = 0, variance = 1.



Figure A.13: Public security AI procurement interacted with surveillance cameras per capita relative to the quarter of political unrest. This figure plots the estimated effects of leads and lags of prefecture political unrest on prefecture public security AI procurement interacted with surveillance camera procurement per capita from a regression that also includes quarter and prefecture fixed effects. The outcome (AI × camera procurement) and explanatory variables of interest (unrest events) are standardized to mean = 0, variance = 1.



Data-complementary

Figure A.14: Effects of politically motivated contracts on data-complementary software. Figure shows effects of first contracts for facial recognition AI firms that earn contracts from public security arms of local governments when there is an above median amount of unrest in the quarter prior to the contract. The figure shows the differential data-complementary software production relative to the time when receiving the initial contract. All estimates control for firm and time period fixed effects. Grey lines/markers show the baseline estimated effect over time for firms. Blue lines/markers add controls for the time-varying effects of an index that captures firms' underlying potential to benefit from a contract, composed of firms' pre-contract size and contract value. Dark lines/markers use LASSO selected weather variables to instrument for unrest.



Figure A.15: Differential commercial software production following politically motivated vs. politically neutral public security contracts. This figure displays differential software development by firms that receive politically motivated public security contracts (issued in prefectures with above median unrest in the preceding quarter) versus politically neutral public security ones (issued in prefectures with below median unrest), relative to the time of receiving the initial contract. Grey lines/markers show the baseline estimated effect over time for firms. Dark lines/markers use LASSO selected weather variables to instrument for unrest.

Panel A: Firm HQ in localities that experience political unrest



Panel B: Firm HQ in localities where AI firms receiving politically motivated contracts also have HQ Panel C: Firms that are part of a mother firm with other subsidiaries that received politically motivated contracts



Figure A.16: Spillover effects to firms that do not receive public security procurement contracts. This figure displays the full set of coefficients and standard errors from models presented in Table 8. Panel A shows differential total, government, and commercial software production around the time of locally-experienced political unrest in the prefecture where firms are headquartered, among firms not receiving contracts. Panel B shows differential total, government, and commercial software production around the time when a firm headquartered in the same prefecture receives a politically motivated contract, among firms not receiving contracts. Panel C shows differential total, government, and commercial software production around the time when a firm sharing the same mother firm receives a politically motivated contract, among other subsidiary firms not receiving contracts. All estimates control for time period fixed effects and firm fixed effects. Panels B and C additionally control for contract fixed effects. Black lines/dots show the total software effects; blue lines/diamonds show effects for government software; and, red lines/triangles show effects for commercial software.

	Rank	Algorithm	Submission date	VISA Photos	MUGSHOT Photos	BORDER Photos	WILD Photos
*1	1	<u>cloudwalk-mt-005</u>	29/3/2022	0.0009 ⁽¹⁾	0.0025 ⁽²⁴⁾	0.0305 ⁽⁶⁸⁾	0.8895 ⁽²⁰¹⁾
*1	2	sensetime-007	17/6/2022	0.0022 ⁽¹⁴⁾	0.0021 ⁽²⁾	0.0300 ⁽²¹⁾	0.0423 ⁽²⁾
*]	3	megvii-005	28/3/2022	0.0015 ⁽⁴⁾	0.0026 ⁽³³⁾	0.0313 ⁽¹¹⁴⁾	0.0663 ⁽³⁷⁾
*]	4	sensetime-006	28/12/2021	0.0024 ⁽²⁰⁾	0.0021 ⁽¹⁾	0.0299 ⁽⁶⁾	0.0475 ⁽⁴⁾
	5	kakao-008	12/5/2022	0.0018 ⁽⁸⁾	0.0023 ⁽⁴⁾	0.0447 ⁽²⁶¹⁾	0.0417 ⁽¹⁾
	6	samsungsds-001	18/4/2022	0.0026 ⁽²⁶⁾	0.0023 ⁽⁷⁾	0.0302 ⁽³²⁾	0.2598 ⁽¹⁶⁴⁾
	7	vocord-010	20/12/2021	0.0031 ⁽³⁴⁾	0.0036 ⁽¹⁰¹⁾	0.0301 ⁽³¹⁾	0.0519 ⁽¹¹⁾
	8	ntechlab-012	20/1/2022	0.0016 ⁽⁵⁾	0.0023 ⁽⁹⁾	0.0302 ⁽³⁹⁾	0.0501 ⁽⁸⁾
	9	<u>s1-005</u>	17/6/2022	0.0036 ⁽⁴⁶⁾	0.0025 ⁽²⁹⁾	0.0384 ⁽²²⁵⁾	0.0491 ⁽⁶⁾
	10	hyperverge-003	11/4/2022	0.0030 ⁽³³⁾	0.0025 ⁽²²⁾	0.0302 ⁽⁴¹⁾	0.0502 ⁽⁹⁾

Figure A.17: Face Recognition Vendor Test (FRVT) 2020 ranking of top facial recognition algorithms. Source: *National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST)*.

Government				Commercial			General	
Chinese	English	Freq. (%)	Chinese	English	Freq. (%)	Chinese	English	Freq. (%
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
交通	Traffic	.603	手机	Mobile Phone	.821	视觉	Vision	.474
威视	Nuctech	.382	APP	App	.645	学习	Learning	.378
海康	Haikang	.369	IOS	IOS	.438	腾讯	Tencent	.340
平安	Safety	351	iOS	iOS	430	三维	3D	312
- へ 海信	Hisense	318	企业	Enterprise	331	记别系统	Recognition System	301
城市	City	311	全蝶	Kingdee	327		Algorithm	270
全融	Finance	296	由子	Flectronics	307	计省	Computing	252
安防	Safaty	290	健康	Health	212	必定	Dopth	202
女 的 粉 空	Numbers	.201	白田	Solf Holp	209	无人和	Drono	.223
成丁	Contor	260	毛机游戏	Mobile Came	209	(す)时	Pool time	200
中心· 公 态	Dublic Trenewort	.209	丁九研及	A seister as	.201	天明	Cantifi an tion	.209
公文	Public transport	.216	助于	Assistance	.196	以旧	Destincation	.207
社区	Community	.207	又竹	Pay	.191	火理	Processing	.196
· 明月 中 校	Scheduling	.200	<u> 占 </u> 日 日	Backstage	.189	51 筆	Engine	.194
甲拴	Central Control	.191	日宗	Access Control	.176	· 拉小	lechnique	.187
人傢	Portrait	.163	人上智能	AI	.174	分布式	Distributed	.183
指挥	Command	.161	牛软	Vehicle	.174	仿具	Simulation	.179
辅助	Auxilary	.159	智能家居	Smart Appliance	.169	网易	Netease	.173
摄像机	Camera	.158	工业	Industry	.169	工具软件	Tool Software	.172
万达	Wanda	.148	DHC	DHC	.168	程序	Program	.170
高速公路	Highway	.148	营销	Marketing	.161	互动	Interactive	.166
Panel B: Fur	nction type							
	AI-Common			Data Canada Internet			AT \$7' 1	
	Th Continion			Data-Complementary			AI-video	
Chinese	English	Freq. (%)	Chinese	English	Freq. (%)	Chinese	English	Freq. (
Chinese (1)	English (2)	Freq. (%) (3)	Chinese (4)	English (5)	Freq. (%) (6)	Chinese (7)	English (8)	Freq. (9)
Chinese (1) 指纹	English (2) Fingerprint	Freq. (%) (3) .342	Chinese (4) 存储	English (5) Storage	Freq. (%) (6) .206	Chinese (7) 人脸	English (8) Face	Freq. (⁶ (9)
Chinese (1) 指纹 训练	English (2) Fingerprint Training	Freq. (%) (3) .342 .203	Chinese (4) 存储 可视化	English (5) Storage Visualization	Freq. (%) (6) .206 .167	Chinese (7) 人脸 深度	Al-Video English (8) Face Depth	Freq. (% (9) 1.104 .321
Chinese (1) 指纹 训练 管家	English (2) Fingerprint Training Housekeeper	Freq. (%) (3) .342 .203 .201	Chinese (4) 存储 可视化 一体化	English (5) Storage Visualization Integration	Freq. (%) (6) .206 .167 .164	Chinese (7) 人脸 深度 抓拍	Al-video English (8) Face Depth Snapshot	Freq. (* (9) 1.104 .321 .310
Chinese (1) 指纹 训练 管家 文本	English (2) Fingerprint Training Housekeeper Text	Freq. (%) (3) .342 .203 .201 .151	Chinese (4) 存储 可视化 一体化 分布式	English (5) Storage Visualization Integration Distributed	Freq. (%) (6) .206 .167 .164 .162	Chinese (7) 人脸 深度 抓拍 商汤	Al-Video English (8) Face Depth Snapshot SenseTime	Freq. (* (9) 1.104 .321 .310 .287
Chinese (1) 指纹 消练 章文本路	English (2) Fingerprint Training Housekeeper Text Highway	Freq. (%) (3) .342 .203 .201 .151 .150	Chinese (4) 存储 可视化 一体化 分布式 仿真	English (5) Storage Visualization Integration Distributed Simulation	Freq. (%) (6) 206 .167 .164 .162 .157	Chinese (7) 人脸 深度 抓拍 商汤 考勤	Al-video English (8) Face Depth Snapshot SenseTime Attendance	Freq. (* (9) 1.104 .321 .310 .287 .258
Chinese (1) 指纹 第家本 了速速 開	English (2) Fingerprint Training Housekeeper Text Highway Iris	Freq. (%) (3) .342 .203 .201 .151 .150 .147	Chinese (4) 存储 可视化 一体化 分布式 仿真 医学影像	English (5) Storage Visualization Integration Distributed Simulation Medical Imaging	Freq. (%) (6) .206 .167 .164 .162 .157 .148	Chinese (7) 人脸 深拍 商汤 考勤 科达	Al-video English (8) Face Depth Snapshot SenseTime Attendance Kedacom	Freq. (' (9) 1.104 .321 .310 .287 .258 .258
Chinese (1) 指 指 第 第 次速 原 虹汽	English (2) Fingerprint Training Housekeeper Text Highway Iris Car	Freq. (%) (3) .342 .203 .201 .151 .150 .147 .143	Chinese (4) 存储 可视化 一体化 分布式 医学影像 周用	English (5) Storage Visualization Integration Distributed Simulation Medical Imaging General	Freq. (%) (6) .206 .167 .164 .162 .157 .148 .144	Chinese (7) 人脸度 抓商考科跟 路蒙 私踪	Al-Video English (8) Face Depth Snapshot SenseTime Attendance Kedacom Track	Freq. ((9) 1.104 .321 .310 .287 .258 .258 .249
Chinese (1) 指圳管文速虹汽海 汽海东	English (2) Fingerprint Training Housekeeper Text Highway Iris Car Haier	Freq. (%) (3) .342 .203 .201 .151 .150 .147 .143 .137	Chinese (4) 存储 可一体布式 仿影像 運用成	English (5) Storage Visualization Integration Distributed Simulation Medical Imaging General Integrated	Freq. (%) (6) .206 .167 .164 .162 .157 .148 .144 .141	Chinese (7) 人脸度 抓汤汤勒达踪 录	Al-video English (8) Face Depth Snapshot SenseTime Attendance Kedacom Track Panoramic	Freq. ((9) 1.104 .321 .310 .287 .258 .258 .258 .249 .224
Chinese (1) 指纹 指训管文本公膜 高虹膜 海 來 WPS	English (2) Fingerprint Training Housekeeper Text Highway Iris Car Haier WPS	Freq. (%) (3) .342 .203 .201 .151 .150 .147 .143 .137 .134	Chinese (4) 存储 可视体化 分布式真 医学運用 運成 数据管理	English (5) Storage Visualization Integration Distributed Simulation Medical Imaging General Integrated Data Management	Freq. (%) (6) .206 .167 .164 .162 .157 .148 .144 .141 .136	Chinese (7) 人腔度 抓抓汤 對 科 起 除 景 申	Al-video English (8) Face Depth Snapshot SenseTime Attendance Kedacom Track Panoramic Broadcastt	Freq. ((9) 1.104 .321 .310 .257 .258 .249 .224 .224
Chinese (1) 指纹练行家本公膜 高虹虹汽本路 一次速虹汽车尔 WPS 翻译	English (2) Fingerprint Training Housekeeper Text Highway Iris Car Haier WPS Translate	Freq. (%) (3) .342 .203 .201 .151 .150 .147 .143 .137 .134 .126	Chinese (4) 存储 可他体式 方方真 医学通用 集院 数据管理	English (5) Storage Visualization Integration Distributed Simulation Medical Imaging General Integrated Data Management UTV	Freq. (%) (6) 206 .167 .164 .162 .157 .148 .144 .141 .136 .136	Chinese (7) 人腔度 抓前 商勤 本和 股票 早 申 示	Al-video English (8) Face Depth Snapshot SenseTime Attendance Kedacom Track Panoramic Broadcastt Target (Objective	Freq. ((9) 1.104 .321 .310 .287 .258 .258 .249 .224 .209 189
Chinese (1) 指训管文速虹汽海 WPS 翻進	English (2) Fingerprint Training Housekeeper Text Highway Iris Car Haier WPS Translate Recommend	Freq. (%) (3) .342 .203 .201 .151 .150 .147 .143 .137 .134 .126 .124	Chinese (4) 存祝体布有真影用成置 数字資源 数字容板	English (5) Storage Visualization Integration Distributed Simulation Medical Imaging General Integrated Data Management UTV Manage	Freq. (%) (6) .206 .167 .164 .162 .157 .148 .144 .141 .136 .136 .136 .126	Chinese (7) 人深拍扬勤达踪景电标曲 目标曲	Al-video English (8) Face Depth Snapshot SenseTime Attendance Kedacom Track Panoramic Broadcastt Target/Objective Licence Plate	Freq. ((9) 1.104 .321 .310 .287 .258 .249 .224 .209 .189 .89
Chinese (1) 指纹 前家本 空文本 路 虹汽海尔 WPS 翻推网 一	English (2) Fingerprint Training Housekeeper Text Highway Iris Car Haier WPS Translate Recommend Image	Freq. (%) (3) .342 .203 .201 .151 .150 .147 .143 .137 .134 .126 .124 .119	Chinese (4) 存裙视体布有其像 可一分布支影用成 要据字管 支援 室 通線指	English (5) Storage Visualization Integration Distributed Simulation Medical Imaging General Integrated Data Management UTV Manage High Seneed	Freq. (%) (6) .206 .167 .164 .162 .157 .148 .144 .141 .136 .136 .126 .126	Chinese (7) 人深抓商考科跟全广目标牌征	Al-video English (8) Face Depth Snapshot SenseTime Attendance Kedacom Track Panoramic Broadcastt Target/Objective License Plate Easturn	Freq. (° (9) 1.104 .321 .310 .287 .258 .249 .224 .209 .189 .189
Chinese (1) 指训管文本公膜车尔路 國推復 W翻推图测	English (2) Fingerprint Training Housekeeper Text Highway Iris Car Haier WPS Translate Recommend Image Text	Freq. (%) (3) .342 .203 .201 .151 .150 .147 .143 .137 .134 .126 .124 .119 .116	Chinese (4) 存裙化 可一体布式 好個化 分仿学通用成置 数据管视控速体 實證	English (5) Storage Visualization Integration Distributed Simulation Medical Imaging General Integrated Data Management UTV Manage High Speed Media (Medium	Freq. (%) (6) 206 .167 .164 .162 .157 .148 .144 .141 .136 .136 .136 .126 .126 .125	Chinese (7) 人深抓拍荡勤达踪景电标牌征亚	Al-video English (8) Face Depth Snapshot SenseTime Attendance Kedacom Track Panoramic Broadcastt Target/Objective License Plate Feature Platinum	Freq. (' (9) 1.104 .321 .310 .287 .258 .258 .258 .249 .249 .224 .209 .189 .189 .189
Chinese (1) 指训管文本公膜车尔 高虹汽海外S WPS译荐片量信	English (2) Fingerprint Training Housekeeper Text Highway Iris Car Haier WPS Translate Recommend Image Test Cradit	Freq. (%) (3) .342 .203 .201 .151 .150 .147 .143 .137 .134 .126 .124 .119 .116 .111	Chinese (4) 存確化化 分仿学通果据字管控 累据字管控 電線体 5.0	English (5) Storage Visualization Integration Distributed Simulation Medical Imaging General Integrated Data Management UTV Manage High Speed Media/Medium Phone Software	Freq. (%) (6) 206 .167 .164 .162 .157 .148 .144 .141 .136 .136 .126 .126 .125 .125	Chinese (7) 人深抓商券科跟全广目车特征亚整	Al-video English (8) Face Depth Snapshot SenseTime Attendance Kedacom Track Panoramic Broadcastt Target/Objective License Plate Feature Platinum	Freq. (' (9) 1.104 .321 .310 .287 .258 .249 .224 .209 .189 .189 .189 .184 .175
Chinese (1) 指約第一次 11 約第二次 第二次 第二次 <td>English (2) Fingerprint Training Housekeeper Text Highway Iris Car Haier WPS Translate Recommend Image Test Credit</td> <td>Freq. (%) (3) .342 .203 .201 .151 .150 .147 .143 .137 .134 .126 .124 .119 .116 .111 .106</td> <td>Chinese (4) 存一分布了一分布了。 存视体布式真像 要用成 實書: 要求 實書: 要求 算法 子言。 (4)</td> <td>English (5) Storage Visualization Integration Distributed Simulation Medical Imaging General Integrated Data Management UTV Manage High Speed Media/Medium Phone Software Design</td> <td>Freq. (%) (6) .206 .167 .164 .162 .157 .148 .144 .141 .136 .136 .126 .126 .125 .125 .125 .125</td> <td>Chinese (7) 人深抓商考科跟全广目车特铂预运</td> <td>Al-Video English (8) Face Depth Snapshot SenseTime Attendance Kedacom Track Panoramic Broadcastt Target/Objective License Plate Feature Platinum Warning</td> <td>Freq. ((9) 1.104 .321 .310 .287 .258 .249 .224 .209 .189 .189 .184 .175 .166</td>	English (2) Fingerprint Training Housekeeper Text Highway Iris Car Haier WPS Translate Recommend Image Test Credit	Freq. (%) (3) .342 .203 .201 .151 .150 .147 .143 .137 .134 .126 .124 .119 .116 .111 .106	Chinese (4) 存一分布了一分布了。 存视体布式真像 要用成 實書: 要求 實書: 要求 算法 子言。 (4)	English (5) Storage Visualization Integration Distributed Simulation Medical Imaging General Integrated Data Management UTV Manage High Speed Media/Medium Phone Software Design	Freq. (%) (6) .206 .167 .164 .162 .157 .148 .144 .141 .136 .136 .126 .126 .125 .125 .125 .125	Chinese (7) 人深抓商考科跟全广目车特铂预运	Al-Video English (8) Face Depth Snapshot SenseTime Attendance Kedacom Track Panoramic Broadcastt Target/Objective License Plate Feature Platinum Warning	Freq. ((9) 1.104 .321 .310 .287 .258 .249 .224 .209 .189 .189 .184 .175 .166
Chinese (1) 指训管文庫虹汽海W译本公膜车尔S译荐片量信别地 维佐·	English (2) Fingerprint Training Housekeeper Text Highway Iris Car Haier WPS Translate Recommend Image Test Credit Fingerprint Recognition	Freq. (%) (3) .342 .203 .201 .151 .150 .147 .143 .137 .134 .126 .124 .119 .116 .111 .106	Chinese (4) 存耐一分价学通集据字管高媒机软订口 数据字管高媒体软订口	English (5) Storage Visualization Integration Distributed Simulation Medical Imaging General Integrated Data Management UTV Manage High Speed Media/Medium Phone Software Design	Freq. (%) (6) 206 .167 .164 .162 .157 .148 .144 .141 .136 .136 .126 .126 .125 .125 .117	Chinese (7) 人深抓商考科政院景电标牌征亚警通按	Al-Video English (8) Face Depth Snapshot SenseTime Attendance Kedacom Track Panoramic Broadcastt Target/Objective License Plate Feature Platinum Warning American Express	Freq. ((9) 1.104 .321 .258 .249 .249 .244 .209 .189 .189 .189 .189 .185 .166 .163
Chinese (1) 指训管文惠虹汽海吸译者片量信识业信 指	English (2) Fingerprint Training Housekeeper Text Highway Iris Car Haier WPS Translate Recommend Image Test Credit Fingerprint Recognition Operation UCEN	Freq. (%) (3) .342 .203 .201 .151 .150 .147 .143 .137 .134 .126 .124 .119 .116 .111 .106 .106 .105	Chinese (4) 存可一分仿学通集据字管酒媒机设计口 費加條件 實加。 要求 重加 要求 重加 重加 在	English (5) Storage Visualization Integration Distributed Simulation Medical Imaging General Integrated Data Management UTV Manage High Speed Media/Medium Phone Software Design Interface	Freq. (%) (6) 206 .167 .164 .162 .157 .148 .144 .141 .136 .136 .126 .126 .125 .125 .125 .117 .117 .117	Chinese (7) 人深抓商考科跟全广目车特铂预运指统 指统	Al-video English (8) Face Depth Snapshot SenseTime Attendance Kedacom Track Panoramic Broadcastt Target/Objective License Plate Feature Platinum Warning American Express Command	Freq. ((9) 1.104 .321 .310 .287 .258 .249 .224 .224 .209 .189 .189 .189 .189 .189 .184 .175 .166 .163 .158
Chinese (1) 指训管文速汽海政汽海外路推图测征货作微测 指数,如此有效。 (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2	English (2) Fingerprint Training Housekeeper Text Highway Iris Car Haier WPS Translate Recommend Image Test Credit Fingerprint Recognition Operation WeChat	Freq. (%) (3) .342 .203 .201 .151 .150 .147 .143 .137 .134 .126 .124 .119 .116 .111 .106 .106 .105	(4) 存可一分仿学通集据字管高媒机设计反发 数字管高媒机设计反发	English (5) Storage Visualization Integration Distributed Simulation Medical Imaging General Integrated Data Management UTV Manage High Speed Media/Medium Phone Software Design Interface Development	Freq. (%) (6) 206 .167 .164 .162 .157 .148 .144 .141 .136 .136 .126 .126 .125 .125 .125 .117 .117 .117 .116	Chinese (7) 人深抓商考科跟全广目车特铂预运指统5	Al-video English (8) Face Depth Snapshot SenseTime Attendance Kedacom Track Panoramic Broadcastt Target/Objective License Plate Feature Platinum Warning American Express Command Statistics	Freq. (* (9) 1.104 .321 .310 .287 .258 .258 .249 .224 .209 .189 .189 .184 .175 .166 .163 .158 .149
Chinese (1) 指训管文毫虹汽海WPS译表片量信识业信估」 W翻推图测征纹作微评。	English (2) Fingerprint Training Housekeeper Text Highway Iris Car Haier WPS Translate Recommend Image Test Credit Fingerprint Recognition Operation WeChat Assessment	Freq. (%) (3) .342 .203 .201 .151 .150 .147 .143 .137 .134 .126 .124 .119 .116 .111 .106 .106 .105 .105 .105	(4) 存可一分仿学通集据字管高媒机设接开务器的 器件。 一次估计算一个。 一次一次一个。 一次一个。 一次一个。 一次一个。 一次一个。 一次一个。 一次一个。 一次一个。 一次一个。 一次一个。 一个。 一次一个。 一个。 一个。 一次一个。 一次一个。 一次一个。 一次一个。 一次一个。 一次一个。 一次一个。 一次一个。 一次一个。 一次一个。 一次一个。 一个。 一个。 一个。 一个。 一个。 一个。 一个。 一个。 一个。	English (5) Storage Visualization Integration Distributed Simulation Medical Imaging General Integrated Data Management UTV Manage High Speed Media/Medium Phone Software Design Interface Development Server	Freq. (%) (6) 206 .167 .164 .162 .157 .148 .144 .141 .136 .126 .126 .125 .125 .125 .125 .125 .117 .117 .116 .116 .116	Chinese (7) 人深抓商考科跟全广目车特铂预运指统安行	Al-video English (8) Face Depth Snapshot SenseTime Attendance Kedacom Track Panoramic Broadcastt Target/Objective License Plate Feature Platinum Warning American Express Command Statistics Safety	Freq. (' (9) 1.104 .321 .310 .287 .258 .249 .224 .209 .189 .184 .175 .166 .163 .158 .149 .149
Chinese (1) 指训管文運虹汽海WPF 指训管文本公膜车尔SF译荐片量信识业信估云台 制 40%	English (2) Fingerprint Training Housekeeper Text Highway Iris Car Haier WPS Translate Recommend Image Test Credit Fingerprint Recognition Operation WeChat Assessment Alcloud	Freq. (%) (3) (3) (3) (3) (151 (151 (151) (151) (147 (143) (147) ((4) 存可一分仿学通集据字管高媒机设接开服建装数。 管部体布真影用成管视控速体软计口发器件。	English (5) Storage Visualization Integration Distributed Simulation Medical Imaging General Integrated Data Management UTV Manage High Speed Media/Medium Phone Software Design Interface Development Server Processing Software	Freq. (%) (6) 206 .167 .164 .162 .157 .148 .144 .141 .136 .136 .126 .126 .125 .125 .125 .117 .117 .117 .116 .116 .113	Chinese (7) 人深抓商考科跟全广目车特铂预运指统安K的 SDK的	Al-video English (8) Face Depth Snapshot SenseTime Attendance Kedacom Track Panoramic Broadcastt Target/Objective License Plate Feature Platinum Warning American Express Command Statistics Safety SDK	Freq. ((9) 1.104 .321 .310 .287 .258 .249 .224 .209 .189 .189 .189 .189 .189 .189 .189 .18

Table A.1: Top predicted words from LSTM model — non-binary categorization of software
		Public sec	urity AI pr	ocurement	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
Panel A.1: OLS — protests					
Unrest events $t-1$	0.153***	0.152***	0.153***	0.158***	0.158***
	(0.016)	(0.016)	(0.016)	(0.018)	(0.018)
Panel A.2: IV — protests					
Unrest events $_{t-1}$	0.291***	0.294***	0.291***	0.264***	0.265***
	(0.056)	(0.056)	(0.056)	(0.079)	(0.079)
Panel B.1: OLS — demands	5				
Unrest events $t-1$	0.120***	0.117***	0.119***	0.121***	0.121***
	(0.041)	(0.042)	(0.041)	(0.039)	(0.039)
Panel B.2: IV — demands					
Unrest events $_{t-1}$	0.295***	0.295***	0.295***	0.258***	0.258***
	(0.076)	(0.076)	(0.076)	(0.073)	(0.073)
Panel C.1: OLS — threats					
Unrest events $_{t-1}$	0.197***	0.192***	0.197***	0.202***	0.202***
	(0.051)	(0.054)	(0.052)	(0.052)	(0.052)
Panel C.2: IV — threats					
Unrest events $_{t-1}$	0.347***	0.205**	0.347***	0.181	0.181
	(0.081)	(0.093)	(0.081)	(0.111)	(0.111)
$GDP \times quarter$	Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Log population × quarter	No	Yes	No	No	Yes
Gov. revenue \times quarter	No	No	Yes	No	Yes
AI stock $_{t-2}$	No	No	No	Yes	Yes

 Table A.2: Effect of different kinds of unrest on AI procurement

Notes: This table follows Table 2 and presents regressions at the prefecturequarter level. The outcome is the number of public security facial recognition AI contracts procured by the local government, standardized to mean = 0 and variance = 1. The explanatory variable of interest in Panel A restricts unrest to only protests, Panel B restricts unrest events to only demands, and Panel C restricts unrest events to only threats, all of which are standardized. Column 1 controls for prefecture GDP x quarter effects, column 2 controls for log prefecture population x quarter effects, column 3 controls for prefectural government tax revenue x quarter effects, column 4 controls for the prefecture's AI stock one quarter prior to unrest events (and two quarters prior to the AI procurement outcome), and column 5 includes all controls. Panels A.1, B.1, and C.1 present OLS regression estimates. Panels A.2, B.2, and C.2 present a cross-fit partialing-out LASSO IV specification: we instrument for daily unrest events using weather variables interacted with themselves and an indicator for whether an unrest event occurred elsewhere in China on the day (variables are selected by LASSO), and aggregate to the quarter level. All specifications include prefecture and quarter fixed effects. Standard errors are clustered by prefecture. * significant at 10% ** significant at 5% *** significant at 1%.

Variable				C	ross-fitt	ing fold	l #			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
Thunder X unrest elsewhere	.0394	.0437	.0223		.0077	.0364		.0424	.0314	.0222
Thunder X visibility	.0002	.0010	.0048							.0222
Precipitation X pressure X unrest elsewhere			.0167	.0223			.0159			.0091
Thunder X pressure X unrest elsewhere				.0032			.0034	.0002		
Pressure X visibility X unrest elsewhere				.0026	.0019		.0034		.0053	
Pressure X pressure X unrest elsewhere				.0011	.0235					
Snow X pressure X unrest elsewhere					.0015	.0005				
Fog X visibility							.0023			
Fog X visibility X unrest elsewhere									.0019	

 Table A.3: First stage - LASSO selected variables and weights

Notes: This table displays the weather variables selected by LASSO alongside the weights placed on each variable by the LASSO regression. Since a cross-fit partialing-out LASSO IV is used, results for each of the ten folds are displayed. Thunder, snow, and fog are indicators for the presence of each weather condition. Precipitation is measured in inches, visibility in miles, and pressure in millibars (mean station pressure). Unrest elsewhere is an indicator for an unrest event occurring elsewhere in China on the day. Empty cells indicate omitted weather variables for a particular fold.

	Police	e hires
	(1)	(2)
Panel A: Police hires		
Public security AI_{t-1}	-0.072* (0.039)	-0.072* (0.039)
Panel B: % office police		
Public security events $_{t-1}$	0.047** (0.020)	0.044** (0.020)
Prefecture revenue Prefecture population	Yes No	Yes Yes

Table A.4: Effect of public security AI on police hiring

Notes: This table presents regressions at the prefecture-year level, with police hiring data one year after AI procurement. The outcome in Panel A is the standardized number of new police hired, the outcome in Panel B is the share of desk jobs among new police hires. In both panels, the explanatory variable of interest is the standardized number of public security AI contracts, topcoded at the 95th percentile. Column 1 controls for local prefecture government revenue in the given year, and column 2 adds a control for prefecture population. All specifications include prefecture and year fixed effects. Standard errors are robust. * significant at 10% ** significant at 5% *** significant at 1%.

	Standardized number of events			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Conducive weather	0.5720***	0.5708***	0.5718***	0.5719***
	(0.0157)	(0.0155)	(0.0157)	(0.0157)
Politician incentive	0.0012	0.0015	0.0013	0.0012
	(0.0014)	(0.0014)	(0.0014)	(0.0014)
Conducive weather \times politician incentive	-0.0192	-0.0193	-0.0189	-0.0194
	(0.0119)	(0.0120)	(0.0118)	(0.0119)
$GDP \times quarter$	Yes	No	No	Yes
Log population \times quarter	No	Yes	No	Yes
Gov. revenue \times quarter	No	No	Yes	Yes

Table A.5: Effects of local politicians' incentives on current unrest

Notes: This table follows the specification in Table 4 columns 1-4, and presents regressions at the prefecture-quarter level. Conducive weather (LASSO) is the standardized number of predicted events from the fine weather LASSO variables interacted with whether there was an event elsewhere in China on the day. Local unrest in prior periods is also standardized; we construct an index of the career concerns of the prefecture leader using their age and political hierarchy level, following Wang et al. (2020). Prefecture and quarter fixed effects are included. Column 1 adds controls for prefecture GDP \times quarter fixed effects, column 2 adds controls for log prefecture population \times quarter fixed effects, and column 4 adds all prior controls. Standard errors are clustered by prefecture. * significant at 10% ** significant at 5% *** significant at 1%.

		F				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
8 quarters before contract	3.402	4.756	0.274	1.744	2.414	-0.230
Ĩ	(3.189)	(4.164)	(2.038)	(1.771)	(2.132)	(1.492)
7 quarters before contract	2.342	3.649	-0.178	1.279	1.894	-0.347
1	(2.823)	(3.882)	(1.825)	(1.469)	(1.777)	(1.190)
6 quarters before contract	1.759	2.998	-0.295	1.047	1.465	-0.308
1	(2.421)	(3.643)	(1.696)	(1.343)	(1.603)	(1.147)
5 quarters before contract	1.136	2.647	-0.476	0.365	0.782	-0.762
-	(1.247)	(2.295)	(0.878)	(0.755)	(0.961)	(0.648)
4 quarters before contract	0.721	2.288	-0.362	0.124	0.542	-0.713
1	(1.101)	(2.459)	(0.878)	(0.705)	(0.928)	(0.629)
3 quarters before contract	-0.115	1.528	-0.733	-0.106	0.277	-0.647
1	(0.730)	(2.099)	(0.725)	(0.580)	(0.729)	(0.561)
2 quarters before contract	-1.174*	0.505	-1.510**	-0.837*	-0.428	-1.095**
1	(0.686)	(1.810)	(0.652)	(0.424)	(0.557)	(0.423)
Receiving 1st contract	1.044	1.434	1.101	0.563	0.648	0.831*
0	(2.077)	(2.396)	(1.880)	(0.480)	(0.492)	(0.438)
1 quarter after contract	2.570	3.880**	2.707	1.878	1.968*	2.203**
Ĩ	(2.531)	(1.878)	(2.062)	(1.221)	(1.153)	(1.063)
2 quarters after contract	3.180	4.789**	3.680	2.633*	3.113**	3.261***
Ĩ	(2.787)	(2.093)	(2.243)	(1.401)	(1.345)	(1.235)
3 quarters after contract	4.037	5.702**	4.783*	3.185*	3.704**	3.993***
1	(3.357)	(2.782)	(2.566)	(1.678)	(1.708)	(1.416)
4 quarters after contract	5.659	7.338**	6.604*	4.074*	4.627**	5.138***
Ĩ	(4.260)	(3.577)	(3.395)	(2.097)	(2.115)	(1.813)
5 quarters after contract	6.022	7.772**	7.372**	5.278**	5.785***	6.416***
Ĩ	(4.285)	(3.254)	(3.285)	(2.198)	(2.178)	(1.857)
6 quarters after contract	7.204	8.565**	8.943**	6.308**	6.721***	7.599***
1	(4.916)	(3.476)	(3.678)	(2.409)	(2.417)	(2.054)
7 quarters after contract	8.916	10.178**	11.092**	7.708**	8.108***	9.324***
1	(6.147)	(4.052)	(4.797)	(2.929)	(2.913)	(2.560)
8 quarters after contract	10.746***	11.245***	13.149***	9.258***	9.673***	11.114***
1	(3.917)	(3.313)	(3.059)	(2.072)	(2.071)	(1.926)
Unrest events	All	All	All	Instrumented	Instrumented	Instrumented
Firm characteristics	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No
Event-study weighting	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes

 Table A.6: Total effect of politically-motivated public security contracts on total software production

Notes: The table presents regression coefficients for facial recognition AI firms that earn contracts from local governments when there is an above median amount of unrest in the quarter prior to the contract. The table shows the difference in total software production between firms that earn politically motivated (public security) contracts versus firms that do not earn a contract. Columns 1-3 measure unrest using all observed events. Columns 4-6 measure unrest using the predicted unrest events based on the LASSO IV specification discussed in the text. All columns control for time period fixed effects and firm fixed effects. Columns 2 and 5 include control for the time-varying effects of the contract and company size (an inverse covariance weighted z-score for contract size and company size interacted with year indicators, following Anderson (2008)). Columns 3 and 6 weight the control group by 1000 times more than the treatment, following Borusyak et al. (2017). Standard errors are clustered at the contract location (prefecture) level. * significant at 10% ** significant at 5% *** significant at 1%.

Table A.7: Total effect of politically-motivated public security contracts on government software production

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
8 quarters before contract	1.523	1.833	0.408	0.660	0.744	-0.109
1	(1.309)	(1.483)	(0.967)	(0.695)	(0.729)	(0.679)
7 quarters before contract	0.928	1.238	0.049	0.363	0.445	-0.282
1	(1.082)	(1.312)	(0.759)	(0.526)	(0.561)	(0.494)
6 quarters before contract	0.814	1.107	0.103	0.329	0.380	-0.203
1	(0.992)	(1.255)	(0.755)	(0.481)	(0.511)	(0.455)
5 quarters before contract	0.620	0.953	0.064	0.188	0.242	-0.262
1	(0.499)	(0.719)	(0.396)	(0.370)	(0.386)	(0.368)
4 quarters before contract	0.360	0.724	-0.018	0.017	0.068	-0.311
1	(0.416)	(0.699)	(0.335)	(0.336)	(0.358)	(0.330)
3 quarters before contract	0.292	0.660	0.025	-0.004	0.044	-0.226
1	(0.284)	(0.563)	(0.269)	(0.212)	(0.226)	(0.208)
2 quarters before contract	-0.378*	0.004	-0.508**	-0.253	-0.202	-0.377*
1	(0.212)	(0.471)	(0.196)	(0.228)	(0.236)	(0.222)
Receiving 1st contract	0.388	0.468	0.402	0.302*	0.307*	0.356**
5	(0.374)	(0.390)	(0.349)	(0.175)	(0.171)	(0.163)
1 quarter after contract	1.081*	1.371***	1.061**	0.724**	0.736**	0.776***
-	(0.571)	(0.488)	(0.493)	(0.282)	(0.279)	(0.258)
2 quarters after contract	1.271**	1.646***	1.386***	1.221***	1.275***	1.364***
-	(0.594)	(0.479)	(0.492)	(0.301)	(0.295)	(0.275)
3 quarters after contract	1.634*	2.023**	1.793**	1.393***	1.469***	1.626***
	(0.944)	(0.814)	(0.793)	(0.417)	(0.422)	(0.365)
4 quarters after contract	1.972	2.345**	2.276**	1.691***	1.763***	2.027***
	(1.177)	(1.081)	(1.019)	(0.557)	(0.562)	(0.505)
5 quarters after contract	2.083	2.462**	2.476**	2.071***	2.143***	2.438***
	(1.340)	(1.163)	(1.144)	(0.656)	(0.661)	(0.623)
6 quarters after contract	2.443	2.769*	3.009**	2.352***	2.413***	2.782***
	(1.636)	(1.385)	(1.452)	(0.747)	(0.754)	(0.742)
7 quarters after contract	3.143	3.403**	3.815**	2.799***	2.846***	3.341***
	(2.067)	(1.653)	(1.772)	(0.941)	(0.944)	(0.931)
8 quarters after contract	3.441**	3.538**	4.191***	3.115***	3.171***	3.755***
	(1.497)	(1.361)	(1.363)	(0.900)	(0.898)	(0.943)
Unrest events	All	All	All	Instrumented	Instrumented	Instrumented
Firm characteristics	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No
Event-study weighting	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes

Notes: The table presents regression coefficients for facial recognition AI firms that earn contracts from local governments when there is an above median amount of unrest in the quarter prior to the contract. The table shows the difference in government software production between firms that earn politically motivated (public security) contracts versus firms that do not earn a contract. Columns 1-3 measure unrest using all observed events. Columns 4-6 measure unrest using the predicted unrest events based on the LASSO IV specification discussed in the text. All columns control for time period fixed effects and firm fixed effects. Columns 2 and 5 include control for the time-varying effects of the contract and company size (an inverse covariance weighted z-score for contract size and company size interacted with year indicators, following Anderson (2008)). Columns 3 and 6 weight the control group by 1000 times more than the treatment, following Borusyak et al. (2017). Standard errors are clustered at the contract location (prefecture) level. * significant at 10% ** significant at 5% *** significant at 1%.

Table A.8: Total effect of politically-motivated public security contracts on commercial software production

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
8 quarters before contract	1,496	2.256	0.148	0.492	0.798	-0.225
• 1	(1.599)	(2.109)	(1.031)	(0.741)	(0.944)	(0.491)
7 quarters before contract	0.936	1.668	-0.121	0.356	0.632	-0.218
1	(1.330)	(1.949)	(0.858)	(0.618)	(0.763)	(0.417)
6 quarters before contract	0.689	1.388	-0.173	0.265	0.443	-0.218
1	(1.129)	(1.867)	(0.769)	(0.541)	(0.674)	(0.385)
5 quarters before contract	0.502	1.388	-0.208	0.222	0.418	-0.197
1	(0.566)	(1.218)	(0.305)	(0.327)	(0.423)	(0.230)
4 quarters before contract	0.433	1.346	-0.049	0.168	0.371	-0.146
1	(0.468)	(1.360)	(0.294)	(0.276)	(0.395)	(0.197)
3 quarters before contract	-0.035	0.893	-0.298	0.043	0.204	-0.155
1	(0.288)	(1.222)	(0.188)	(0.209)	(0.292)	(0.178)
2 quarters before contract	-0.361	0.613	-0.516***	-0.181	-0.005	-0.302**
1	(0.219)	(1.050)	(0.183)	(0.161)	(0.239)	(0.146)
Receiving 1st contract	0.560*	0.758	0.557**	0.425*	0.461	0.487**
0	(0.326)	(0.716)	(0.248)	(0.220)	(0.289)	(0.190)
1 quarter after contract	1.096	1.761	1.088	0.945	0.970	1.004
1	(1.471)	(1.096)	(1.232)	(0.827)	(0.795)	(0.723)
2 quarters after contract	1.194	2.044*	1.394	1.046	1.268	1.223
-	(1.544)	(1.151)	(1.275)	(0.918)	(0.917)	(0.787)
3 quarters after contract	1.464	2.383	1.725	1.217	1.414	1.458
-	(1.908)	(1.680)	(1.524)	(1.048)	(1.085)	(0.878)
4 quarters after contract	2.132	3.095	2.438	1.588	1.835	1.938*
	(2.357)	(1.937)	(1.891)	(1.286)	(1.326)	(1.094)
5 quarters after contract	2.177	3.208*	2.685	1.929	2.155	2.325**
	(2.276)	(1.741)	(1.731)	(1.339)	(1.356)	(1.088)
6 quarters after contract	2.673	3.449*	3.398*	2.347*	2.527*	2.813**
	(2.537)	(1.741)	(1.925)	(1.406)	(1.433)	(1.137)
7 quarters after contract	3.567	4.263**	4.434*	3.020*	3.191*	3.571**
	(3.181)	(1.956)	(2.498)	(1.703)	(1.700)	(1.406)
8 quarters after contract	5.090**	5.318***	5.976***	3.728***	3.903***	4.374***
	(2.293)	(1.906)	(1.794)	(1.161)	(1.175)	(0.958)
Unrest events	All	All	All	Instrumented	Instrumented	Instrumented
Firm characteristics	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No
Event-study weighting	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes

Notes: The table presents regression coefficients for facial recognition AI firms that earn contracts from local governments when there is an above median amount of unrest in the quarter prior to the contract. The table shows the difference in commercial software production between firms that earn politically motivated (public security) contracts versus firms that do not earn a contract. Columns 1-3 measure unrest using all observed events. Columns 4-6 measure unrest using the predicted unrest events based on the LASSO IV specification discussed in the text. All columns control for time period fixed effects and firm fixed effects. Columns 2 and 5 include control for the time-varying effects of the contract and company size (an inverse covariance weighted z-score for contract size and company size interacted with year indicators, following Anderson (2008)). Columns 3 and 6 weight the control group by 1000 times more than the treatment, following Borusyak et al. (2017). Standard errors are clustered at the contract location (prefecture) level. * significant at 10% ** significant at 5% *** significant at 1%.

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
8 quarters before contract	4.898	3.578	0.344	1.210	0.077	-1.309
	(3.216)	(2.346)	(1.144)	(1.305)	(1.168)	(0.824)
7 quarters before contract	4.060	2.917	0.195	0.924	0.121	-1.230*
	(2.847)	(2.096)	(1.039)	(1.116)	(1.020)	(0.626)
6 quarters before contract	3.013	2.646	-0.053	1.111	0.691	-0.618
-	(2.186)	(1.954)	(0.783)	(0.926)	(0.903)	(0.513)
5 quarters before contract	1.280	0.927	-1.234***	0.217	-0.516	-1.192***
	(1.249)	(1.006)	(0.450)	(0.521)	(0.496)	(0.431)
4 quarters before contract	0.704	0.598	-1.123***	-0.135	-0.661	-1.229***
	(0.967)	(0.852)	(0.279)	(0.448)	(0.482)	(0.390)
3 quarters before contract	0.463	0.318	-0.731***	-0.248	-0.660*	-0.938***
1	(0.643)	(0.542)	(0.263)	(0.344)	(0.390)	(0.339)
2 quarters before contract	-0.327	-0.280	-0.801***	-0.148	-0.151	-0.482**
ī	(0.351)	(0.393)	(0.179)	(0.173)	(0.269)	(0.185)
Receiving 1st contract	0.301	0.686	0.658	0.110	-0.005	0.410
8	(0.430)	(0.452)	(0.407)	(0.339)	(0.343)	(0.314)
1 quarter after contract	-1.167	1.202*	-0.024	-0.729	0.165	0.044
- 1	(1.337)	(0.680)	(1.029)	(0.839)	(0.435)	(0.616)
2 quarters after contract	-1 557	1.358	0.518	-0 589	0.408	0.796
2 quarters arter contract	(1.629)	(0.836)	$(1\ 110)$	(1.018)	(0.552)	(0.721)
3 quarters after contract	-1 665	1 835**	0.414	-0.481	0.947	0.930
5 quarters after contract	(2.026)	(0.835)	(1.175)	(1 177)	(0.606)	(0.758)
A quarters after contract	(2.020)	2 955**	1 108	-0.152	1 610**	1 /00*
4 quarters after contract	(2, 318)	(1 103)	(1.358)	(1 200)	(0.706)	(0.838)
5 quarters after contract	(2.510)	3 401**	1 954	(1.290)	2 091**	2 178**
5 quarters after contract	(2, 500)	(1, 224)	(1.934)	(1.284)	2.091	2.170
6 quantana aftan aantwaat	(2.309)	(1.334)	(1.273)	(1.364)	(0.915)	(0.002)
o quarters after contract	-1.249	(1.797)	(1.400)	(1 552)	(1 170)	(1.052)
7 quantana aftan combract	(3.050)	(1.707)	(1.409)	(1.555)	(1.170)	(1.032)
/ quarters after contract	-1.574	4.357**	3.760**	0.851	(1,102)	3.987
	(3.520)	(1.729)	(1.505)	(1.773)	(1.193)	(1.210)
8 quarters after contract	0.977	4.683**	6.396***	1.864*	4.039***	5.450***
	(1.521)	(1.948)	(1.747)	(1.024)	(1.303)	(1.283)
8 quarters before contract \times public security	-1.690	-1.796	-1.709	0.574	0.976	0.274
	(1.220)	(1.527)	(1.124)	(1.136)	(1.347)	(1.201)
7 quarters before contract \times public security	-1.896	-1.757	-1.668*	0.372	0.605	0.238
	(1.200)	(1.336)	(0.960)	(0.893)	(0.937)	(0.927)
6 quarters before contract \times public security	-1.420	-1.760	-1.312	-0.036	-0.054	-0.225
	(0.968)	(1.388)	(0.866)	(0.922)	(0.930)	(0.982)
5 quarters before contract \times public security	-0.296	-0.772	-0.114	0.177	0.737	-0.052
	(0.615)	(1.302)	(0.708)	(0.552)	(1.048)	(0.529)
4 quarters before contract \times public security	-0.115	-0.770	0.168	0.267	0.526	0.128
	(0.596)	(1.224)	(0.785)	(0.541)	(0.975)	(0.519)
3 quarters before contract \times public security	-0.608	-0.896	-0.330	0.180	0.589	0.059
	(0.527)	(0.972)	(0.682)	(0.483)	(0.839)	(0.499)
2 quarters before contract \times public security	-1.009**	-0.846	-0.937*	-0.642	-0.527	-0.715*
	(0.454)	(1.127)	(0.532)	(0.405)	(0.796)	(0.411)
Receiving 1st contract \times public security	0.570	0.060	0.381	0.536	0.595	0.624**
	(0.439)	(0.810)	(0.384)	(0.335)	(0.414)	(0.253)
1 quarter after contract $ imes$ public security	3.647**	0.818	2.709**	2.670***	1.534**	2.344***
	(1.661)	(1.263)	(1.246)	(0.960)	(0.610)	(0.800)
2 quarters after contract $ imes$ public security	4.782***	1.861	3.374**	3.363***	2.429***	2.837***

Table A.9: Differential effect of politically-motivated public security contracts on total software production

	(1.759)	(1.736)	(1.334)	(1.031)	(0.761)	(0.944)
3 quarters after contract \times public security	5.594**	1.689	4.568***	3.746***	2.345**	3.450***
	(2.264)	(1.824)	(1.569)	(1.240)	(0.911)	(1.033)
4 quarters after contract \times public security	7.070**	1.673	5.834**	4.228**	2.190*	4.103***
	(3.118)	(2.569)	(2.353)	(1.688)	(1.147)	(1.446)
5 quarters after contract $ imes$ public security	7.565**	1.218	6.003**	5.412***	2.791**	4.661***
	(3.000)	(2.757)	(2.233)	(1.767)	(1.364)	(1.450)
6 quarters after contract \times public security	8.225**	1.234	6.504**	5.749***	2.714*	4.914***
	(3.363)	(3.027)	(2.580)	(1.892)	(1.484)	(1.625)
7 quarters after contract $ imes$ public security	10.458**	3.269	8.395**	6.929***	3.424**	5.858***
	(4.554)	(3.151)	(3.687)	(2.358)	(1.504)	(2.029)
8 quarters after contract $ imes$ public security	9.746***	3.908	7.816***	7.512***	4.110**	6.396***
1 1 2	(3.246)	(3.891)	(2.390)	(1.869)	(1.623)	(1.562)
Unrest events	All	All	All	Instrumented	Instrumented	Instrumented
Firm characteristics	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No
Event-study weighting	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes

Notes: The table presents regression coefficients for facial recognition AI firms that earn contracts from local governments when there is an above median amount of unrest in the quarter prior to the contract. The table shows the difference in software production between firms that earn politically motivated (public security) contracts versus non-politically motivated (non-public security) contracts. Columns 1-3 measure unrest using all observed events. Columns 4-6 measure unrest using the predicted unrest events based on the LASSO IV specification discussed in the text. All columns control for time period fixed effects and firm fixed effects. Columns 2 and 5 include control for the time-varying effects of the contract and company size (an inverse covariance weighted z-score for contract size and company size interacted with year indicators, following Anderson (2008)). Columns 3 and 6 weight the control group by 1000 times more than the treatment, following Borusyak et al. (2017). Standard errors are clustered at the contract location (prefecture) level. * significant at 10% ** significant at 5% ***

1 2		-	5		0	-
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
8 quarters before contract	1.686	0.957	0.064	0.354	-0.036	-0.598
	(1.056)	(0.701)	(0.511)	(0.557)	(0.728)	(0.521)
7 quarters before contract	1.378	0.754	-0.011	0.092	-0.255	-0.702*
	(0.976)	(0.618)	(0.472)	(0.410)	(0.521)	(0.379)
6 quarters before contract	0.863	0.595	-0.250	0.114	-0.173	-0.548*
	(0.712)	(0.598)	(0.352)	(0.349)	(0.438)	(0.306)
5 quarters before contract	0.339	0.254	-0.554**	-0.111	-0.433	-0.645**
	(0.394)	(0.361)	(0.235)	(0.265)	(0.333)	(0.282)
4 quarters before contract	0.189	0.174	-0.485***	-0.212	-0.495	-0.619**
	(0.323)	(0.352)	(0.162)	(0.237)	(0.306)	(0.246)
3 quarters before contract	0.164	0.191	-0.251***	-0.189	-0.394**	-0.452***
	(0.170)	(0.191)	(0.088)	(0.151)	(0.195)	(0.161)
2 quarters before contract	-0.211*	-0.202	-0.396***	-0.220	-0.316*	-0.356**
	(0.114)	(0.158)	(0.098)	(0.139)	(0.183)	(0.144)
Receiving 1st contract	0.168	0.508*	0.300*	-0.129	-0.054	-0.053
	(0.177)	(0.271)	(0.170)	(0.130)	(0.133)	(0.113)
l quarter after contract	0.057	0.804**	0.492	-0.096	0.066	0.181
-	(0.345)	(0.375)	(0.325)	(0.155)	(0.186)	(0.141)
2 quarters after contract	-0.177	0.847*	0.516	-0.132	0.024	0.325*
1	(0.390)	(0.420)	(0.321)	(0.198)	(0.207)	(0.175)
3 quarters after contract	-0.093	1.153*	0.693	-0.049	0.251	0.440**
1	(0.600)	(0.600)	(0.477)	(0.251)	(0.247)	(0.217)
4 quarters after contract	0.226	1.892***	1.175*	0.104	0.518	0.718***
1	(0.708)	(0.664)	(0.605)	(0.292)	(0.332)	(0.269)
5 quarters after contract	0.316	2.248***	1.628**	0.205	0.753*	1.017***
1	(0.789)	(0.748)	(0.643)	(0.348)	(0.437)	(0.347)
quarters after contract	0.641	3.179***	2.220**	0.420	1.214**	1.385***
1	(1.053)	(1.013)	(0.859)	(0.437)	(0.580)	(0.465)
7 quarters after contract	0.866	3.007***	2.753***	0.637	1.346**	1.807***
1	(1.196)	(0.995)	(0.844)	(0.538)	(0.637)	(0.569)
8 quarters after contract	1.294	3.196***	3.225***	0.700	1.495**	2.037***
1	(0.767)	(1.054)	(0.880)	(0.491)	(0.712)	(0.642)
3 quarters before contract \times public security	-0.207	0.108	-0.238	0.322	0.685	0.152
1 1 5	(0.486)	(0.609)	(0.465)	(0.513)	(0.688)	(0.499)
7 quarters before contract \times public security	-0.497	-0.236	-0.394	0.258	0.556	0.133
1 1 5	(0.423)	(0.513)	(0.343)	(0.327)	(0.442)	(0.307)
6 quarters before contract \times public security	-0.098	-0.021	-0.020	0.207	0.532	0.097
I	(0.347)	(0.516)	(0.341)	(0.330)	(0.412)	(0.338)
5 quarters before contract \times public security	0.239	0.043	0.317	0.299	0.622	0.168
I J	(0.273)	(0.466)	(0.324)	(0.247)	(0.392)	(0.244)
4 quarters before contract \times public security	0.129	-0.042	0.261	0.229	0.478	0.145
1 1 5	(0.238)	(0.436)	(0.285)	(0.232)	(0.366)	(0.224)
3 quarters before contract \times public security	0.019	0.018	0.113	0.185	0.434*	0.116
· 1	(0.171)	(0.228)	(0.249)	(0.143)	(0.231)	(0.140)
2 quarters before contract \times public security	-0.182	0.015	-0.193	-0.032	0.175	-0.086
r cocainty	(0.172)	(0.335)	(0.169)	(0.182)	(0.277)	(0.170)
Receiving 1st contract × public security	0.195	-0.111	0.096	0.435**	0.403**	0.448***
public becanty	(0.197)	(0.385)	(0.189)	(0.185)	(0.201)	(0.152)
1 quarter after contract \times public security	1.000**	0.045	0.545	0.819***	0.551	0.633***
- 1 alter contance × public becality	(0.446)	(0.617)	(0.330)	(0.247)	(0.335)	(0.219)
2 quarters after contract × public security	1 468***	0 417	0.941***	1.342***	1 219***	1 109***
- quarters after contract & public becuity	1.100	0.117	J./ II	1.014	1.41/	1.10/

Table A.10: Differential effect of politically-motivated public security contracts on government software production

	(0.446)	(0.670)	(0.293)	(0.245)	(0.339)	(0.217)
3 quarters after contract \times public security	1.702**	0.303	1.189**	1.437***	1.122***	1.252***
	(0.715)	(0.719)	(0.519)	(0.330)	(0.369)	(0.274)
4 quarters after contract $ imes$ public security	1.720*	-0.070	1.243	1.568***	1.144**	1.438***
	(0.935)	(0.958)	(0.738)	(0.478)	(0.528)	(0.408)
5 quarters after contract $ imes$ public security	1.739	-0.486	1.042	1.849***	1.202*	1.550***
	(1.071)	(0.994)	(0.834)	(0.566)	(0.651)	(0.515)
6 quarters after contract \times public security	1.780	-0.739	1.076	1.878***	1.067	1.521**
	(1.245)	(1.244)	(1.090)	(0.622)	(0.727)	(0.600)
7 quarters after contract $ imes$ public security	2.261	0.056	1.406	2.149***	1.348*	1.732**
	(1.673)	(1.312)	(1.407)	(0.793)	(0.793)	(0.763)
8 quarters after contract \times public security	2.123*	-0.174	1.320	2.403***	1.416	1.955**
	(1.249)	(1.465)	(1.063)	(0.767)	(0.917)	(0.755)
Unrest events	All	All	All	Instrumented	Instrumented	Instrumented
Firm characteristics	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No
Event-study weighting	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes

Notes: The table presents regression coefficients for facial recognition AI firms that earn contracts from local governments when there is an above median amount of unrest in the quarter prior to the contract. The table shows the difference in government software production between firms that earn politically motivated (public security) contracts versus non-politically motivated (non-public security) contracts. Columns 1-3 measure unrest using all observed events. Columns 4-6 measure unrest using the predicted unrest events based on the LASSO IV specification discussed in the text. All columns control for time period fixed effects and firm fixed effects. Columns 2 and 5 include control for the time-varying effects of the contract and company size (an inverse covariance weighted z-score for contract size and company size interacted with year indicators, following Anderson (2008)). Columns 3 and 6 weight the control group by 1000 times more than the treatment, following Borusyak et al. (2017). Standard errors are clustered at the contract location (prefecture) level. * significant at 1%.

1 5		1		, 		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
8 quarters before contract	2.120	1.463	0.158	0.618	0.157	-0.337
1	(1.397)	(0.866)	(0.387)	(0.684)	(0.452)	(0.227)
7 quarters before contract	1.754	1.153	0.117	0.527	0.181	-0.284
1	(1.196)	(0.777)	(0.338)	(0.567)	(0.405)	(0.187)
6 quarters before contract	1.321	1.066	0.019	0.488	0.346	-0.160
1	(0.917)	(0.713)	(0.268)	(0.476)	(0.380)	(0.160)
5 quarters before contract	0.608	0.251	-0.430**	0.220	-0.052	-0.300**
1	(0.481)	(0.309)	(0.171)	(0.265)	(0.207)	(0.133)
4 quarters before contract	0.350	0.125	-0.417***	-0.007	-0.205	-0.426***
1	(0.381)	(0.265)	(0.113)	(0.222)	(0.145)	(0.115)
3 quarters before contract	0.192	0.020	-0.284***	-0.111	-0.274*	-0.352***
e quarters perere contract	(0.217)	(0.123)	(0.082)	(0.160)	(0.141)	(0.111)
2 quarters before contract	-0.103	-0.101	-0.271***	0.006	-0.014	-0.103
2 quarters berore contract	(0.077)	(0.112)	(0.096)	(0.099)	(0.117)	(0.077)
Receiving 1st contract	-0.106	0.055	0.007	-0.275	0.184*	-0 194
Receiving 1st contract	(0.135)	(0.121)	(0.064)	(0.461)	(0.104)	(0.399)
1 quarter after contract	0.155)	(0.121) 0.214	(0.004)	-0.364	0.105)	(0.399)
i quarter after contract	-0.973	(0.214)	-0.332	-0.304	0.200	-0.112
2 guartara aftar contract	(0.909)	(0.176)	(0.710)	(0.317)	(0.143)	(0.364)
2 quarters after contract	-1.1/9	(0.369	-0.303	-0.287	(0.412^{44})	0.190
	(1.088)	(0.280)	(0.724)	(0.630)	(0.199)	(0.418)
3 quarters after contract	-1.444	0.133	-0.510	-0.289	0.4/2**	0.192
	(1.302)	(0.299)	(0.820)	(0.718)	(0.217)	(0.455)
4 quarters after contract	-1.362	0.581	-0.299	-0.215	0.691**	0.402
	(1.445)	(0.428)	(0.844)	(0.818)	(0.288)	(0.496)
5 quarters after contract	-1.497	0.557	0.004	-0.192	0.797**	0.629
	(1.456)	(0.452)	(0.656)	(0.859)	(0.345)	(0.455)
6 quarters after contract	-1.451	1.213**	0.402	0.060	1.254***	1.004**
	(1.748)	(0.583)	(0.748)	(0.927)	(0.403)	(0.469)
7 quarters after contract	-2.130	0.688	0.116	-0.151	1.160***	0.982*
	(2.019)	(0.587)	(0.826)	(1.051)	(0.391)	(0.516)
8 quarters after contract	-0.432	0.755	1.857***	0.500	1.210***	1.823***
	(0.828)	(0.638)	(0.658)	(0.539)	(0.418)	(0.459)
8 quarters before contract \times public security	-0.556	-0.731	-0.681	-0.115	-0.052	-0.216
	(0.378)	(0.480)	(0.458)	(0.319)	(0.349)	(0.363)
7 quarters before contract \times public security	-0.762	-0.690	-0.748	-0.138	-0.016	-0.155
	(0.457)	(0.436)	(0.461)	(0.304)	(0.281)	(0.323)
6 quarters before contract \times public security	-0.585*	-0.680	-0.599*	-0.208	-0.225	-0.251
	(0.340)	(0.442)	(0.355)	(0.305)	(0.324)	(0.320)
5 quarters before contract \times public security	-0.055	-0.037	-0.129	-0.004	0.124	-0.104
	(0.245)	(0.408)	(0.220)	(0.201)	(0.342)	(0.183)
4 quarters before contract \times public security	0.126	-0.007	0.130	0.172	0.218	0.110
1 1 5	(0.265)	(0.383)	(0.273)	(0.168)	(0.254)	(0.162)
3 quarters before contract \times public security	-0.183	-0.243	-0.133	0.152	0.263	0.091
<u> </u>	(0.152)	(0.316)	(0.185)	(0.159)	(0.243)	(0.161)
2 quarters before contract \times public security	-0.229	-0.216	-0.308	-0.191	-0.184	-0.263**
. <u>r</u>	(0.260)	(0.424)	(0.215)	(0.132)	(0.218)	(0.132)
Receiving 1st contract \times public security	0.645*	0.226	0.484**	0.685	0.179	0.696
	(0.336)	(0.209)	(0.205)	(0.530)	(0.142)	(0.493)
1 quarter after contract \times public security	2.107	0.535	1.585*	1.290**	0.576**	1,121**
- 1 alter contract ~ public becallty	(1.278)	(0.326)	(0.925)	(0.622)	(0.230)	(0.525)
2 quarters after contract × public security	2 349*	0.604	1 759*	1 330**	0 534*	1 097*

Table A.11: Differential effect of politically-motivated public security contracts on commercial software production

	(1.232)	(0.534)	(0.933)	(0.645)	(0.284)	(0.562)
3 quarters after contract \times public security	3.004*	0.874	2.350**	1.474**	0.550*	1.347**
	(1.556)	(0.548)	(1.098)	(0.736)	(0.324)	(0.618)
4 quarters after contract \times public security	3.523*	0.481	2.828*	1.765*	0.507	1.667*
	(1.997)	(0.864)	(1.457)	(0.971)	(0.444)	(0.842)
5 quarters after contract $ imes$ public security	3.690*	0.292	2.898**	2.085**	0.552	1.834**
	(1.880)	(1.050)	(1.328)	(1.006)	(0.545)	(0.822)
6 quarters after contract \times public security	4.033**	0.469	3.283**	2.254**	0.658	1.964**
	(1.969)	(1.203)	(1.499)	(1.038)	(0.619)	(0.876)
7 quarters after contract $ imes$ public security	5.701**	1.522	4.735**	3.132**	1.220*	2.777**
	(2.595)	(1.399)	(2.053)	(1.313)	(0.644)	(1.137)
8 quarters after contract \times public security	5.516**	2.001	4.510***	3.193***	1.511**	2.779***
	(2.186)	(1.634)	(1.529)	(1.028)	(0.655)	(0.801)
Unrest events	All	All	All	Instrumented	Instrumented	Instrumented
Firm characteristics	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No
Event-study weighting	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes

Notes: The table presents regression coefficients for facial recognition AI firms that earn contracts from local governments when there is an above median amount of unrest in the quarter prior to the contract. The table shows the difference in commercial software production between firms that earn politically motivated (public security) contracts versus non-politically motivated (non-public security) contracts. Columns 1-3 measure unrest using all observed events. Columns 4-6 measure unrest using the predicted unrest events based on the LASSO IV specification discussed in the text. All columns control for time period fixed effects and firm fixed effects. Columns 2 and 5 include control for the time-varying effects of the contract and company size (an inverse covariance weighted z-score for contract size and company size interacted with year indicators, following Anderson (2008)). Columns 3 and 6 weight the control group by 1000 times more than the treatment, following Borusyak et al. (2017). Standard errors are clustered at the contract location (prefecture) level. * significant at 10% ** significant at 1%.

		0	71			
	А	.11	Gover	nment	Co	mmercial
	OLS	IV	OLS	IV	OLS	IV
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Panel A.1: Baseline result						
8 quarters before contract	3.209	1.784	1.479	0.676	1.564	0.503
-	(3.440)	(1.730)	(1.163)	(0.757)	(1.448)	(0.755)
8 quarters after contract	10.723***	9.376***	3.417**	3.103***	5.085**	3.693***
-	(3.585)	(2.131)	(1.466)	(0.911)	(2.338)	(1.161)
Panel A.2: Control for firm	age $ imes$ year	to/from co	ntract recei	pt indicato	rs	
8 quarters before contract	2.908	1.327	1.233	0.479	1.520	0.408
-	(3.463)	(1.673)	(1.252)	(0.696)	(1.507)	(0.772)
8 quarters after contract	10.692***	9.154***	3.322**	2.972***	5.152**	3.656***
-	(3.320)	(2.021)	(1.478)	(0.887)	(2.356)	(1.137)
Panel A.3: Control for pre-	contract sof	tware produ	uction \times ye	ar to/from	contract re	ceipt indicators
8 quarters before contract	3.159	1.812	1.456	0.653	1.592	0.529
_	(3.543)	(1.681)	(1.172)	(0.731)	(1.468)	(0.760)
8 quarters after contract	10.472***	8.932***	3.136**	3.017***	5.024**	3.578***
-	(3.580)	(2.196)	(1.434)	(0.937)	(2.366)	(1.163)
Panel B: Only major softwa	are releases					
8 quarters before contract	3.354	1.763	1.526	0.650	1.558	0.536
-	(3.550)	(1.757)	(1.236)	(0.698)	(1.448)	(0.760)
8 quarters after contract	10.774***	9.287***	3.456**	3.086***	5.023**	3.688***
-	(3.824)	(2.142)	(1.562)	(0.913)	(2.408)	(1.167)
Panel C: Video AI software	e production	l				
8 quarters before contract	0.285	0.183	0.229	0.135	0.097	0.071
-	(0.344)	(0.237)	(0.201)	(0.120)	(0.121)	(0.121)
8 quarters after contract	1.169	1.045***	0.541	0.654***	0.320	0.295
-	(0.767)	(0.382)	(0.375)	(0.221)	(0.338)	(0.182)
Panel D: Drop ambiguous	public secur	rity agencies	5			
8 quarters before contract	3.644	2.106	1.576	0.800	1.736	0.649
	(4.167)	(2.191)	(1.411)	(0.836)	(1.781)	(0.937)
8 quarters after contract	10.360***	9.180***	3.209**	3.041***	5.037*	3.658***
•	(3.516)	(2.184)	(1.256)	(0.919)	(2.689)	(1.235)
Panel E.1: LSTM categoriz	ation model	configurati	on (vary tir	nestep = 10))	
8 quarters before contract	3.338	1.711	0.648	0.183	1.563	0.889
_	(3.161)	(1.713)	(0.812)	(0.626)	(1.784)	(1.027)
8 quarters after contract	10.797***	9.288***	2.871*	2.875***	6.116	4.523*
-	(3.941)	(2.153)	(1.477)	(0.883)	(4.637)	(2.342)
Panel E.2: LSTM categoriz	ation model	configurati	on (vary en	nbeddings	= 16)	
8 quarters before contract	3.279	1.813	1.451	0.338	1.335	0.951
-	(3.570)	(1.775)	(1.725)	(0.889)	(1.374)	(0.967)
8 quarters after contract	10.681***	9.309***	3.930***	3.712***	5.362	3.933**

Table A.12: Total effect of politically-motivated AI procurement on innovation: robustness and evaluating alternative hypotheses

	(3.828)	(2.115)	(1.325)	(0.955)	(3.959)	(1.927)				
Panel E.3: LSTM categorization model configuration (vary nodes = 16)										
8 quarters before contract	3.295	1.795	0.578	0.099	1.920	1.055				
	(3.588)	(1.987)	(0.966)	(0.684)	(1.779)	(1.016)				
8 quarters after contract	10.672***	9.268***	3.563**	3.558***	5.082	3.523				
	(3.839)	(2.114)	(1.512)	(0.893)	(4.953)	(2.338)				
Panel F.1: Time frame (full balanced panel)										
8 quarters before contract	3.233	1.645	1.468	0.758	1.519	0.519				
	(3.451)	(1.700)	(1.171)	(0.727)	(1.586)	(0.718)				
8 quarters after contract	10.726***	9.317***	3.428**	3.147***	5.040**	3.757***				
	(3.616)	(2.186)	(1.495)	(0.906)	(2.275)	(1.135)				
Panel F.2: Time frame (exte	ended time f	rame)								
8 quarters before contract	3.675	1.890	1.812	0.899	2.464	1.076				
-	(4.259)	(2.072)	(1.449)	(0.812)	(1.832)	(0.939)				
18 quarters after contract	23.580**	19.890***	10.691***	6.789***	8.048**	5.669***				
	(11.224)	(6.779)	(3.407)	(1.490)	(3.293)	(1.125)				
Panel G.1: Access to comm to/from contract receipt in	ercial oppo dicators	rtunities - co	ontrol Beijin	g/Shangh	ai × year					
8 quarters before contract	2.321	1.593	1.197	0.678	1.210	0.494				
- 1	(2.856)	(1.633)	(1.003)	(0.729)	(1.185)	(0.749)				
8 quarters after contract	12.706***	10.427***	4.004**	3.396***	6.010**	4.281***				
1	(4.271)	(2.622)	(1.687)	(0.994)	(2.789)	(1.445)				
Panel G.2: Access to comm	ercial oppo	rtunities - co	ontracts out	side of Xin	jiang					
8 quarters before contract	2.907	1.674	1.311	0.804	1.670	0.779				
1	(3.562)	(1.878)	(1.219)	(0.822)	(1.554)	(1.179)				
8 quarters after contract	10.421***	9.266***	3.249**	3.232***	5.191**	3.968***				
1	(3.575)	(2.157)	(1.430)	(0.913)	(2.308)	(1.066)				
Panel G.3: Access to comm	ercial oppo	rtunities - fi	rm based ou	utside cont	ract prefec	cture				
8 quarters before contract	3.208	1.704	1.473	0.677	1.547	0.542				
1	(3.649)	(1.741)	(1.175)	(0.692)	(1.670)	(0.741)				
8 quarters after contract	10.639***	9.328***	3.420**	3.106***	5.106**	3.724***				
1	(3.677)	(2.127)	(1.479)	(0.906)	(2.258)	(1.140)				
Panel G.4: Access to comm	ercial oppo	rtunities - fi	rm based ou	utside cont	ract provi	nce				
8 quarters before contract	3.208	1.704	1.526	0.733	1.545	0.495				
1	(3.649)	(1.741)	(1.179)	(0.788)	(1.566)	(0.737)				
8 quarters after contract	10.639***	9.328***	3.426**	3.102***	5.051**	3.706***				
1	(3.677)	(2.127)	(1.511)	(0.916)	(2.219)	(1.157)				
Panel H: Control for provin	nce by quart	ter fixed effe	ects	. ,	. ,	· · · ·				
8 quarters before contract	2.594	1.396	1.159	0.551	1.345	0.456				
a quarters scrore contract	(3.128)	(1.680)	(1.082)	(0.621)	(1.310)	(0.797)				
8 guarters after contract	13.010***	10.484***	4.027**	3.332***	6.170**	4.495***				
1	(4.638)	(2.648)	(1.815)	(1.015)	(2.861)	(1.453)				
	· /	· /	` '	` '	` '	. ,				

Notes: Specifications include politically motivated (public security) contracts interacted with time to contract receipt (as well as lower-order terms); only selected coefficient estimates are presented. Panel A.1 replicates the baseline specification in Table 5, Panel A.2 adds controls for firm age interacted with time indicators of years to/from contract receipt, Panel A.3 adds controls for precontract firm software production interacted with time indicators of years to/from contract receipt. Panel B uses only major software releases (version X.0). Panel C uses video AI software production as the outcome. Panel D drops companies whose first contract is an ambiguous contract, or one that contains the keywords 'local government' ('人民政府') or 'government offices' ('政府办公室') which may be used for either public security or non-public security depending on interpretation. The baseline LSTM specification uses a timestep (phrase length) of 20, embedding size (number of dimensions in a vector to represent a phrase) of 32, and 32 nodes in the model. Panel E.1 presents results for the baseline model trained with a timestep of 10, Panel E.2 presents results for for the baseline model trained with an embedding size of 16, and Panel E.3 presents results for the baseline model trained with 16 nodes. Panel F.1 restricts the sample to firms that have non-missing observations during the entire time frame of 8 quarters before and 8 quarters after the initial contracts; Panel F.2 extends the time frame to 9 quarters before and 18 quarters after the initial contracts. Panel G.1 includes fixed effects for contracts from Beijing and Shanghai (the two highest capacity prefectures/provinces) interacted with time indicators of years to/from contract receipt, Panel G.2 omits contracts from Xinjiang, Panel G.3 restricts the analysis to firms that have their first contract outside of their home prefecture, and Panel G.4 restricts to firms with first contract outside their home province. Panel H adds fixed effects at the province by quarter level. Standard errors are clustered at the contract location (prefecture) level. * significant at 10% ** significant at 5% *** significant at 1%.

	A	All		Government		nercial
	OLS	IV	OLS	IV	OLS	IV
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Panel A.1: Baseline result						
8 quarters before contract	4.898	1.210	1.686	0.354	2.120	0.618
	(3.216)	(1.305)	(1.056)	(0.557)	(1.397)	(0.684)
8 quarters after contract	0.977	1.864*	1.294	0.700	-0.432	0.500
	(1.521)	(1.024)	(0.767)	(0.491)	(0.828)	(0.539)
8 quarters before contract $ imes$ public security	-1.690	0.574	-0.207	0.322	-0.556	-0.115
	(1.220)	(1.136)	(0.486)	(0.513)	(0.378)	(0.319)
8 quarters after contract $ imes$ public security	9.746***	7.512***	2.123*	2.403***	5.516**	3.193***
	(3.246)	(1.869)	(1.249)	(0.767)	(2.186)	(1.028)
Panel A.2: Control for firm age \times year to/fro	m contract	receipt indi	cators			
8 quarters before contract	4.816	1.135	1.719	0.155	2.142	0.614
-	(3.300)	(1.310)	(1.155)	(0.453)	(1.459)	(0.699)
8 quarters after contract	1.263	2.075**	1.314*	0.776	-0.328	0.579
-	(1.298)	(0.989)	(0.727)	(0.496)	(0.753)	(0.518)
8 quarters before contract \times public security	-1.907*	0.192	-0.486	0.324	-0.621	-0.206
	(1.049)	(1.042)	(0.484)	(0.528)	(0.378)	(0.328)
8 quarters after contract \times public security	9.429***	7.078***	2.008	2.196***	5.480**	3.077***
1 1 5	(3.056)	(1.762)	(1.287)	(0.735)	(2.232)	(1.012)
Panel A.3: Control for pre-contract software	production	\times year to/f	rom contra	act receipt i	indicators	
8 quarters before contract	4.741	1.150	1.546	0.404	2.149	0.579
1	(3.322)	(1.296)	(1.077)	(0.532)	(1.416)	(0.686)
8 quarters after contract	1.061	1.874*	1.347*	0.831	-0.381	0.408
o quartere arter contract	(1.506)	(1.045)	(0.739)	(0.510)	(0.828)	(0.528)
8 quarters before contract \times public security	-1.582	0.662	-0.091	0.249	-0.556	-0.050
	(1.232)	(1.069)	(0.461)	(0.502)	(0.388)	(0.327)
8 quarters after contract \times public security	9.411***	7.059***	1.789	2.186***	5.405**	3.170***
	(3.248)	(1.931)	(1.229)	(0.786)	(2.217)	(1.036)
Panel B: Only major software releases	· /	· · /	~ /	()	· · /	~ /
	- 4 4 4	4.077	1 000	0.001	2 00 1	0.600
8 quarters before contract	5.166	1.277	1.808	0.234	2.084	0.603
	(3.345)	(1.326)	(1.133)	(0.488)	(1.404)	(0.686)
8 quarters after contract	0.814	1.803*	1.252	0.702	-0.455	0.511
	(1.670)	(1.042)	(0.805)	(0.489)	(0.884)	(0.543)
8 quarters before contract \times public security	-1.811	0.486	-0.282	0.415	-0.525	-0.066
	(1.189)	(1.153)	(0.494)	(0.499)	(0.353)	(0.328)
8 quarters after contract \times public security	9.960***	7.484***	2.204	2.384***	5.478**	3.177***
	(3.440)	(1.871)	(1.339)	(0.771)	(2.240)	(1.033)
Panel C: Video AI software production						
8 quarters before contract	0.482	0.119	0.339*	0.122	0.128	-0.009
*	(0.308)	(0.174)	(0.172)	(0.083)	(0.112)	(0.079)
8 quarters after contract	0.002	0.142	0.052	0.022	-0.086	0.082
L	(0.335)	(0.194)	(0.205)	(0.095)	(0.117)	(0.119)
8 quarters before contract \times public security	-0.197	0.064	-0.109	0.013	-0.031	0.080

Table A.13: Differential effect of politically-motivated AI procurement on innovation: robustness and evaluating alternative hypotheses

8 quarters after contract $ imes$ public security	(0.154) 1.167* (0.690)	(0.160) 0.904*** (0.329)	(0.103) 0.489 (0.313)	(0.087) 0.632*** (0.200)	(0.045) 0.406 (0.317)	(0.091) 0.212 (0.138)
Panel D: Drop ambiguous public security ag	encies					
8 quarters before contract	5.268	1.682	1.825	0.232	2.297	0.780
	(3.860)	(1.709)	(1.264)	(0.558)	(1.698)	(0.837)
8 quarters after contract	1.653	2.242** (1.061)	1.847^{**} (0.734)	1.049*	-0.369 (0.847)	0.572
8 quarters before contract \times public security	-1.624	0.424	-0.248	0.568	-0.561	-0.132
1 1 9	(1.572)	(1.370)	(0.627)	(0.622)	(0.537)	(0.422)
8 quarters after contract $ imes$ public security	8.707**	6.938***	1.362	1.992***	5.405**	3.086***
	(3.240)	(1.908)	(1.019)	(0.746)	(2.552)	(1.133)
Panel E.1: LSTM categorization model config	guration (va	ry timestep	= 10)			
8 quarters before contract	4.787	1.308	1.027	-0.083	2.061	0.753
	(3.013)	(1.337)	(0.661)	(0.421)	(1.670)	(0.776)
8 quarters after contract	0.746	1.780*	1.351	1.183**	-1.461	-0.226
9 guartara hafara contract y public convrity	(1.756)	(1.055)	(0.910)	(0.522)	(1.600)	(0.975)
8 quarters before contract × public security	-1.449	(1.071)	(0.360)	(0.200)	-0.499	(0.130)
8 quarters after contract \times public security	10.052***	7.508***	1.520	1.692**	(0.02)) 7.577*	(0.072) 4.749**
	(3.529)	(1.876)	(1.164)	(0.712)	(4.353)	(2.130)
Panel E.2: LSTM categorization model config	guration (va	ry embeddi	ngs = 16)			
8 quarters before contract	5.153	1.287	2.510	0.600	1.532	0.371
-	(3.355)	(1.350)	(1.614)	(0.722)	(1.309)	(0.721)
8 quarters after contract	0.809	1.796*	1.775*	1.621***	-1.125	-0.206
	(1.705)	(1.023)	(0.919)	(0.595)	(1.492)	(0.850)
8 quarters before contract \times public security	-1.8/4	(1.526)	-1.059*	-0.262	-0.197	0.579
8 quarters after contract \times public security	(1.219) 0.872***	(1.100) 7 512***	(0.009) 2 155**	(0.319) 2 001***	(0.410) 6 /87*	(0.0 44) 4 140**
o quarters after contract ~ public security	(3.427)	(1.851)	(0.954)	(0.747)	(3.667)	(1.730)
Panel E 3: LSTM categorization model config	puration (va	rv nodes = 1	16)	(011 - 1)	(0.0007)	(
	E 190	1 525	1 015	0.105	2 250	0.725
8 quarters before contract	(3.102)	(1.555)	(0.774)	(0.432)	2.236	(0.755)
8 quarters after contract	(0.777)	1.812*	1.736**	1.672***	-1.646	-0.794
	(1.703)	(1.027)	(0.854)	(0.504)	(1.563)	(0.918)
8 quarters before contract $ imes$ public security	-1.887	0.260	-0.637	0.205	-0.338	0.320
	(1.228)	(1.251)	(0.578)	(0.531)	(0.584)	(0.637)
8 quarters after contract $ imes$ public security	9.895***	7.456***	1.827	1.886**	6.727	4.317**
	(3.441)	(1.848)	(1.248)	(0.737)	(4.700)	(2.150)
Panel F.1: Time frame (full balanced panel)						
8 quarters before contract	4.887	1.258	1.686	0.243	2.204	0.587
	(3.229)	(1.318)	(1.059)	(0.494)	(1.504)	(0.654)
8 quarters after contract	(1.520)	1.782*	1.247	0.711	-0.426	0.542
8 quarters before contract × public security	(1.539) -1.654	(1.0/0)	(U.//6) _0 218	(0.483) 0.514	(U.800) -0.685	(0.493) _0.068
o quarters before contract × public security	(1 219)	(1.073)	-0.210 (0.499)	(0.514)	-0.000	-0.000
8 quarters after contract \times public security	9.786***	7.535***	2.180*	2.436***	5.466**	3.215***
r	(3.272)	(1.906)	(1.278)	(0.766)	(2.129)	(1.023)

9 quarters before contract	4.839	1.197	1.653	0.250	2.143	0.572
	(3.988)	(1.684)	(1.372)	(0.613)	(1.812)	(0.900)
18 quarters after contract	11.333	-0.479	3.163	-1.705**	3.092	0.110
	(9.340)	(2.419)	(2.857)	(0.654)	(2.567)	(0.498)
9 quarters before contract \times public security	-1.164	0.693	0.159	0.649	0.321	0.504*
	(1.493)	(1.207)	(0.466)	(0.532)	(0.269)	(0.267)
18 quarters after contract \times public security	12.247*	20.370***	7.528***	8.494***	4.956**	5.559***
	(6.225)	(6.333)	(1.856)	(1.338)	(2.062)	(1.008)
Panel G.1: Access to commercial opportuniti	es - control	Beijing/Sha	inghai × y	ear		
to/from contract receipt indicators						
8 quarters before contract	3.834	1.058	1.356	0.340	1.613	0.586
	(2.731)	(1.295)	(0.919)	(0.544)	(1.169)	(0.705)
8 quarters after contract	1.636	2.233**	1.487**	0.827*	-0.170	0.694*
	(1.121)	(0.855)	(0.700)	(0.476)	(0.614)	(0.403)
8 quarters before contract \times public security	-1.512*	0.535	-0.159	0.338	-0.403**	-0.092
	(0.835)	(0.995)	(0.403)	(0.486)	(0.195)	(0.255)
8 quarters after contract \times public security	11.071**	8.194***	2.517	2.569***	6.181**	3.587**
	(4.121)	(2.479)	(1.535)	(0.873)	(2.721)	(1.387)
Panel G.2: Access to commercial opportuniti	es - contrac	ts outside of	f Xinjiang			
8 quarters before contract	4.597	1.100	1.519	0.483	2.226	0.893
1	(3.347)	(1.496)	(1.118)	(0.642)	(1.507)	(1.136)
8 quarters after contract	0.676	1.753	1.126	0.829*	-0.325	0.775***
	(1.498)	(1.076)	(0.695)	(0.496)	(0.742)	(0.282)
8 quarters before contract \times public security	-1.690	0.574	-0.207	0.322	-0.556	-0.115
	(1.220)	(1.136)	(0.486)	(0.513)	(0.378)	(0.319)
8 quarters after contract $ imes$ public security	9.746***	7.512***	2.123*	2.403***	5.516**	3.193***
	(3.246)	(1.869)	(1.249)	(0.767)	(2.186)	(1.028)
Panel G.3: Access to commercial opportuniti	es - firm bas	sed outside	contract p	refecture		
8 quarters before contract	5,184	1.238	1.688	0.255	2.301	0.573
	(3.400)	(1.316)	(1.068)	(0.484)	(1.576)	(0.666)
8 quarters after contract	0.921	1.876*	1.291	0.717	-0.390	0.541
1	(1.628)	(1.040)	(0.777)	(0.490)	(0.795)	(0.513)
8 quarters before contract \times public security	-1.977	0.467	-0.216	0.422	-0.754	-0.031
	(1.324)	(1.140)	(0.490)	(0.494)	(0.553)	(0.324)
8 quarters after contract $ imes$ public security	9.718***	7.452***	2.129*	2.389***	5.497**	3.183***
	(3.297)	(1.856)	(1.258)	(0.762)	(2.114)	(1.018)
Panel G.4: Access to commercial opportuniti	es - firm bas	sed outside	contract p	rovince		
8 quarters before contract	5 184	1 238	1 718	0 347	2 193	0.600
o quarters before contract	(3400)	(1.316)	(1.079)	(0.556)	(1.496)	(0.668)
8 quarters after contract	0.921	1.876*	1.254	0.702	-0.356	0.508
- 1	(1.628)	(1.040)	(0.789)	(0.493)	(0.762)	(0.532)
8 guarters before contract \times public security	-1.977	0.467	-0.191	0.387	-0.648	-0.105
1 F	(1.324)	(1.140)	(0.476)	(0.559)	(0.461)	(0.311)
8 quarters after contract $ imes$ public security	9.718***	7.452***	2.172	2.400***	5.408**	3.198***
	(3.297)	(1.856)	(1.288)	(0.772)	(2.084)	(1.027)
Panel H: Control for province by quarter fixe	ed effects	. ,	. /	. /		. ,
9 guantara hafara contract	1 1 / 1	1 157	1 202	0 100	1 7/4	0 500
o quarters before contract	4.161	1.156	1.393	0.188	1.744	0.589

Panel F.2: Time frame (extended time frame)

	(3.020)	(1.412)	(0.997)	(0.463)	(1.298)	(0.752)
8 quarters after contract	2.313**	2.525***	1.869**	0.802	0.308	0.984***
	(1.014)	(0.901)	(0.694)	(0.498)	(0.383)	(0.369)
8 quarters before contract \times public security	-1.567*	0.239	-0.234	0.363	-0.398**	-0.133
	(0.816)	(0.911)	(0.419)	(0.414)	(0.172)	(0.264)
8 quarters after contract \times public security	10.697**	7.959***	2.158	2.530***	5.862**	3.510**
	(4.526)	(2.490)	(1.677)	(0.885)	(2.835)	(1.405)

Notes: Specifications include full set of time indicators and interactions with politically motivated (public security) contracts; only selected coefficient estimates are presented. Panel A.1 replicates the baseline specification in Table A.9, Panel A.2 adds controls for firm age interacted with time indicators of years to/from contract receipt, Panel A.3 adds controls for pre-contract firm software production interacted with time indicators of years to/from contract receipt. Panel B uses only major software releases (version X.0). Panel C uses video AI software production as the outcome. Panel D drops companies whose first contract is an ambiguous contract, or one that contains the keywords 'local government' ('人民政府') or 'government offices' ('政府办公室') which may be used for either public security or non-public security depending on interpretation. The baseline LSTM specification uses a timestep (phrase length) of 20, embedding size (number of dimensions in a vector to represent a phrase) of 32, and 32 nodes in the model. Panel E.1 presents results for the baseline model trained with a timestep of 10, Panel E.2 presents results for for the baseline model trained with an embedding size of 16, and Panel E.3 presents results for the baseline model trained with 16 nodes. Panel F.1 restricts the sample to firms that have non-missing observations during the entire time frame of 8 quarters before and 8 quarters after the initial contracts; Panel F.2 extends the time frame to 9 quarters before and 18 quarters after the initial contracts. Panel G.1 includes fixed effects for contracts from Beijing and Shanghai (the two highest capacity prefectures/provinces) interacted with time indicators of years to/from contract receipt, Panel G.2 omits contracts from Xinjiang, Panel G.3 restricts the analysis to firms that have their first contract outside of their home prefecture, and Panel G.4 restricts to firms with first contract outside their home province. Panel H adds fixed effects at the province by quarter level. Standard errors are clustered at the contract location (prefecture) level. * significant at 10% ** significant at 5% *** significant at 1%.

	Standardized number of unrest events					
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
Panel A.1: Protests, public security						
Conducive weather	0.5150***	0.4759***	0.5143***	0.4759***		
	(0.0837)	(0.0649)	(0.0840)	(0.0630)		
Public security procurement stock AI_{t-1}	-0.0065	-0.0059	-0.0052	-0.0034		
	(0.0055)	(0.0066)	(0.0044)	(0.0065)		
Conducive weather \times public security AI _{<i>t</i>-1}	-0.1761*	-0.1476**	-0.1763**	-0.1494**		
	(0.0901)	(0.0668)	(0.0885)	(0.0646)		
Panel A.2: Demands, public security						
Conducive weather	1.0632***	1.1096***	1.0634***	1.1071***		
	(0.1784)	(0.1726)	(0.1789)	(0.1661)		
Public security procurement stock AI_{t-1}	0.0030	0.0019	0.0031	0.0015		
	(0.0146)	(0.0127)	(0.0146)	(0.0120)		
Conducive weather \times public security Al _{t-1}	-0.0553	-0.10/9	-0.0556	-0.1020		
	(0.1565)	(0.1345)	(0.1543)	(0.1289)		
Panel A.3: Threats, public security						
Conducive weather	0.9785***	1.0407***	0.9802***	1.0396***		
	(0.2574)	(0.2496)	(0.2581)	(0.2415)		
Public security procurement stock AI_{t-1}	-0.0140	-0.0045	-0.0146	-0.0030		
	(0.0091)	(0.0101)	(0.0089)	(0.0099)		
Conducive weather \times public security AI _{<i>t</i>-1}	-0.3274	-0.4040*	-0.3261	-0.3932*		
	(0.2161)	(0.2396)	(0.2171)	(0.2331)		
Panel B.1: Protests, non-public security						
Conducive weather	0.5303***	0.4885***	0.5295***	0.4888***		
	(0.0876)	(0.0670)	(0.0879)	(0.0652)		
Non-public security procurement stock AI_{t-1}	-0.0011*	-0.0007	-0.0011*	-0.0005		
	(0.0006)	(0.0007)	(0.0006)	(0.0005)		
Conducive weather \times non-public security AI_{t-1}	-0.0039	0.0011	-0.0036	-0.0011		
	(0.0175)	(0.0209)	(0.0180)	(0.0183)		
Panel B.2: Demands, non-public security						
Conducive weather	1.0687***	1.1201***	1.0690***	1.1167***		
	(0.1856)	(0.1795)	(0.1861)	(0.1725)		
Non-public security procurement stock AI_{t-1}	-0.0027*	-0.0036*	-0.0027*	-0.0034*		
	(0.0015)	(0.0020)	(0.0015)	(0.0019)		
Conducive weather \times non-public security AI_{t-1}	-0.0453	-0.0528	-0.0459	-0.0472		
	(0.0359)	(0.0403)	(0.0368)	(0.0346)		
Panel B.3: Threats, non-public security						
Conducive weather	1.0076***	1.0769***	1.0092***	1.0744***		
	(0.2685)	(0.2602)	(0.2692)	(0.2517)		
Non-public security procurement stock AI_{t-1}	-0.0021	-0.0020	-0.0021	-0.0017		
	(0.0015)	(0.0018)	(0.0015)	(0.0016)		
Conducive weather \times non-public security AI _{<i>t</i>-1}	-0.0603	-0.0727	-0.0607	-0.0670		
	(0.0477)	(0.0545)	(0.0486)	(0.0490)		
$GDP \times quarter$	Yes	No	No	Yes		
Log population \times quarter	No	Yes	No	Yes		
Gov. revenue \times quarter	No	No	Yes	Yes		

Table A.14: Effect of AI procurement on suppressing unrest — by type of unrest

Notes: This table follows Table 4 Panels A and B, and presents regressions at the prefecturequarter level. The dependent variable of interest in Panels X.1 restrict unrest to only protests, Panels X.2 restrict unrest events to only demands, and Panels X.3 restrict unrest events to only threats, all of which are standardized. Conducive weather is the standardized number of predicted events from the conducive weather LASSO variables interacted with whether there was an event elsewhere in China on the day. AI (public security AI contracts per capita in Panel A, non-public security in Panel B) is also standardized. Prefecture and quarter fixed effects are included. Column 1 controls for prefecture GDP \times quarter fixed effects, column 2 controls for log prefecture population \times quarter fixed effects, and column 4 adds all prior controls. Standard errors are clustered by prefecture. * significant at 10% ** significant at 5% *** significant at 1%.